

partnerships for education and outreach purposes would complement the new construction. Alternative D would focus on sound cultural resource management through preservation, restoration, rehabilitation, and reconstruction of certain historic features. Several actions would provide for the protection and enhancement of natural and scenic resources. Other actions would establish administrative and operational capabilities in terms of facilities and staffing. Most national monument staff activities would be on-site to manage resources and provide for visitor understanding and appreciation of the national monument. However, some off-site educational programs would complement the on-site programs through partnerships.

Copies: The Abbreviated Final EIS/GMP is now available. This document's abbreviated format requires that the material presented therein be integrated with the Draft EIS to fully describe the proposed GMP, potential environmental impacts, and public comments that have been received and evaluated. Interested persons and organizations wishing to express any concerns or provide relevant information may obtain the Abbreviated Final EIS/GMP by contacting the Superintendent, Minidoka Internment National Monument, P.O. Box 570, Hagerman, Idaho 83332-0570, or via telephone at (208) 837-4793 (copies of the Draft EIS are also available, if needed). This document may also be reviewed at area libraries, or obtained electronically via the following Web site at: <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/miin>. Please note that names and addresses of all respondents will become part of the public record. It is our practice to make comments, including names, home addresses, home phone numbers, and email addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their names and/or home addresses, etc., but if you wish us to consider withholding this information you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. In addition, you must present a rationale for withholding this information. This rationale must demonstrate that disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. Unsupported assertions will not meet this burden. In the absence of exceptional, documentable circumstances, this information will be released. We will always make submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as

representatives of or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Decision Process: Following release of the Abbreviated Final GMP/EIS, a Record of Decision will be prepared and approved not sooner than 30 days after the EPA has published its notice of filing of the document in the **Federal Register**. A notice of the approved GMP would be similarly published. As a delegated EIS, the official responsible for the final decision is the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, National Park Service. Subsequently, the official responsible for implementing the approved GMP would be the Superintendent, Minidoka Internment National Monument.

Dated: July 12, 2006.

Jonathan B. Jarvis,

Regional Director, Pacific West Region.

[FR Doc. E6-11520 Filed 7-19-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-DC-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Milltown Hill Project, Douglas County, OR

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Prepare a Supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) proposes to prepare a supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Milltown Hill Project. Reclamation filed the FEIS for the project with the Environmental Protection Agency on August 14, 1992 and completed a Record of Decision (ROD) on November 7, 1992. The FEIS was prepared in conjunction with Douglas County's (County) application for a Small Reclamation Projects Act loan and grants to develop a dam and reservoir at the Milltown Hill site on Elk Creek above Drain, Oregon. The County's loan and grant application was subsequently approved but the project was never constructed. The County has recently indicated that it wishes to reactivate its Small Reclamation Projects Act loan and grant application. Reclamation believes that due to the time lapse since the FEIS was completed and the ROD was signed, it is appropriate to update the information in the 1992 EIS to determine if it still correctly describes the affected

environment and environmental consequences of the project. The proposed action and the no action alternative will be evaluated in the supplement to the FEIS.

ADDRESSES: Bureau of Reclamation, Pacific Northwest Regional Office, 1150 N. Curtis Road, Suite 100, Boise, ID 83706-1234.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Anyone interested in more information concerning the EIS, or who has information that may be useful in identifying significant environmental issues, may contact Mr. Robert Hamilton at telephone 208-378-5087, or by e-mail at Milltownhill@pn.usbr.gov. TTY users may dial 711 to obtain a toll free TTY replay.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The project consists of a 186 foot high dam and 24,143 acre foot reservoir on Elk Creek, a tributary of the Umpqua River, which would provide regulated flows of water for irrigation of up to 4,661 acres of arable land, storage and distribution of water to the cities of Drain and Yoncalla, and the community of Rice Hill; allow municipal expansion and industrial diversification; provide a reliable source of water for rural domestic use; provide opportunities to improve fish and wildlife habitat; improve water quality; provide new water-related recreational facilities; and provide limited flood control in and near the city of Drain. A portion of the stored water would be released directly into Elk Creek to enhance water quality and anadromous fish habitat, and to meet the out of stream needs of municipal, industrial and agricultural users. The remainder of the stored water would be released into a pipeline distribution system which would improve municipal, industrial and irrigation water supplies to Scotts Valley and Yoncalla Valley, and provide an additional water supply for rural domestic use in these areas.

As indicated above, a FEIS and ROD for the project were completed in 1992. The County's loan application was subsequently approved by the Commissioner of Reclamation and the Secretary of the Interior on May 17, 1994, and May 18, 1994, respectively.

On September 9, 1996, the Umpqua River (UR) cutthroat trout was listed as endangered. On October 23, 1996, Reclamation and the County submitted a biological assessment (BA) to the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) analyzing the effects of the proposed project on the listed and proposed species. On December 18, 1997, NMFS issued its biological opinion under section 7 of the ESA,

stating that the proposed project is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of UR cutthroat trout and result in adverse modification of proposed critical habitat. A reasonable and prudent alternative was identified by NMFS to minimize the take of UR cutthroat trout.

Because of the listing of the UR cutthroat trout Reclamation determined that a supplement to the EIS was necessary. A Notice of Intent to prepare a supplement to the EIS was published in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 67890, December 30, 1997). A subsequent notice cancelled the Supplement (63 FR 52286, September 30, 1998) when the County suspended its plans to develop the project because, at that time, there was no process for obtaining a fish passage waiver from the State of Oregon.

Following a scientific review of the coastal cutthroat populations in California, Washington and Oregon, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service published a final rule in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 24420, April 26, 2000) delisting the UR cutthroat trout. The Umpqua River Ecologically Significant Unit (ESU) of the coastal cutthroat trout was removed from the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife because of a determination that the population, formerly identified as an ESU of the species, is part of a larger population segment that previously was determined to be neither endangered nor threatened as defined by the Endangered Species Act. Critical Habitat designations for this population were also removed.

A scoping letter to request assistance in identifying any new information or effects that should be considered in the supplemental EIS will be prepared early this summer and sent to a list of previously interested parties. Please contact Robert Hamilton at the address given in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice, or via e-mail at Milltownhill@pn.usbr.gov if you wish to receive a copy of the scoping letter. No scoping meetings are planned at this time.

Reclamation welcomes written comments related to the environmental effects of the proposed project. Reclamation's practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There may be other circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name

and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: July 14, 2006.

J. William McDonald,

Regional Director, Pacific Northwest Region.

[FR Doc. 06-6368 Filed 7-19-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Inco Limited and Falconbridge Limited—Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b) through (h), that a Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States v. Inco Limited and Falconbridge Limited*, Civil Action No. 1:06CV01151. On June 23, 2006, the United States filed a Complaint which sought to enjoin Inco Limited ("Inco") from acquiring Falconbridge Limited ("Falconbridge"). The Complaint alleged that Inco's acquisition of Falconbridge would substantially lessen competition in the development, manufacture, and sale of High-Purity Nickel in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 18, throughout the United States. The proposed Final Judgment, filed June 26, 2006, requires defendants to divest Falconbridge's Nikkelverk Refinery located in Kristiansand, Norway, and certain marketing offices and related assets, to preserve competition in the sale of High-Purity Nickel. A Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, entered by the Court on June 28, 2006, requires defendants to maintain, prior to divestiture, the competitive independence and economic viability of the assets subject to divestiture under the proposed Final Judgment. A Competitive Impact Statement filed by the United States describes the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, and the remedies available to private litigants who may have been injured by the alleged violations.

Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 Seventh Street, NW., Room 215, Washington, DC 20530, (telephone: 202-514-2481), and at the Clerk's Office of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Washington, DC. Copies of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

Public comment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Maribeth Petrizzi, Chief, Litigation II Section, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20530, (telephone: 202-307-0924).

J. Robert Kramer II,

Director of Operations.

United States District Court for the District of Columbia

United States of America Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20530, Plaintiff v. INCO Limited, 145 King Street West, Suite 1500, Toronto, ON, Canada M5H 4B7, and Falconbridge Limited, 207 Queens Quay West Suite 800 Toronto, ON, Canada M5J 1A7, Defendants.

Case Number: 1:06CV01151, Judge: Rosemary M. Collyer, Deck Type: Antitrust, Date Stamp: 06/23/2006.

Complaint

Plaintiff United States of America ("United States"), acting under the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, brings this civil antitrust action to obtain equitable relief against defendants, Inco Limited ("Inco") and Falconbridge Limited ("Falconbridge"). Plaintiff complains and alleges as follows:

I. Introduction

1. The United States brings this action for injunctive relief under Section 15 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 25, to prevent and restrain Inco and Falconbridge from violating Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The United States seeks to prevent the proposed acquisition of Falconbridge by Inco because that acquisition would substantially lessen competition in the development, manufacture, and sale of refined nickel of sufficient purity and chemical composition that it can be utilized in super alloys used for safety-critical applications (hereinafter "High-Purity Nickel"). The use of High-Purity Nickel is particularly important in making such