

PSF FROM THE PRC - WEIGHTED-AVERAGE DUMPING MARGINS—Continued

Exporter & Producer	Weighted-Average Deposit Rate
Zhejiang Waysun Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd.	9.25%
PRC-Wide Rate	44.30%

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 733(d) of the Act, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to suspend liquidation of all entries of PSF from the PRC as described in the “Scope of Investigation” section, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption from Ningbo Dafa, Cixi Jiangnan, the Separate Rate Applicants and the PRC-wide entity on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. We will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average amount by which the normal value exceeds U.S. price, as indicated above. For Far Eastern, we will direct CBP to suspend liquidation of any entries of PSF from the PRC as described in the “Scope of Investigation” section, that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after 90 days prior to the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of our preliminary determination. The suspension of liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our preliminary affirmative determination of sales at less than fair value. Section 735(b)(2) of the Act requires the ITC to make its final determination as to whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of PSF, or sales (or the likelihood of sales) for importation, of the subject merchandise within 45 days of our final determination.

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration no later than seven days after the date of the final verification report is issued in this proceeding and rebuttal briefs limited to issues raised in case briefs no later than five days after the deadline

date for case briefs. A list of authorities used and an executive summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department. This summary should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.

In accordance with section 774 of the Act, we will hold a public hearing, if requested, to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on arguments raised in case or rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, we intend to hold the hearing three days after the deadline of submission of rebuttal briefs at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1870, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). Requests should contain the party’s name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. At the hearing, each party may make an affirmative presentation only on issues raised in that party’s case brief and may make rebuttal presentations only on arguments included in that party’s rebuttal brief.

We will make our final determination no later than 75 days after the date of publication of this preliminary determination, pursuant to section 735(a) of the Act.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 15, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-22071 Filed 12-22-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

A-570-878

Saccharin from the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on saccharin from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”), covering the period July 1, 2005, through June 30, 2006. Based on the withdrawal of the requests for review with respect to two companies, we are rescinding this administrative review, in part.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 26, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Moats, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, Room 1870, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5047.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On July 3, 2006, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on saccharin from the PRC. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 71 FR 37890, (July 3, 2006). We received timely requests for review from Amgal Chemical Products (1989) Ltd. (“Amgal”), Shanghai Fortune Chemical Company, Ltd. (“Shanghai Fortune”), and Suzhou Fine Chemical Co. Group Ltd. (“Suzhou”).

On August 30, 2006, the Department published a notice of the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on saccharin from the PRC for the period July 1, 2005, through June 30, 2006. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for*

Revocation in Part, 71 FR 51573, (August 30, 2006). On October 16, 2006, Suzhou withdrew its request for an administrative review. On November 14, 2006, Amgal withdrew its request for an administrative review.

Rescission of Review

The Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws its request at a later date if the Department determines that it is reasonable to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request. Suzhou and Amgal, the only parties to request a review for these companies, respectively, withdrew their requests within the 90-day limit. Therefore, we are rescinding these reviews of the antidumping duty order on saccharin from the PRC covering the period July 1, 2005, through June 30, 2006, with respect to Suzhou and Amgal.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the companies for which these reviews are rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice.

Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 18, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-22080 Filed 12-22-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(C-475-817)

Oil Country Tubular Goods from Italy: Final Results of Five-year (Sunset) Review and Revocation of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 1, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the notice of initiation of the second five-year sunset review of the countervailing duty order on oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Italy, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 71 FR 31153 (June 1, 2006) (*Second Sunset Review*). The Department has conducted an expedited sunset review as provided for in section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the countervailing duty order would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. Therefore, the Department is revoking this countervailing duty order.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 25, 2006

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jun Jack Zhao or Sean Carey, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1396 or (202) 482-3964, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The countervailing duty order on OCTG from Italy was published in the **Federal Register** on August 10, 1995. *See Notice of Countervailing Duty Order: Oil Country Tubular Goods ("OCTG") From Italy*, 60 FR 40822 (August 10, 1995). On March 8, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the first sunset review of the countervailing duty order on OCTG from Italy,

pursuant to the Act. *See Oil Country Tubular Goods ("OCTG") From Italy: Final Results of Sunset Review of Countervailing Duty Order*, 66 FR 13910 (March 8, 2001). In that review, the Department determined that the revocation of the CVD order would likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the same rate as found in the final determination. Following the affirmative injury determination by the International Trade Commission (ITC) and pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(4), the Department published a notice of continuation of the order. *See Continuation of Countervailing and Antidumping Duty Orders on Oil Country Tubular Goods From Argentina, Italy, Japan, Korea and Mexico, and Partial Revocation of Those Orders From Argentina and Mexico With Respect to Drill Pipe*, 66 FR 38630 (July 25, 2001) (*Continuation of Orders*).

On June 1, 2006, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, the Department initiated the second sunset review of the countervailing duty order on OCTG from Italy. *See Second Sunset Review*. The Department received notices of intent to participate from United States Steel Corporation, IPSCO Tubulars, Inc., Lone Star Steel Company, Koppel Steel (NS Group), Maverick Tube Corporation, Newport Steel (NS Group), V&M Star LP (collectively, "domestic interested parties"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as U.S. manufacturers of the domestic like product. Moreover, certain domestic interested parties were petitioners in the original investigation and have participated in subsequent reviews before the Department.

The Department received substantive responses within the deadline specified in section 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i) from domestic interested parties, the Government of Italy (GOI), the European Union/Delegation of the European Commission (EU), Dalmine S.p.A. (Dalmine), and Arvedi Tubi Acciaio S.p.A. (Arvedi).¹ The Department also received timely filed rebuttal comments from the domestic interested parties.²

¹ Dalmine is a manufacturer and exporter of the subject merchandise. Arvedi indicated in its substantive response that it no longer produces the merchandise subject to this order. Therefore, Arvedi is not an interested party in accordance with 771(9)(A) of the Act.

² On June 29 and July 5, 2006, the Department received a substantive response and rebuttal comments, respectively, from IPSCO Tubulars, Inc., Lone Star Steel Company, Koppel Steel (NS Group), Maverick Tube Corporation, Newport Steel (NS