Pennsylvania, and Lehigh-Northampton Airport Authority, 3311 Airport Road, Allentown, Pennsylvania. Questions may be directed to the location above under the heading FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Issued in Eastern Region, Harrisburg ADO, May 14, 2004.

#### Wayne Heibeck,

Manager, Harrisburg Airports District Office. [FR Doc. 04–12179 Filed 5–27–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

# Notice of Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) Approvals and Disapprovals

AGENCY: Federal Aviation
Administration (FAA), DOT.
ACTION: Monthly Notice of PFC
Approvals and Disapprovals. In April
2004, there were three applications
approved. This notice also includes
information on four applications, one
approved in May 2001, a second
approved in February 2004, and the
other two approved in March 2004,
inadvertently left off the May 2001,
February 2004, and March 2004 notices,
respectively. Additionally, 18 approved
amendments to previously approved
applications are listed.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA publishes a monthly notice, as appropriate, of PFC approvals and disapprovals under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158). This notice is published pursuant to paragraph d of § 158.29.

# **PFC Applications Approved**

*Public Agency:* City of Midland, Texas.

*Application Number:* 01–04–C–00–MAF.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in this Decision: \$1,493,866.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: July 1, 2016.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: January 1, 2018.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Reconstruct north apron and drainage fillets.

Rehabilitate runways and taxiways. Relocate taxiway Z and reconstruction taxiway Z.

Replace aircraft rescue and firefighting facility.

Reconstruct taxiway C–H–P intersection.

Reconstruct south apron.

Acquire two aircraft rescue and firefighting vehicles.

Decision Date: May 23, 2001.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: G.

Thomas Wade, Southwest Region Airports Division, (817) 222–5613.

Public Agency: Grand Forks Regional Airport Authority, Grand Forks, North Dakota.

*Application Number:* 04–06–C–00–GFK.

*Application Type:* Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in this Decision: \$1,842,016.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: May 1, 2004.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: April 1, 2008.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information submitted in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Grand Forks International Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Ecological study.

Rehabilitate C apron, phases 1 and 2. Rehabilitate runway 17R/35L and

improve runway safety area.

Master plan update.

Security fencing, phases 1 and 2. Acquire land for runway protection zone.

Reconstruct T-hangar taxiway. Reconstruct B apron.

Punyay 251 /17P rejuvenation

Runway 35L/17R rejuvenation.

Passenger terminal area study. Reconstruct A apron.

Rehabilitate entrance road.

Reconstruct U taxiway.

Acquire aircraft rescue and firefighting vehicle.

Snow removal equipment.

Construct rotary wing aircraft parking apron.

Rehabilitation of runway 35R/17L and taxiway C.

Decision Date: February 24, 2004.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas T. Schauer, Bismarck Airports District Office, (701) 323–7380.

*Public Agency:* City of San Angelo, Texas.

*Application Number:* 04–05–C–00–SJT.

*Application Type:* Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in this Decision: \$335,042.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: August 1, 2004.
Estimated Charge Expiration Date:

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: January 1, 2006.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's: Part 135 air taxi/ commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information submitted in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at San Angelo Regional Airport/Mathis Field.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Acquire 1,500 gallon aircraft rescue and firefighting vehicle.

Rehabilitate runways 9/27 and 3/21. Rehabilitate runway lighting.

Acquire runway 21 runway protection zone land.

Rehabilitate taxiways A, B, C, D, E, F, H and P.

Decision Date: March 24, 2004.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: G.

Thomas Wade, Southwest Region Airports Division, (817) 222–5613.

Public Agency: Little Rock Municipal Airport Commission, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Application Number: 04–04–U–00–

Application Type: Use PFC revenue. PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue to be Used in this Decision: \$4,643,300.

Charge Effective Date: September 1, 2001.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: April 1, 2005.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: No change from previous decision.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Use:

Runway 4R/22L extension.

Roosevelt Road and Grundfest Drive relocations.

Decision Date: March 29, 2004.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: G.

Thomas Wade, Southwest Region Airports Division, (817) 222–5613.

Public Agency: Monroe County Board of County Commissioners, Key West, Florida.

*Application Number:* 04–07–C–00–EYW.

*Application Type:* Impose and Use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in this Decision: \$1,420,700.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: May 1, 2004.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: February 1, 2006.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC'S: (1) air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31; (2) commuters or small certificated air carriers filing Department of Transportation Form 298–C T1 or E1.

Determination: Approved. Based on information submitted in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Key West International Airport (EYW).

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection at EYW and Use at EYW:

PFC Application.

Hangar/T-hangar taxilanes and apron, design and construction.

New terminal development.

Noise improvement program, phase 3, design and construction.

Noise contour updates.

Runway safety area environmental assessment for runway 9/27.

Runway 9/27 drainage construction (phase 2).

Apron seal coat, design and construction.

Rehabilitation of beacon/tower, design and construction.

Ground vehicle operation video training system.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection at EYW and Use at Florida Keys Marathon Airport: Cargo apron rehabilitation. Brief Description of Disapproved Project: Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) program implementation—FYW

implementation—EYW.

Determination: The FAA has determined that DBE programs are administrative elements of AIP grant approvals. Administrative elements of AIP grant approvals do not meet the project eligibility requirements of § 158.15.

Decision Date: April 14, 2004.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan Moore, Orlando Airports District Office, (407) 812–6331.

*Public Agency:* County of Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Application Number: 04-10-C-00-MKE. \end{tabular}$ 

*Application Type:* Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in this Decision: \$11,000,601.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: March 1, 2017.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: September 1, 2017.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information submitted in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at General Mitchell International Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection:

Phase 2 noise mitigation program. E concourse aircraft ramp.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Baggage claim area expansion—design. Concourse D security.

Inline baggage security—design.

Decision Date: April 15, 2004.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sandra E. DePottey, Minneapolis Airports District Office, (612) 713–4363.

Public Agency: Virgin Islands Port Authority, St. Thomas, Virgin Islands. Application Number: 04–07–C–00– STT.

*Application Type:* Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in this Decision: \$8,000,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: July 1, 2004.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: April 1, 2008.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection:

Expansion, reconfiguration, and redesign of Federal Inspection/ screening area.

Reconfiguration and redesign on passenger arrival area, baggage claim delivery area, and passenger pick-up area.

Reimbursement of funds used for terminal improvement.

Decision Date: April 30, 2004.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan Moore, Orlando Airports District Office, (407) 812–6331

# AMENDMENTS TO PFC APPROVALS

Amendment no., City, State	Amendment approved date	Original approved net PFC revenue	Amended approved net PFC revenue	Original estimated charge exp. date	Amended estimated charge exp. date
01-05-C-01-MSN, Madison, WI	03/29/04	\$46,656,115	\$79,902,856	03/01/14	10/01/23
01-04-I-01-PLB, Plattsburgh, NY	03/31/04	46,275	10,804	12/01/02	02/01/99
01-05-C-01-PLB, Plattsburgh, NY	03/31/04	56,500	56,500	04/01/05	04/01/05
01-05-C-02-PLB, Plattsburgh, NY	03/31/04	56,500	35,513	04/01/05	05/01/00
99-03-C-03-LLB, Lubbock, TX	04/01/04	4,529,514	4,622,222	09/01/02	09/01/02
02-04-C-01-LBB, Lubbock, TX	04/01/04	3,220,308	3,356,723	11/01/04	02/01/05
95-01-C-06-MKE, Milwaukee, WI	04/05/04	21,780,797	21,147,706	12/01/05	05/01/98
95-03-C-05-MKE, Milwaukee, WI	04/05/04	44,027,574	44,291,198	05/01/04	12/01/04
99-04-U-02-MKE, Milwaukee, WI	04/05/04	NA	NA	12/01/05	05/01/98
00-05-U-02-MKE, Milwaukee, WI	04/05/04	NA	NA	05/01/04	12/01/04
00-06-C-02-MKE, Milwaukee, WI	04/05/04	88,029,494	114,363,097	12/01/11	10/01/17
03-08-U-01-MKE, Milwaukee, WI	04/05/04	NA	NA	12/01/11	10/01/17
02-03-C-01-ATL, Atlanta, GA	04/09/04	1,269,547,063	1,359,194,382	10/01/13	10/01/13
03-05-U-01-ATL, Atlanta, GA	04/09/04	NA	NA	10/01/13	10/01/13
02-07-C-01-MKE, Milwaukee, WI	04/14/04	38,715,244	33,637,973	05/01/15	03/01/17
03-09-U-01-MKE, Milwaukee, WI	04/14/04	NA	NA	05/01/15	03/01/17
00-05-C-01-DBQ, Dubuque, IA	04/20/04	631,592	623,300	06/01/04	09/01/04
02-06-C-01-MSY, New Orleans, LA	04/23/04	148,375,724	171,876,315	01/01/12	05/01/11

Issued in Washington, DC on May 21, 2004.

#### JoAnn Horne,

Manager, Financial Analysis and Passenger Facility Charge Branch.

[FR Doc. 04–12180 Filed 5–27–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

[Policy Statement No. PS-ANM100-2004-10029]

# Process for Developing Instructions for Maintenance and Inspection of Fuel Tank Systems Required by SFAR88

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed policy; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces the availability of proposed policy on the process used by holders of type certificates and supplemental type certificates to develop Airworthiness Limitations and instructions for maintenance and inspection of the fuel tank systems of certain transport category airplanes, as required by Special Federal Aviation Regulations Number 88 (SFAR 88).

**DATES:** Send your comments on or before June 28, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Address your comments to the individual identified under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis Kammers, Federal Aviation Administration, Transport Airplane Directorate, Transport Standards Staff, Propulsion/ Mechanical Systems Branch, ANM–112, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2956; fax (425) 227–1149; e-mail: dennis.kammers@faa.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

The proposed policy is available on the Internet at the following address: <a href="http://www.airweb.faa.gov/rgl">http://www.airweb.faa.gov/rgl</a>. If you do not have access to the Internet, you can obtain a copy of the policy by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

The FAA invites your comments on this proposed policy. We will accept your comments, data, views, or arguments by letter, fax, or e-mail. Send your comments to the person indicated in FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. Mark your comments, "Comments to

Policy Statement No. PS-ANM100-2004-10029."

Use the following format when preparing your comments:

- Organize your comments issue-byissue.
- For each issue, state what specific change you are requesting to the proposed policy.
- Include justification, reasons, or data for each change you are requesting.

We also welcome comments in support of the proposed policy.

We will consider all communications received on or before the closing date for comments. We may change the proposed policy because of the comments received.

#### **Background**

This proposed policy provides guidance for complying with the requirements in Special Federal Aviation Regulation Number 88 (SFAR 88) for the preparation of instructions for maintenance and inspection of fuel tank systems in certain transport category airplanes. Paragraph 2(a) of SFAR 88 requires certain holders of Type Certificates (TCs) and Supplemental Type Certificates (STCs) of large transport airplanes to conduct a safety review of the fuel tank systems. The purpose of the safety review is to identify design features that may result in development of ignition sources in the fuel tank systems.

Corrective actions, such as design changes, operational procedures, or maintenance may be necessary to eliminate those ignition sources.

The proposed policy relates to paragraphs 2(b) and 2(c)(2) of SFAR 88 which require that, based upon the safety review, the TC and STC holders develop Airworthiness Limitations and instructions for maintenance and inspection of the fuel tank systems in order to maintain those design features which preclude the existence of the development of an ignition source.

The FAA intends that operators use those instructions to propose changes in their maintenance programs in order to properly maintain the ignition-prevention features of the fuel tank system for the operational life of the airplane.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 24, 2004.

# Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 04–12067 Filed 5–27–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Railroad Administration**

Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From the Requirements of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 236

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroad has petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

#### Docket Number FRA-2004-17687

Applicant: Union Pacific Railroad Company, Mr. Phil Abaray, Chief Engineer—Signals, 1416 Dodge Street, Room 1000, Omaha, Nebraska 68179– 1000.

The Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) seeks approval of the proposed modification of the traffic control system on the two main tracks, between milepost 288.4 and milepost 288.9, near Bald Knob, Arkansas, on the Hoxie Subdivision, North Little Rock Area. The proposed changes consist of the removal of three intermediate leaving signals, northbound signal No. 2884 at milepost 288.4, and southbound signals No. 288R and No. 288L at milepost 288.7.

The reasons given for the proposed changes are that the signals are very close to controlled signals and confusing to new engineers running trains through the area, and the signals are no longer needed for train operations.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and include a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PL–401 (Plaza Level), 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are