air monitoring methods that have been formally designated by the EPA as either reference or equivalent methods under EPA regulations at 40 CFR Part 53. A manufacturer or seller of an air monitoring method (e.g., an air monitoring sampler or analyzer) that seeks to obtain such EPA designation of one of its products must carry out prescribed tests of the method. The test results and other information must then be submitted to the EPA in the form of an application for a reference or equivalent method determination in accordance with 40 CFR Part 53. The EPA uses this information, under the provisions of Part 53, to determine whether the particular method should be designated as either a reference or equivalent method. After a method is designated, the applicant must also maintain records of the names and mailing addresses of all ultimate purchasers of all analyzers or samplers sold as designated methods under the method designation. If the method designated is a method for fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), the applicant must also submit a checklist signed by an ISO-certified auditor to indicate that the samplers or analyzers sold as part of the designated method are manufactured in an ISO 9001-registered facility. Also, an applicant must submit a minor application to seek approval for any proposed modifications to previously designated methods.

A response to this collection of information is voluntary, but it is required to obtain the benefit of EPA designation under 40 CFR Part 53. Submission of some information that is claimed by the applicant to be confidential business information may be necessary to make a reference or equivalent method determination. The confidentiality of any submitted information identified as confidential business information by the applicant will be protected in full accordance with 40 CFR Part 53.15 and all applicable provisions of 40 CFR Part 2.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- (ii) Evaluate the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average approximately 4,720 hours, with a cost of approximately \$93,000. These estimates are based on a projected receipt of 5 major applications per year with a weighted average burden of 860 hours per application, and an estimated 14 minor applications per year with a weighted average burden of 30 hours each. However, it should be noted that actual applications range widely in content and extent. Accordingly, the individual respondent burden for a particular application response may differ substantially from these weighted average burden estimates. The weighted average cost burden estimate includes start up costs, the total cost of capital equipment annualized over its expected useful life, operation and maintenance, and purchase of services.

Dated: August 16, 2004.

#### Gary J. Foley,

Director, National Exposure Research Laboratory.

[FR Doc. 04–19613 Filed 8–26–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2004-0252; FRL-7674-6]

Application and Summary Report for an Emergency Exemption for Pesticides; Renewal of Pesticide Information Collection Activities and Request for Comments

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that EPA is seeking public comment on the following Information Collection Request (ICR): Application and Summary Report for an Emergency Exemption for Pesticides (EPA ICR No. 0596.09, OMB Control No. 2070-0032). This is a request to renew an existing ICR that is currently approved and due to expire November 30, 2004. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection activity and its expected burden and costs. Before submitting this ICR to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval under the PRA, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the collection.

**DATES:** Written comments, identified by the docket identification (ID) number OPP–2004–0252, must be received on or before October 26, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit III. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.** 

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nathanael Martin, Field and External Affairs Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460—0001; telephone number: (703) 305—6475; fax number: (703) 305—5884; email address: martin.nathanael@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are a Federal Agency, U.S. territory, or State Agency responsible for the regulation of pesticides. Potentially affected entities may include, but are not limited to:

 Federal and State Agencies responsible for regulating pesticides (NAICS 92614), e.g., regulation of agricultural marketing and commodities. This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed above could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether this action might apply to certain entities. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

# II. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

#### A. Docket

EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number OPP-2004-0252. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1801 South Bell St., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

#### B. Electronic Access

You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/edocket/">http://www.epa.gov/edocket/</a> to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket ID number.

Certain types of information will not be placed in the EPA Dockets. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, which is not included in the official public docket,

will not be available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. To the extent feasible, publicly available docket materials will be made available in EPA's electronic public docket. When a document is selected from the index list in EPA Dockets, the system will identify whether the document is available for viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit II.A. EPA intends to work towards providing electronic access to all of the publicly available docket materials through EPA's electronic public docket.

For public commenters, it is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EPA's electronic public docket. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket.

Public comments submitted on computer disks that are mailed or delivered to the docket will be transferred to EPA's electronic public docket. Public comments that are mailed or delivered to the docket will be scanned and placed in EPA's electronic public docket. Where practical, physical objects will be photographed, and the photograph will be placed in EPA's electronic public docket along with a brief description written by the docket staff.

### III. How Can I Respond to this Action?

### A. How and To Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate docket ID number in the subject line on the first page of your comment. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the

- close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments. If you wish to submit CBI or information that is otherwise protected by statute, please follow the instructions in Unit III.B. Do not use EPA Dockets or e-mail to submit CBI or information protected by statute.
- 1. Electronically. If you submit an electronic comment as prescribed in this unit, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an email address or other contact information in the body of your comment. Also include this contact information on the outside of any disk or CD ROM you submit, and in any cover letter accompanying the disk or CD ROM. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. EPA's policy is that EPA will not edit your comment, and any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.
- i. EPA Dockets. Your use of EPA's electronic public docket to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Go directly to EPA Dockets at http://www.epa.gov/edocket/, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once in the system, select "search," and then key in docket ID number OPP-2004-0252. The system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, e-mail address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment.
- ii. E-mail. Comments may be sent by e-mail to opp-docket@epa.gov. Attention: Docket ID Number OPP-2004-0252. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an e-mail comment directly to the docket without going through EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system automatically captures vour e-mail address. E-mail addresses that are automatically captured by EPA's e-mail system are included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

- iii. Disk or CD ROM. You may submit comments on a disk or CD ROM that you mail to the mailing address identified in Unit III.A. These electronic submissions will be accepted in WordPerfect or ASCII file format. Avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption.
- 2. By mail. Send your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB) (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP–2004–0252.
- 3. By hand delivery or courier. Deliver your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1801 South Bell St., Arlington, VA, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP–2004–0252. Such deliveries are only accepted during the docket's normal hours of operation as identified in Unit II.A.

# B. How Should I Submit CBI to the Agency?

Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI electronically through EPA's electronic public docket or by e-mail. You may claim information that you submit to EPA as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI (if you submit CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket. If you submit the copy that does not contain CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM clearly that it does not contain CBI. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

# C. What Should I Consider when I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

- 1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.
- 2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
- 3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
- 4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
- 5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
- 6. Offer alternative ways to improve the collection activity.
- 7. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline in this notice.
- 8. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

# D. What Information is EPA Particularly Interested in?

Pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, EPA specifically solicits comments and information to enable it to:

- 1. Evaluate whether the proposed collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.
- 2. Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimates of the burdens of the proposed collections of information.
- 3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- 4. Minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated or electronic collection technologies or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### IV. What Information Collection Activity or ICR Does this Action Apply to?

EPA is seeking comments on the following ICR:

Title: Application and Summary Report for an Emergency Exemption for Pesticides.

ICR numbers: EPA ICR No. 0596.09, OMB Control No. 2070–0032.

*ICR status:* This ICR is a renewal of an existing ICR that is currently approved by OMB and is due to expire November 30, 2004.

Abstract: This data collection program is designed to provide EPA with necessary data to evaluate an application for a permit for the temporary shipment and use of a pesticide product for an unregistered use to mitigate an emergency situation and to evaluate the effectiveness of that product in allaying the emergency. The submission of the request for section 18 emergency exemptions are at the discretion of a State Agency, U.S. territory, or Federal Agency. Should one of these entities apply for the emergency, then the information and data herein are requested by EPA.

# V. What are EPA's Burden and Cost Estimates for this ICR?

Under the PRA, "burden" means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal Agency. For this collection it includes the time needed to review instructions: develop. acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of this estimate, which is only briefly summarized in this notice. The annual public burden for this ICR is estimated to be 49,500. The following is a summary of the estimates taken from the ICR:

Respondents/affected entities: 50. Estimated total number of potential respondents: 50.

Frequency of response: As needed. Estimated total/average number of responses for each respondent: 5–10 annually.

Estimated total annual burden hours: 49,500.

Estimated total annual burden costs: \$2,683,000.

# VI. Are There Changes in the Estimates from the Last Approval?

The overall respondent burden has decreased due to the drop in the overall number of section 18 emergency exemption applications annually from 600 for the period of 1998–2000 to approximately 500 for the period of 2001–2003. This trend is attributed to the Agency's focus and priority on making registration decisions for repeated section 18 emergency exemption uses quickly. For instance, in 2003 registration decisions for 120 uses

negated the need for applications to submit repeat section 18 emergency exemption requests. In 2002, 56 repeat section 18 emergency exemption uses were negated by registration decisions. The amendments to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) under the Pesticide Registration Improvement Act are likely to reinforce this trend.

# VII. What is the Next Step in the Process for this ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.** 

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: August 23, 2004.

#### Susan B. Hazen,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. [FR Doc. 04–19621 Filed 8–26–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–8

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6655-2]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act, as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements(EISs) was published in FR dated April 2, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

#### **Draft EISs**

ERP No. D-AFS-J65414-UT Rating EC2, State of Utah School and Institutional Trust LandsAdministration (SITLA) Access Route on EastMountain, National Forest System LandsAdministered by Mantila Sal National Forest, Ferron/Price Ranger District, Emery Counties, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns related to impacts on the inventoried roadless area from logging, oil and gas wells, road construction, and gravel pit and vehicle use.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65415-MT Rating EC2, Robert-Wedge Post-Fire Project, Salvage Trees and Rehabilitate Lands, Flathead National Forest, Glacier View Ranger District, Flathead County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed concern that logging in areas of high-burn severity may result in increased erosion and sediment production. EPA recommended additional use of less damaging logging techniques such as helicopter logging or logging during winter on snow or frozen ground.

ERP No. D-COE-F32197-MS Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway System Navigation FeasibilityStudy (UMR-IWW), Addressing NavigationImprovement Planning and Ecological RestorationNeeds, MS, IL, IA, MN, MO, WI.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns centering on how implementation of the proposed new management strategies would influence the ecological future of the UpperMississippi River System. Specific concerns focused on defining the purpose and need, adaptive management and phased project approach, alternatives analysis, institutional arrangements, and ecosystem restoration, and mitigation/impact analysis.

ERP No. D-COE-L32012-AK Rating EC2, Unalaska Navigation Improvements Project, Construction of Harbor on Amaknak Island in Aleutian Island Chain, Locally known as "LittleSouth America, Integrated Feasibility Report, Aleutian Island, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns that the proposed mitigation may not be adequate to compensate for productive intertidal and subtidal habitat adversely impacted by the project. EPA requested that the Corps consider additional mitigation at sites identified in the draftEIS or other sites if appropriate. EPA also requested that the final EIS provide additional information on impacts to impaired waters listed under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act, analysis of alternative sites, and impacts to subsistence resources and minority populations.

ERP No. D-DOE-J91000-MT Rating EC2,South Fork Flathead Watershed Westslope CutthroatTrout Conservation Program, Preserve the GeneticPurity of the Westslope Cutthroat TroutPopulation, Flathead National

Forest, FlatheadRiver, Flathead, Powell and Missoula Counties, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about the need for additional information regarding: project monitoring and evaluation and the adaptive management program; contingency plans if treatments do not eradicate the entire hybrid trout population, and in the event of continuing illegal reintroduction of nonnative trout after the proposed treatments, and spills or releases of hazardous chemicals; more complete identification of the advantages and disadvantages of proposed fish toxins; and the restocking program.

ERP No. D-IBR-H39011-00 Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Platte River Recovery Implementation Program, Assessing Alternatives, Cooperative, Endangered Species Recovery Program, The Four Target Species are Whooping Crane, Interior Least Tern, Piping Plover and Pallid Sturgeon, NB, WY and CO.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns related to wetlands impacts and water quality impacts.

#### **Final EISs**

ERP No. F-AFS-J65409-MT Lower Big Creek Project, Timber Harvest and Prescribed Burning, Implementation, Kootenai National Forest Plan, Rexford Ranger District, Lincoln County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with potential adverse impacts from sediment production and transport from logging and associated road reconstruction, and with uncertain funding for implementation of all the proposed restoration.

ERP No. F-DOE-L02032-OR COB Energy Facility, Construction of a 1,160megawatt (MW) Natural Gas-Fired and Combined-Cycle Electric Generating Plant, Right-of-Way Permit cross Federal Land under the Jurisdiction of BLM, Klamath Basin, Klamath County, OR.

Summary: No comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NAS-E12006-FL International Space Research Park (ISRP) to Bring New Research and Development Uses to the John F. Kennedy Center, Brevard County, FL.

Summary: EPA continues to have no environmental objections to the proposed research center.

ERP No. F-NRC-F06022-IL Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station Units 1 and 2, Supplement 16 to NUREG-1437, License Renewal, IL.

Summary: EPA continues to express environmental concern related to impacts from power uprates and on-site waste storage, sediment contamination and estimates of risk.