Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39–11846 (65 FR 48362, August 23, 2000), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), amendment 39–13677, to read as follows:

#### 2004-12-16 McDonnell Douglas:

Amendment 39–13677. Docket 2003– NM–76–AD. Supersedes AD 2000–15– 14, Amendment 39–11846.

Applicability: Model MD–11 and –11F airplanes, as listed in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD11–24A181, Revision 1, dated July 11, 2003; certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent propagation of smoke and fumes in the cockpit and passenger cabin due to one or more inoperable remote control circuit breakers (RCCB) of the alternating current (AC) cabin bus switch during smoke and fume isolation procedures, accomplish the following:

## Requirements of AD 2000–15–14, Amendment 39–11846

Inspection

(a) Within 45 days after August 23, 2000 (the effective date of AD 2000–15–14), perform an inspection to verify operation of the RCCBs of the AC cabin bus switch in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD11–24A181, dated June 27, 2000.

Condition 1 (Proper Operation): Repetitive Inspections

(1) If all RCCBs are operating properly, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 700 flight hours.

Condition 2 (Improper Operation): Replacement and Repetitive Inspections

(2) If any RCCB is not operating properly, prior to further flight, replace the failed RCCB with a new RCCB in accordance with the service bulletin. Repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 700 flight hours

### New Actions Required by This AD

Inspection

(b) Within 45 days after the effective date of this AD, perform an inspection to verify operation of the RCCBs of the AC cabin bus switch in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD11–24A181, Revision 1, dated July 11, 2003. Accomplishment of this inspection ends the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD.

Condition 1 (No Circuit Breaker Failure): Repetitive Inspections

(1) If all RCCBs are operating properly, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 700 flight hours.

Condition 2 (Circuit Breaker Failure): Replacement and Repetitive Inspections

(2) If any RCCB is not operating properly, prior to further flight, replace the failed

RCCB with a new RCCB in accordance with the service bulletin. Repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 700 flight hours.

Difference Between AD and Referenced Service Bulletin

(c) Although the service bulletin referenced in this AD specifies to submit certain information to the airplane and circuit breaker manufacturers, this AD does not include such a requirement.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(d)(1) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance (AMOCs) for this AD.

(2) Alternative methods of compliance, approved previously per AD 2000–15–14, amendment 39–11846, are approved as alternative methods of compliance with this AD.

Incorporation by Reference

(e) The actions shall be done in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD11–24A181, dated June 27, 2000; and Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD11–24A181, Revision 1, dated July 11, 2003; as applicable.

(1) The incorporation by reference of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD11–24A181, Revision 1, dated July 11, 2003, is approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) The incorporation by reference of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD11–24A181, dated June 27, 2000, was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register as of August 23, 2000 (65 FR 48362, August 8, 2000).

(3) Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Data and Service Management, Dept. C1-L5A (D800-0024). Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/ federal\_register/code\_of\_federal\_regulations/ ibr\_locations.html.

## **Effective Date**

(f) This amendment becomes effective on July 26, 2004.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 7, 2004.

## Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 04–13565 Filed 6–18–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-CE-35-AD; Amendment 39-13676; AD 2003-19-14 R1]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; BURKHART GROB LUFT—UND RAUMFAHRT GmbH & CO KG Models G103 TWIN ASTIR, G103A TWIN II ACRO, and G103C TWIN III ACRO Sailplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA revises Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2003-19-14 which applies to all BURKHART GROB LUFT—UND RAUMFAHRT GmbH & CO KG (GROB) Models G103 TWIN ASTIR, G103 TWIN II, G103A TWIN II ACRO, and G103C TWIN III ACRO sailplanes. AD 2003-19-14 currently requires you to modify the airspeed indicators, install flight speed reduction and aerobatic maneuver restrictions placards (as applicable), and revise the flight and maintenance manual. This AD retains all the actions in AD 2003-19-14 for all Model G103 TWIN ASTIR sailplanes, removes Model G103 TWIN II from the applicability, and retains the aerobatic maneuver restriction for Model G103C TWIN III ACRO sailplanes. This AD also requires you to revise the modification to airspeed indicators, install a revised flight speed reduction placard, and revise the flight and maintenance manual for certain Models G103A TWIN II ACRO, and G103C TWIN III ACRO sailplanes. Simple Aerobatic maneuvers are also re-approved for Model G103A TWIN II ACRO sailplanes. An option for modifying the rear fuselage for Models G103Å TWIN II ACRO and G103C TWIN III ACRO sailplanes that terminates the flight limitation restrictions for aerobatic maneuvers is also included in this AD.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective on August 12, 2004.

As of August 12, 2004, the Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulation.

ADDRESSES: You may get the service information identified in this AD from GROB Luft-und Raumfahrt, Lettenbachstrasse 9, D–86874 Tussenhausen-Mattsies, Germany; telephone: 011 49 8268 998139; facsimile: 011 49 8268 998200; e-mail: productsupport@grob-aerospace.de.

You may view the AD docket at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003–CE–35–AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Office hours are 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregory A. Davison, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4130; facsimile: (816) 329– 4090.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Discussion

What events have caused this AD? Reports from the Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), which is the airworthiness authority for Germany, that the safety margins established into the design of the fuselage may not have been sufficient to sustain limit loads during certain maneuvers and during flight at certain speeds caused us to issue AD 2003–19–14, Amendment 39–13317 (68 FR 56152, September 30, 2003). AD 2003–19–14 requires the following:

- Modifying the airspeed indicators;
   Installing placards restricting flight speeds, prohibiting aerobatic maneuvers, and restricting load limits; and
- Incorporating revisions to the flight and maintenance manuals.

AD 2003–19–14 was issued as an interim action until the manufacturer completed further investigations into the effects of certain flight conditions on the fuselage structure and the development of corrective procedures.

What has happened since AD 2003–19–14 to initiate this AD action? The manufacturer conducted further static strength tests to verify the safety margin of the fuselage on the affected sailplanes. The results of these tests verified the following:

For Model G103 TWIN ASTIR sailplanes:

- —Retain all flight limitation restrictions in AD 2003–19–14.
- For Model G103 TWIN II sailplanes:
- —Reinstate the original flight speed limitations and maneuver operations. For Model G103A TWIN II ACRO (utility category) sailplanes:
- Reinstate the original flight speed limitations and maneuver operations; and

- —Allow only basic aerobatic maneuvers (spins, lazy eights, chandelles, stall turns, steep turns, and positive loops). For Model G103A TWIN II ACRO (aerobatic category) sailplanes:
- —Reinstate the original flight speed limitations except for rough air (V<sub>B</sub>) and maneuvering speeds (V<sub>A</sub>); and
- —Allow only basic aerobatic maneuvers (spins, lazy eights, chandelles, stall turns, steep turns, and positive loops). For Model G103C TWIN III ACRO sailplanes:
- —Increase airspeed limits specified in AD 2003–19–14 but maintain a reduction from the original limitations; and
- —Retain restrictions in AD 2003–19–14 on all aerobatic flights, including simple maneuvers, and cloud flying.

The manufacturer has also developed a modification for Models G103A TWIN II ACRO (aerobatic category) and G103C TWIN III ACRO sailplanes (aerobatic category). When this modification is incorporated, full acrobatic status is restored to these sailplanes.

What is the potential impact if FAA took no action? If not prevented, damage to the fuselage during limit load flight could result in reduced structural integrity. This condition could lead to loss of control of the sailplane.

Has FAA taken any action to this point? We issued a proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to certain GROB Models G103 TWIN ASTIR, G103A TWIN II ACRO, and G103C TWIN III ACRO sailplanes. This proposal was published in the Federal **Register** as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on May 5, 2004 (69 FR 11111). The NPRM proposed to retain all the actions in AD 2003-19-14 for all Model G103 TWIN ASTIR sailplanes, remove Model G103 TWIN II from the applicability, and retain the aerobatic maneuver restriction for Model G103C TWIN III ACRO sailplanes. The NPRM also proposed to require you to revise the modification to airspeed indicators, install a revised flight speed reduction placard, and revise the flight and maintenance manual for certain Models G103A TWIN II ACRO, and G103C TWIN III ACRO sailplanes. Simple Aerobatic maneuvers were also proposed to be re-approved for Model G103A TWIN II ACRO

sailplanes. An option for modifying the rear fuselage for Models G103A TWIN II ACRO and G103C TWIN III ACRO sailplanes that terminates the flight limitation restrictions for aerobatic maneuvers was also included in the NPRM.

#### Comments

Was the public invited to comment? We provided the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We received no comments on the proposal or on the determination of the cost to the public.

#### Conclusion

What is FAA's final determination on this issue? We have carefully reviewed the available data and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. We have determined that these minor corrections:

- —Are consistent with the intent that was proposed in the NPRM for correcting the unsafe condition; and
- —Do not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed in the NPRM.

# Changes to 14 CFR Part 39—Effect on the AD

How does the revision to 14 CFR part 39 affect this AD? On July 10, 2002, the FAA published a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47997, July 22, 2002), which governs the FAA's AD system. This regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance. This material previously was included in each individual AD. Since this material is included in 14 CFR part 39, we will not include it in future AD actions.

# **Costs of Compliance**

How many sailplanes does this AD impact? We estimate that this AD affects 94 sailplanes in the U.S. registry.

What is the cost impact of this AD on owners/operators of the affected sailplanes? We estimate the following costs to accomplish the modifications to the airspeed indicators, flight limitations placards, and revising the flight and maintenance manual:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per Sailplane	Total Cost on U.S. operators
1 workhour × \$65 = \$65	Not applicable	\$65	\$65 × 94 =\$6,110

We estimate the following costs to accomplish the fuselage modification on

35 of the affected sailplanes in the aerobatic category:

Labor cost		Total cost per sailplane
30 workhours × \$65 = \$1,950	\$5,307	\$7,257

## Regulatory Findings

Will this AD impact various entities? We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

Will this AD involve a significant rule or regulatory action? For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

- 1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
- 2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
- 3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a summary of the costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD Docket. You may get a copy of this summary by sending a request to us at the address listed under ADDRESSES. Include "AD Docket No. 2003—CE—35—AD" in your request.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

# Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

# § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by removing Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2003–19–14, Amendment 39–13317 (68 FR 56152, September 30, 2003), and by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

## 2003–19–14 R1 BURKHART GROB LUFT– UND RAUMFAHRT GmbH & CO KG: Amendment 39–13676; Docket No. 2003–CE–35–AD.

# When Does This AD Become Effective?

(a) This AD becomes effective on August 12, 2004.

# What Other ADs Are Affected by This Action?

(b) This AD revises AD 2003-19-14.

## What Sailplanes Are Affected by This AD?

(c) This AD affects the following sailplane models and serial numbers that are certificated in any category:

Model	Serial numbers
G103 TWIN ASTIR G103A TWIN II ACRO (aerobatic category). G103C TWIN III ACRO (aerobatic category).	All serial numbers. 3544 through 34078 with suffix "K". 34101 through 34203.

# What is the Unsafe Condition Presented in This AD?

(d) This AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Germany. The actions specified in this AD are intended to prevent the possibility of damage to the fuselage during limit load flight. Such a condition could result in reduced structural integrity of the fuselage and lead to loss of control of the sailplane.

## What Must I Do To Address This Problem?

(e) To address this problem, you must do the following:

Actions	Compliance	Compliance Procedures	
(1) For G103 TWIN ASTIR sailplanes: (i) modify the airspeed indicators; (ii) install flight speed, aerobatic maneuver, and load limit restriction placards; and (iii) revise the flight and maintenance manual (2) For G103A TWIN II ACRO (utility and acrobatic category) and G103C TWIN III ACRO (acrobatic category) sailplanes: (i) re-set the airspeed indicator to the new placard limitations; and (ii) install the following 2 placards on Model G103A TWIN II ACRO (aerobatic category) sailplanes:	Within the next 10 hours time-in-service (TIS) after October 20, 2003 (the effective date of AD 2003–19–14).	Following GROB Alert Service Bulletin No ASB315–64/2, dated August 13, 2003.	

"Simple Aerobatic" maneuvers (spins, lazy eight, chandelles, stall turns, steep turns, and positive loops) are permitted.

Maximum flying weight	580 kg / 1280 lbs			
Maximum airspeeds:		km/h	kts	mph
In calm air:	V <sub>NE</sub>	250	135	155
In rough air:	V <sub>B</sub>	170	92	105.5
Aerotow:	V <sub>T</sub>	170	92	105.5
Winch or auto tow:	V <sub>w</sub>	120	65	74.5
Airbrakes extended:	V <sub>FE</sub>	250	135	155
Maneuvering speed:	V <sub>A</sub>	170	92	105.5

(iii) install the following 2 placards on Model G103C TWIN III ACRO (aerobatic category) sailplanes:

Within the next 25 hours time-in-service (TIS) after August 12, 2004 (the effective date of this AD).

Follow GROB Service Bulletin No. MSB315-65, dated September 15, 2003.

# All aerobatic maneuvers and cloud flying are prohibited

Maximum flying weight		600 kg / 1323 lbs			
Maximum airspeeds:		km/h	kts	mph	
In calm air:	V <sub>NF</sub>	250	135	155	
In rough air:	$V_{RA}$	170	92	105.5	
Aerotow:	V <sub>T</sub>	170	92	105.5	
Winch or auto tow:	$V_{\rm w}$	120	65	74.5	
Airbrakes extended:	V <sub>FE</sub>	250	135	155	
Maneuvering speed:	V.,	170	92	105.5	

- (3) For G103A TWIN II ACRO (acrobatic category) and G103 TWIN III ACRO (ascrobatic Category) sailplanes: as an alternative to the flight restrictions in paragraph (e)(2) of this AD, you may install additional stringers in the rear fuselage section. Installing additional stringers terminates the flight restrictions in paragraph (e)(2) of this AD.
- (4) For G103A TWIN II ACRO (acrobatic category) and G103C TWIN III ACRO (acrobatic category) sailplanes: only if you installed the additional stringers specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this AD, do the following:
  - (i) remove the placard prohibiting all aerobatic maneuvers;
  - (ii) install the following flight limitation placard on Model G103A TWIN II ACRO (aerobatic category) sailplanes:

At any time after August 12, 2004 (the effective date of this AD).

Follow GROB Service Bulletin No. OSB 315–66, dated October 16, 2003, and Work Instruction for OSB 315–66, dated October 16, 2003.

Maximum flying weight	580 kp / 1280 lbs			
Maximum airspeeds:		km/h	kts	mph
In calm air:	V <sub>NE</sub>	250	135	155
In rough air:	V <sub>RA</sub>	180	97	115
Aerotow:	V <sub>T</sub>	170	92	105.5
Winch or auto tow:	Vw	120	65	74.5
Airbrakes extended:	V <sub>FE</sub>	250	135	155
Maneuvering speed:	$V_{\rm A}$	180	97	115

(iii) install the following flight limitation placard on Model G103C TWIN II ACRO (aerobatic category) sailplanes:

Prior to further flight after doing the actions in paragraph (e)(3) of this AD.

Follow GROB Service Bulletin No. OSB 315–66, dated October 16, 2003.

Maximum flying weight 6			00 kp / 1323 lbs		
Maximum airspeeds:		km/h	kts	mph	
In calm air:	$V_{\rm NE}$	280	151	174	
In rough air:	V <sub>B</sub>	200	108	124	
Aerotow:	V <sub>T</sub>	185	100	115	
Winch or auto tow:	- Vw	140	76	87	
Airbrakes extended:	VFE	280	151	174	
Maneuvering speed:	V <sub>A</sub>	185	100	115	

# May I Request an Alternative Method of Compliance?

(f) You may request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD by following the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Unless FAA authorizes otherwise, send your request to your principal inspector. The principal inspector may add comments and will send your request to the Manager, Standards Office, Small Airplane Directorate, FAA. For information on any already approved alternative methods of compliance, contact Gregory A. Davison, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4130; facsimile: (816) 329–4090.

# May I Get Copies of the Documents Referenced in This AD?

(g) You must do the actions required by this AD following the instructions in GROB Alert Service Bulletin No. ASB315–64/2, dated August 13, 2003; GROB Service Bulletin No. MSB315–65, dated September 15, 2003; GROB Service Bulletin No. OSB 315–66, dated October 16, 2003; and GROB Work Instruction for OSB 315–66, dated October 16, 2003. The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service bulletin in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. You may get a copy from GROB Luft-

und Raumfahrt, Lettenbachstrasse 9, D—86874 Tussenhausen-Mattsies, Germany; telephone: 011 49 8268 998139; facsimile: 011 49 8268 998200; e-mail: productsupport@grob-aerospace.de. You may review copies at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal\_register/code\_of\_federal\_regulations/ibr\_locations.html.

# Is There Other Information That Relates to This Subject?

(h) German AD Number D-2004-002, dated January 23, 2004, also addresses the subject of this AD.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on June 9, 2004.

# David R. Showers,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04–13566 Filed 6–18–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

24 CFR Parts 35, 200, 291, 598, 891, 982 and 983

[Docket No. FR-3482-C-10] RIN 2501-AB57

Requirements for Notification, Evaluation, and Reduction of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing Receiving Federal Assistance and Federally Owned Residential Property Being Sold, Conforming Amendments and Corrections

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, HUD. **ACTION:** Final rule; conforming amendments and corrections.

SUMMARY: This final rule makes conforming amendments to HUD's lead-based paint regulations, and certain technical corrections and clarifying changes. Among other things, this rule clarifies HUD's definitions and standards for dust-lead and soil-lead hazards to make them consistent with the final rule of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on