

or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

*Respondents/Affected Entities:* Petroleum refineries that are major sources of HAP emissions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 134.

*Frequency of Response:* Annual, Semiannual, On Occasion, Quarterly.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 410,054 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Costs:* \$26,163,236, which includes \$0 annual capital/startup costs, \$0 annual O&M costs, and \$26,163,236 annual labor costs.

*Changes in the Estimates:* There is a decrease of 59,376 hours in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This adjustment decrease in burden is due to several factors, including: a decrease in the number of respondents, the removal of capital/startup costs resulting from performance tests, and revisions to the approach to calculating costs for newly subject facilities.

Dated: December 14, 2004.

**Oscar Morales,**

*Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. 04-27889 Filed 12-20-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

### SES Performance Review Board

**ACTION:** Notice of Members of the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Performance Review Board (PRB).

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), this notice announces the appointment of members of the PRB for the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). The Board reviews the performance appraisals of career and non-career senior executives. The Board makes recommendations regarding

proposed performance appraisals, ratings, bonuses and other appropriate personnel actions.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Angelica E. Ibarguen, Chief Human Capital Officer, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 1801 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20507, (202) 663-4306.

*Composition of PRB:* The Board shall consist of at least three voting members. When appraising a career appointee's performance or recommending a career appointee for a performance award, more than half of the members must be SES career appointees. The names and titles of the PRB members are as follows:

#### Primary Members

Angelica E. Ibarguen, Chief Human Capital Officer, EEOC—(Chairperson), Reuben Daniels, Jr., Director, Charlotte District Office, EEOC—(Member), James L. Lee, Deputy General Counsel, EEOC—(Member).

**DATES:** Membership is effective on the date of this notice.

Signed at Washington, DC on this 22nd day of November 2004.

For the Commission.

**Cari M. Dominguez,**  
*Chair.*

[FR Doc. 04-27855 Filed 12-20-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6570-01-M**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 98-98; DA 04-3678]

### Parties Asked To Refresh the Record Regarding a Petition by the New York State Public Service Commission Seeking Broad Delegated Authority To Make Area Code Changes Outside the Context of Area Code Relief Planning

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice; solicitation of comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission invites New York State Public Service Commission (NYPSC) to update the record pertaining to its petition seeking delegated authority to make area code changes outside the context of area code relief planning and invites interested parties to submit pleadings pertaining to the NYPSC petition.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before January 4, 2005. Reply Comments are due on or before January 11, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. All filings must be

sent to the Commission's Secretary, Marlene H. Dortch, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. See

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for where and how to file comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karen Franklin, Attorney, Wireline Competition Bureau, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, (202) 418-7400 TTY: (202) 418-0484.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Public Notice released November 23, 2004 in CC Docket No. 98-98; DA 04-3678. The full text of this document is available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room CY-A257, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. Pursuant to § 1.1 of the Commission's rules, the Commission invites the NYPSC to update the record pertaining to its petition seeking delegated authority to make area code changes outside the context of area code relief planning and invites interested parties to submit pleadings pertaining to the NYPSC petition.

In the *Local Competition Second Report and Order*, the Commission delegated authority to implement new area codes to state commissions in the context of area code relief planning. The Commission noted that state commissions are uniquely positioned to understand local conditions and what effect new area codes will have on those conditions. Each state's implementation method is, however, subject to the Commission's guidelines for numbering administration. Existing Commission guidelines, which were originally enumerated in the *Ameritech Order*, state that numbering administration should: (1) Seek to facilitate entry into the communications marketplace by making numbering resources available on an efficient and timely basis; (2) not unduly favor or disadvantage any particular industry segment or group of consumers; and (3) not unduly favor one technology over another.

The NYPSC petitioned the Commission for delegated authority to make area code changes outside the context of area code relief planning. Because the passage of time and intervening developments may have rendered the record developed for this petition stale, the Wireline Competition Bureau requests that the NYPSC identify whether the pursuit of area code relief outside the context of area code relief planning remains an issue. Also, some issues raised in the petition may have