

under subheading 4007.00.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review, Preliminary Results, and Intent To Revoke Antidumping Duty Order

Pursuant to sections 751(d)(1) and 782(h)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department may revoke an antidumping or countervailing duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (i.e., a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances review to be conducted upon receipt of a request which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review. Section 351.222(g) of the Department's regulations provides that the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review under 19 CFR 351.216 and may revoke an order (in whole or in part) if it determines that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or the part of the order to be revoked) pertains have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by the order, in whole or in part, or if changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant revocation. In addition, in the event that the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted, 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii) permits the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results.

In this case, the Department finds that the information submitted provides sufficient evidence of changed circumstances to warrant a review. Therefore, in accordance with sections 751(d)(1) and 782(h)(2) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.222(g), based on the information provided by Heveafil, we are initiating this changed circumstances review. Furthermore, since the information on record indicates there is no longer any U.S. production of the domestic like product, we determine that expedited action is warranted and we preliminarily determine that the continued relief provided by the order with respect to extruded rubber thread from Malaysia is no longer of interest to domestic interested parties. Because we have concluded that expedited action is warranted, we are combining these notices of initiation and preliminary results. Therefore, we are hereby notifying the public of our intent to revoke the antidumping duty order with

respect to imports of extruded rubber thread from Malaysia.

If we make a final determination to revoke, we intend to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties, and to refund any estimated antidumping duties collected for all entries of extruded rubber thread from Malaysia, made on or after October 1, 2003, the first day of the most recent period of administrative review and the only period for which an administrative review has not been completed, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222. We will also instruct CBP to pay interest on such refunds in accordance with section 778 of the Act. The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia will continue unless and until we publish a final determination to revoke.

Public Comment

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument: (1) a statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of the date of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 25 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs may be submitted by interested parties not later than 15 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to the issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 20 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303. Persons interested in attending the hearing should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish the final results of this changed circumstances review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.222.

Dated: March 3, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-5278 Filed 3-8-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-337-806]

Individual Quick Frozen Red Raspberries From Chile: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for 2001-2003 Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the current review of the antidumping duty order on individual quick frozen red raspberries from Chile. The period of review is December 31, 2001, through June 30, 2003. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 9, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Blanche Ziv or Cole Kyle, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4207 or (202) 482-1503, respectively.

Background

On August 22, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on individual quick frozen red raspberries from Chile, covering the period December 31, 2001, through June 30, 2003. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, (68 FR 50750). The preliminary results for this review are currently due no later than April 1, 2004.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

We are currently analyzing sales information provided by the three

respondents and cost information from one of the respondents in this review. In addition, we are awaiting cost responses from two of the respondents. Because the Department requires time to review and analyze these responses once they are received and to issue supplemental questionnaires if necessary, it is not practicable to complete this review within the originally anticipated time limit (*i.e.*, April 1, 2004). Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results to not later than July 30, 2004, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 4, 2004.

Jeffrey May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 04-5282 Filed 3-8-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-122-839]

Final Results, Reinstatement, Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Expedited Reviews, and Company Exclusions: Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Final results, reinstatement, partial rescission of countervailing duty expedited reviews, and company exclusions: certain softwood lumber products from Canada.

SUMMARY: On May 8, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results of 28 expedited reviews of the countervailing duty order on certain softwood lumber products from Canada for the period April 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001. See *Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Expedited Reviews: Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada*, 68 FR 24717 (May 8, 2003).

Based on our analysis of comments on the *Preliminary Results* and verification of the questionnaire responses, we have made changes to the estimated net subsidy rates determined in the *Preliminary Results*. In addition, one company, Goldwood Industries Ltd. (Goldwood), whose expedited review was rescinded in the *Preliminary*

Results, is being reinstated and has received a net subsidy rate in these final results. Further, we are rescinding the expedited review of Westcan Rail Ltd. (Westcan). For information regarding the reinstatement and rescission of the expedited review of individual companies in these final results, refer to the "Partial Rescission" and "Reinstatement" sections of this notice.

In addition, we are excluding three companies from the countervailing duty order. In accordance with these final results of reviews, we will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to refund all collected cash deposits and waive future cash deposits requirements for each of the excluded companies, as detailed in the "Final Results of Reviews" section of this notice. We also intend to instruct the CBP to collect cash deposits for each reviewed company that was not excluded from the order as detailed in the "Final Results of Reviews" section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 9, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Margaret Ward, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4161.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 8, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Expedited Reviews: Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada*, 68 FR 24717 (*Preliminary Results*) covering 28 respondents. In addition, we rescinded the expedited reviews of 12 respondents. Immediately following the issuance of the *Preliminary Results*, Cando Contracting Ltd. (Cando), Goldwood Industries Ltd. (Goldwood), Williamsburg Wood and Garden (Williamsburg), and Power Wood Corp. (Power Wood) submitted comments on the *Preliminary Results*.¹ On May 28, 2003, petitioners² responded to Cando's submission.

On June 5 and 6, 2003, petitioners submitted pre-verification comments regarding certain companies verified during this segment of the proceeding. From June 9, through June 20, 2003, the

¹ See Cando's May 8, 2003, submission. See Goldwood's May 12, 2003, submission. See Williamsburg's May 21, 2003, submission. See Power Wood's May 22, 2003, submission.

² Petitioners are the Coalition for Fair Lumber Imports Executive Committee.

Department verified the information provided by five respondents: Boccam, Inc. (Boccam), Indian River Lumber (Indian River), Les Sceries Jocelyn Lavoie Ltd. (Lavoie), Sechoirs de Beauce, Inc. (Sechoirs de Beauce), and Westcan Rail Ltd. (Westcan). On July 21, 2003, the Department issued verification reports for Boccam, Indian River, Lavoie, Sechoirs de Beauce, and Westcan.

On July 23, 2003, the Department extended the due date for the case briefs for Round 1 and Round 2 companies. On August 14, 2003, petitioners and the Ontario Forest Association (OFIA) and the Ontario Lumber Manufacturers Association (OLMA) filed case briefs. On August 18, 2003, the Department extended the due date for the submission of rebuttal briefs. On August 20, 2003, Westcan and Hudson Mitchell & Sons (HMS) submitted rebuttal briefs. On August 25, 2003, Sechoirs de Beauce, the Government of Canada (GOC), and the OFIA/OLMA filed rebuttal briefs. In addition, American Bayridge Corporation, Aspen Planers Ltd., Downie Timber Ltd., Federated Cooperatives Limited, Gorman Bros. Lumber Ltd., Haida Forest Products Ltd., Kenora Forest Products Ltd., Liskeard Lumber Limited, Mid America Lumber, Mill & Timber Products Ltd., North Enderby Timber Ltd., R. Fryer Forest Products Limited, Selkirk Specialty Wood Ltd., and Tembec Inc. (collectively, the Lumber Companies Group) filed rebuttal briefs on August 25, 2003.

Reinstatement of Expedited Review

In the *Preliminary Results*, we rescinded the expedited review of Goldwood. See the "Partial Rescission" section of the *Preliminary Results*. However, our examination of the comments submitted by Goldwood has resulted in reinstatement of this firm in these final results due to a ministerial error made in the *Preliminary Results*. For information regarding the ministerial error and the reinstatement of the review of the company, see Comment 8 of the "Issues and Decisions Memorandum: Final Results of Expedited Review of Companies Covered by the May 8, 2003 Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Expedited Reviews" (Decision Memorandum), which is dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.

Partial Rescission of Expedited Review

Our examination of the information submitted by Westcan at verification indicates that this company performed