

(4) ways that the burdens could be minimized, including use of electronic technology, without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of these information collections.

*Privacy Act:* Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78) or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

**Authority:** The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended; and 49 CFR 1.48.

**James R. Kabel,**

Chief, Management Programs and Analysis Division.

[FR Doc. 04-7961 Filed 4-7-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-22-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

#### Environmental Impact Statement; Maricopa County, AZ

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an environmental impact statement (EIS) will be prepared for a proposed highway project within Maricopa County, Arizona.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kenneth H. Davis, District Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, 400 E Van Buren Street, Suite 410, Phoenix, AZ 85004, Telephone (602) 379-3646.

#### Correction

In the **Federal Register** of Tuesday, May 14, 2002, in FR Doc 02-11968, filed 2-1-02, 8:45 a.m. on page 34513, in the third column, correct the project limits to read as follows:

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FHWA in cooperation with the Arizona Department of Transportation is preparing an EIS for a proposal to build improvements on Interstate 10 from the south ramp of the I-10/SR 51/202L (Red Mountain Freeway) Traffic Interchange to the north ramps of the I-10/202L (Santan Freeway) Traffic Interchange in

Maricopa County, Arizona. One addition to the project limits is as follows: (1) US60 from Hardy Drive to Mill Avenue.

*Additional Correction:* the address and telephone number for Federal Highway Administration has been changed to: 400 E. Van Buren Street, Suite 410, One Arizona Center, Phoenix, Arizona 85004, Telephone (602) 379-3646.

Dated: March 30, 2004.

**Kenneth H. Davis,**

District Engineer, Phoenix.

[FR Doc. 04-7955 Filed 4-7-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-22-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Research and Special Programs Administration

[Docket RSPA-98-4957; Notice 04-04]

#### Renewal of Existing Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Research and Special Programs Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Request for Public Comments and OMB Approval.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) published a notice on January 13, 2004 (69 FR 2042) requesting public comments on a request for renewal of an information collection, *Incorporation by Reference of Industry Standard on Leak Detection*. This information collection requires hazardous liquid pipeline operators who have leak detection systems to maintain records of those systems. No comments were received. RSPA is now requesting OMB to approve renewal of this information collection and the public is offered another opportunity to comment.

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received no later than May 10, 2004 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** You must identify the docket number RSPA-98-4957, at the beginning of your comments. Comments should be mailed directly to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 726 Jackson Place, NW., Washington, DC 20503, ATTN: Desk Officer for the Department of Transportation.

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may

review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78) or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marvin Fell, Office of Pipeline Safety, Research and Special Programs Administration, Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20950, (202) 366-6205 or by electronic mail at [marvin.fell@rspa.dot.gov](mailto:marvin.fell@rspa.dot.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Incorporation by Reference of Industry Standard on Leak Detection

*OMB Number:* 2137-0598.

*Type of Request:* Renewal of an existing information collection.

*Respondents:* Hazardous liquid pipeline operators that use computational pipeline monitoring systems (CPM) for leak detection.

*Estimate of Burden:* 2 hours per operator.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1.

*Estimated Total Burden:* 100 hours.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 50.

*Abstract:* The hazardous liquid pipeline safety regulations at 49 CFR Part 195 do not require hazardous liquid pipeline operators to use software-based, CPM leak detection systems. However, if an operator does use CPM leak detection systems they must comply with the national consensus technical standard, American Petroleum Institute (API) 1130, as required at 49 CFR 195.134. This standard provides guidance for operating, maintaining, and testing CPM systems. Records documenting the operations, maintenance, and testing of CPM systems must be maintained by all hazardous liquid pipeline operators.

Comments are invited on: (a) The need for the proposed collection of information for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques.