

shall report on the status of settlement discussions at the prehearing conference. The Commission authorizes the settlement coordinator to hold settlement conferences on July 14, 2004, in the Commission's hearing room. Authorization of settlement discussions does not constitute a finding on the proposal's procedural status or on the need for a hearing.

*Representation of the general public.* In conformance with section 3624(a) of title 39, the Commission designates Shelley S. Dreifuss, director of the Commission's Office of the Consumer Advocate (OCA), to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding. Pursuant to this designation, Ms. Dreifuss will direct the activities of Commission personnel assigned to assist her and, upon request, will supply their names for the record. Neither Ms. Dreifuss nor any of the assigned personnel will participate in or provide advice on any Commission decision in this proceeding.

*Intervention.* Those wishing to be heard in this matter are directed to file a notice of intervention on or before July 12, 2004. The notice of intervention shall be filed using the Internet (Filing Online) at the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>), unless a waiver is obtained for hardcopy filing. Rules 9(a) and 10(a) [39 CFR 3001.9a and 10a]. Notices should indicate whether participation will be on a full or limited basis. See rules 20 and 20a [39 CFR 3001.20 and 20a], and shall indicate if a hearing on this Request is desired.

*Prehearing conference.* A prehearing conference will be held July 15, 2004, at 10 a.m. in the Commission's hearing room. Participants shall be prepared to address whether or not it is appropriate to proceed under rule 196 [39 CFR 3001.196], on the Postal Service's proposal for limiting issues, and any issue(s) that justify scheduling a hearing. Rule 196(c) [39 CFR 3001.196c].

The Commission strongly urges participants intending to object to proceeding under rule 196 [39 CFR 3001.196] to file supporting written argument in advance of the prehearing conference. It would also greatly assist the Commission if participants file supporting written argument in advance of the prehearing conference in regard to the identification of any issue(s) that would indicate the need to schedule a hearing, and any objection to the Postal Service's proposal for limiting issues. The Commission intends on deciding upon these issues shortly after the prehearing conference.

### Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. MC2004-3 to consider the Postal Service Request referred to in the body of this order.

2. The Commission will sit en banc in this proceeding.

3. Statement of the United States Postal Service Concerning Compliance with Filing Requirements and Conditional Motion for Waiver, June 21, 2004, is denied, without prejudice.

4. Postal Service counsel is appointed to serve as settlement coordinator in this proceeding. The Commission will make its hearing room available for settlement conferences on July 14, 2004, and at such times deemed necessary by the settlement coordinator.

5. Shelley S. Dreifuss, director of the Commission's Office of the Consumer Advocate, is designated to represent the interests of the general public.

6. The deadline for filing notices of intervention is July 12, 2004.

7. A prehearing conference will be held July 15, 2004, at 10 a.m. in the Commission's hearing room.

8. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this notice and order in the **Federal Register**.

*Issued:* June 24, 2004.

By the Commission.

**Steven W. Williams,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 04-14845 Filed 6-29-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P**

### POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC2004-4; Order No. 1410]

#### Negotiated Service Agreement

**AGENCY:** Postal Rate Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice and order on new negotiated service agreement case.

**SUMMARY:** This document establishes a docket for consideration of the Postal Service's request for approval of a negotiated service agreement with Discover Financial Services, Inc. It identifies key elements of the proposed agreement, its relationship to the Capital One Services, Inc. negotiated service agreement, and addresses preliminary procedural matters. Issuance of this document provides the public with notice of the Service's filing, but does not constitute a decision on the merits. In a separate notice and order (No. 1409), also issued June 24, 2004, the Commission announces the establishment of a docket for consideration of a separate proposal for

a negotiated service agreement with Bank One, Inc.

**DATES:** Key dates are:

1. July 12, 2004: Deadline for filing notices of intervention.

2. July 14, 2004: Settlement conference.

3. July 15, 2004: Prehearing conference (11 a.m.).

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, general counsel, at 202-789-6818.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Procedural History

Capital One Services, Inc. Negotiated Service Agreement, 67 FR 61355 (September 30, 2002).

Negotiated Service Agreement Proposed Rule, 68 FR 52546 (September 4, 2003).

Negotiated Service Agreement Final Rule, 69 FR 7574 (February 19, 2004).

On June 21, 2004, the United States Postal Service filed a request seeking a recommended decision from the Postal Rate Commission approving a negotiated service agreement with Discover Financial Services, Inc.<sup>1</sup> The negotiated service agreement is proffered as functionally equivalent to the Capital One Services, Inc. negotiated service agreement (baseline agreement) was recommended by the Commission in docket No. MC2002-2. The Request, which includes six attachments, was filed pursuant to chapter 36 of the Postal Reorganization Act, 39 U.S.C. 3601 *et seq.*<sup>2</sup>

The Postal Service has identified Discover Financial Services, Inc. (DFS), along with itself, as parties to the negotiated service agreement. This identification serves as notice of intervention by DFS. It also indicates that DFS shall be considered a co-proponent, procedurally and substantively, of the Postal Service's

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service for a Recommended Decision on Classifications, Rates and Fees to Implement Functionally Equivalent Negotiated Service Agreement with Discover Financial Services, Inc., June 21, 2004 (Request).

<sup>2</sup> Attachments A and B to the Request contain proposed changes to the Domestic Mail Classification Schedule and the associated rate schedules; Attachment C is a certification required by Commission rule 193(i) specifying that the cost statements and supporting data submitted by the Postal Service, which purport to reflect the books of the Postal Service, accurately set forth the results shown by such books; Attachment D is an index of testimony and exhibits; Attachment E is a compliance statement addressing satisfaction of various filing requirements; and Attachment F is a copy of the negotiated service agreement.

Request during the Commission's review of the negotiated service agreement. Rule 191(b) [39 CFR 3001.191b]. An appropriate notice of appearance as co-proponent of Discover Financial Services, Inc., June 21, 2004, also has been filed.

In support of the Request, the Postal Service has filed direct testimony of Ali Ayub on behalf of United States Postal Service, June 21, 2004 (USPS-T-1). DFS has separately filed direct testimony of Karin Giffney on behalf of Discover Financial Services, Inc., June 21, 2004 (DFS-T-1). The Postal Service has reviewed the DFS testimony and, in accordance with rule 192(b) [39 CFR 3001.192b], states that such testimony may be relied upon in presentation of the Postal Service's direct case.<sup>3</sup>

The Request relies substantially on record evidence entered in the baseline docket, docket no. MC2002-2. The Postal Service's Compliance Statement, Request Attachment E, identifies the baseline docket material on which it proposes to rely.

Requests that are proffered as functionally equivalent to baseline negotiated service agreements are handled expeditiously, until a final determination has been made as to their proper status. The Postal Service's Compliance Statement, Request Attachment E, is noteworthy in that it is required to identify information to facilitate rapid review of the Request to aid participants in evaluating whether or not the procedural path suggested by the Postal Service is appropriate.

The Postal Service submitted several contemporaneous related filings with its Request. The Postal Service has filed a proposal for limitation of issues in this docket.<sup>4</sup> Rule 196(a)(6) [39 CFR 3001.196a(6)]. The proposal identifies issues that were previously decided in the baseline docket, and a limited number of issues that remain in the instant Request. DFS has filed a pleading in support of the Postal Service's proposal for limitation of issues.<sup>5</sup>

Rule 196(b) [39 CFR 3001.196b] requires the Postal Service to provide written notice of its Request, either by hand delivery or by First-Class Mail, to all participants in the baseline docket, MC2002-2. This requirement provides additional time, due to an abbreviated intervention period, for the most likely

participants to decide whether or not to intervene. A copy of the Postal Service's notice was filed with the Commission on June 21, 2004.<sup>6</sup>

The Postal Service has filed a request to establish settlement procedures.<sup>7</sup> The Postal Service believes that there is a distinct possibility of settlement as the agreement is likely to be found functionally equivalent to the Capital One negotiated service agreement. DFS has filed a statement in support of the Postal Service's request for settlement procedures.<sup>8</sup>

The Postal Service believes that it has met the specific filing requirements set forth in rules 193 and 196 [39 CFR 3001.67-3001.193, 196]. It has filed a motion requesting that if the Commission concludes that the submitted materials and incorporations are not sufficient, that those requirements be waived.<sup>9</sup>

The Postal Service's Request, the accompanying testimonies of witnesses Ayub (USPS-T-1) and Giffney (DFS-T-1), the baseline docket no. MC2002-2 material, and other related material are available for inspection at the Commission's docket section during regular business hours. They also can be accessed electronically, via the Internet, on the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>).

### **I. Background: The Baseline Capital One Negotiated Service Agreement, Docket No. MC2002-2**

If a request predicated on a negotiated service agreement is found to be functionally equivalent to a previously recommended and currently in effect negotiated service agreement it will be afforded accelerated review. Rule 196 [39 CFR 3001.196]. The Postal Service asserts that the negotiated service agreement in its instant Request is functionally equivalent to the now in effect Capital One negotiated service agreement recommended by the Commission in Docket No. MC2002-2.<sup>10</sup> The Capital One negotiated service agreement will remain in force from

September 1, 2003 to September 1, 2006.<sup>11</sup>

The Capital One negotiated service agreement is based upon two significant mail service features—an address correction service feature, and a declining block rate volume discount feature.

The address correction service feature provides Capital One, at certain levels of volume, electronic address corrections without fee for First-Class Mail solicitations that are undeliverable as addressed (UAA). In return for receipt of electronic address correction, Capital One will no longer receive physical return of its UAA First-Class solicitation mail that cannot be forwarded. Capital One will also be required to maintain and improve the address quality for its First-Class Mail.

Use of the address correction service feature is a prerequisite to use of the second feature of the negotiated service agreement, a declining block rate volume discount. This feature provides Capital One with a per-piece discount for bulk First-Class Mail volume above an annual threshold volume. The per-piece discount varies from 3 to 6 cents under a "declining-block" rate structure. Should first-year mail volume decline under a predetermined quantity, a reduced threshold and lower initial discounts take effect. To account for several unknowns, total discounts pursuant to this agreement are limited by a stop-loss provision in the amount of \$40.637 million.

### **II. The DFS Negotiated Service Agreement**

The Postal Service proposes to enter into a three year negotiated service agreement with DFS. It asserts that the DFS negotiated service agreement is based on the same two substantive functional elements that are central to the Capital One negotiated service agreement—an address correction element and a declining block rate volume discount element.

The address correction element provides, at certain levels of volume, electronic address corrections without fee for properly endorsed First-Class Mail solicitations. DFS will receive the services associated with Change Service Requested, Option 2, which include forwarding. In return, DFS agrees to forgo physical return of undeliverable mail, which otherwise is provided under the existing service features of First-Class Mail for mail that cannot be forwarded.

<sup>11</sup> Notice of the United States Postal Service of Decision of the Governors, June 3, 2003.

<sup>3</sup> Request at 2, fn. 2.

<sup>4</sup> United States Postal Service Proposal for Limitation of Issues, June 21, 2004.

<sup>5</sup> Statement of Support of Discover Financial Services, Inc. (DFS) for the Postal Service's Request to Establish Settlement Procedures and for the Postal Service's Proposal for Limitation of Issues, July 21, 2004 (DFS Statement of Support).

<sup>6</sup> Notice of the United States Postal Service Concerning the Filing of a Request for a Recommended Decision on a Functionally Equivalent Negotiated Service Agreement, June 21, 2004.

<sup>7</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service for Establishment of Settlement Procedures, June 21, 2004.

<sup>8</sup> DFS Statement of Support.

<sup>9</sup> Statement of the United States Postal Service Concerning Compliance with Filing Requirements and Conditional Motion for Waiver, June 21, 2004 (Request for Waiver).

<sup>10</sup> See Opinion and Recommended Decision, Docket No. MC2002-2, May 15, 2003.

The declining block rate volume discount element provides DFS with per-piece discounts on those portions of its First-Class Mail that exceed specified volume thresholds. The initial volume threshold, which must be exceeded to receive any discount, is 405 million pieces. The discounts range from 2.5 cents to 4.5 cents depending on the block volume.

The Postal Service estimates it will benefit by \$6.8 million over the life of the Negotiated Service Agreement. This is based on estimates of \$8.0 million in savings due to the address correction feature, \$2.0 million in increased contribution due to increased First-Class Mail volume, and a net leakage of minus \$3.2 million due to the discount feature of the agreement. The agreement establishes a \$13 million discount cap over the life of the agreement. The agreement further provides an annual adjustment mechanism to both the volume thresholds and the cap.

### III. Commission Response

*Applicability of the rules for functionally equivalent negotiated service agreements.* For administrative purposes, the Commission has docketed the instant filing as a request predicated on a negotiated service agreement functionally equivalent to a previously recommended and ongoing negotiated service agreement. A final determination regarding the appropriateness of characterizing the negotiated service agreement as functionally equivalent to the Capital One negotiated service agreement, docket no. MC2002-2, and application of the expedited rules for functionally equivalent negotiated service agreements, rule 193 [39 CFR 3001.67-3001.193], will not be made until after the prehearing conference.

*Request for waiver of certain filing requirements.* Although the Postal Service believes that it has met the specific filing requirements set forth in rules 193 and 196 [39 CFR 3001.67-3001.193, 196], it has filed a Request for Waiver if the Commission concludes that the submitted materials and incorporations are not sufficient. Such requests sometimes serve a purpose under the Commission's general filing rules, when compliance with the standard filing requirements far exceeds what is required to justify a particular proposal. However, the rules promulgated for negotiated service agreements attempt to narrow the filing requirements to only what is necessary, and are specific as to what is required. Because the rules are narrow and specific, a request for waiver should also be narrow and specific as to the

request to waive a particular item. General requests for waivers of filing requirements do not meet this standard. The Postal Service's Request for Waiver is denied. If, at a later time, it is concluded that a specific filing requirement has not, need not, or cannot be met, the Postal Service may, without prejudice, request a waiver of that requirement.

*Settlement.* The Commission has established rules for expeditiously issuing recommendations in regard to requests predicated on functionally equivalent negotiated service agreements. If, after a prehearing conference, it is determined that the Postal Service's request is properly submitted as a functionally equivalent request, and there are no outstanding issues, the Commission will promptly issue its recommendations. In such instances, conducting a settlement conference for the purpose of eventually developing a proposed stipulation and agreement is both unnecessary and could interfere with the intent of the rules to expedite the schedule.

However, the Commission encourages communications among the Postal Service and other participants to facilitate resolving issues early in a proceeding. These communications can be either informal, or formally sanctioned settlement conferences. Settlement conferences early in a proceeding have substantial value in exploring the various positions of the different participants.

The Commission authorizes settlement negotiations in this proceeding. It appoints Postal Service counsel as settlement coordinator. In this capacity, counsel for the Service shall report on the status of settlement discussions at the prehearing conference. The Commission authorizes the settlement coordinator to hold settlement conferences on July 14, 2004, in the Commission's hearing room. Authorization of settlement discussions does not constitute a finding on the proposal's procedural status or on the need for a hearing.

*Representation of the general public.* In conformance with section 3624(a) of title 39, the Commission designates Shelley S. Dreifuss, director of the Commission's Office of the Consumer Advocate (OCA), to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding. Pursuant to this designation, Ms. Dreifuss will direct the activities of Commission personnel assigned to assist her and, upon request, will supply their names for the record. Neither Ms. Dreifuss nor any of the assigned personnel will participate in or

provide advice on any Commission decision in this proceeding.

*Intervention.* Those wishing to be heard in this matter are directed to file a notice of intervention on or before July 12, 2004. The notice of intervention shall be filed using the Internet (Filing Online) at the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>), unless a waiver is obtained for hardcopy filing. Rules 9(a) and 10(a) [39 CFR 3001.9a and 10a]. Notices should indicate whether participation will be on a full or limited basis. See rules 20 and 20a [39 CFR 3001.20 and 20a], and shall indicate if a hearing on this Request is desired.

*Prehearing conference.* A prehearing conference will be held July 15, 2004, at 11 a.m. in the Commission's hearing room. Participants shall be prepared to address whether or not it is appropriate to proceed under rule 196 [39 CFR 3001.196], on the Postal Service's proposal for limiting issues, and any issue(s) that justify scheduling a hearing. Rule 196(c) [39 CFR 3001.196c].

The Commission strongly urges participants intending to object to proceeding under rule 196 [39 CFR 3001.196] to file supporting written argument in advance of the prehearing conference. It would also greatly assist the Commission if participants file supporting written argument in advance of the prehearing conference in regard to the identification of any issue(s) that would indicate the need to schedule a hearing, and any objection to the Postal Service's proposal for limiting issues. The Commission intends on deciding upon these issues shortly after the prehearing conference.

### Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. MC2004-4 to consider the Postal Service Request referred to in the body of this order.

2. The Commission will sit en banc in this proceeding.

3. Statement of the United States Postal Service Concerning Compliance with Filing Requirements and Conditional Motion for Waiver, June 21, 2004, is denied, without prejudice.

4. Postal Service counsel is appointed to serve as settlement coordinator in this proceeding. The Commission will make its hearing room available for settlement conferences on July 14, 2004, and at such times deemed necessary by the settlement coordinator.

5. Shelley S. Dreifuss, director of the Commission's Office of the Consumer Advocate, is designated to represent the interests of the general public.

6. The deadline for filing notices of intervention is July 12, 2004.

7. A prehearing conference will be held July 15, 2004 at 11 a.m. in the Commission's hearing room.

8. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this notice and order in the **Federal Register**.

Issued: June 24, 2004.

By the Commission.

**Steven W. Williams**,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-14846 Filed 6-29-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 26487; 812-12458]

### Dreyfus Founders Funds, Inc., et al.; Notice of Application

June 24, 2004.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

**ACTION:** Notice of application under section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") for an exemption from sections 18(f) and 21(b) of the Act, under section 12(d)(1)(j) of the Act for an exemption from section 12(d)(1) of the Act, under sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act for an exemption from sections 17(a)(1) and 17(a)(3) of the Act, and under section 17(d) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act to permit certain joint arrangements.

**SUMMARY:** Applicants request an order that would permit certain registered open-end management investment companies to participate in a joint lending and borrowing facility.

*Applicants:* Dreyfus Founders Funds, Inc. ("Company") and Founders Asset Management LLC ("Founders").

**DATES:** *Filing Dates:* The application was filed on March 1, 2001, and amended on March 22, 2004, and June 14, 2004. Applicants have agreed to file an amendment during the notice period, the substance of which is reflected in this notice.

*Hearing or Notification of Hearing:* An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on July 19, 2004, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of

service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

**ADDRESSES:** Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Applicants, 210 University Boulevard, Suite 800, Denver, Colorado 80206.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Courtney S. Thornton, Senior Counsel, at (202) 942-0699, or Annette M. Capretta, Branch Chief, at (202) 942-0564 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained for a fee at the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0102 (tel. 202-942-8090).

#### Applicants' Representations

1. The Company is registered under the Act as an open-end management investment company and is organized as a Maryland corporation. The Company is comprised of ten series (each a "Fund", and together the "Funds"). Founders is registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. The Company, on behalf of each Fund, has entered into an investment advisory agreement with Founders under which Founders exercises discretion to purchase and sell securities for the Funds. Founders is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Mellon Financial Corporation ("MFC").<sup>1</sup>

2. Some Funds may lend money to banks or other entities by purchasing debt instruments. Other Funds may borrow money for temporary purposes to satisfy redemption requests or to cover unanticipated cash shortfalls such as a trade "fail" in which cash payment for a security sold by a Fund has been delayed. Currently, the Funds have committed lines of credit.

3. If the Funds were to borrow money under the lines of credit, the Funds would pay interest on the borrowed

cash at a rate that would be higher than the rate that would be earned by other (non-borrowing) Funds on investments in repurchase agreements and other short-term instruments of the same maturity as the bank loan. In addition, the Funds would have to pay commitment fees up front to obtain the bank's commitment to lend money.

4. Applicants request an order that would permit the Funds to enter into lending agreements ("Interfund Lending Agreements") under which the Funds would lend and borrow money for temporary purposes directly to and from each other through a credit facility ("Interfund Loan"). Applicants believe that the proposed credit facility would reduce the Funds' potential borrowing costs and enhance their ability to earn higher rates of interest on short-term lendings. Although the proposed credit facility would reduce the Funds' need to borrow from banks, the Funds would be free to establish and/or continue committed lines of credit or other borrowing arrangements with banks.

5. Applicants anticipate that the proposed credit facility would provide a borrowing Fund with savings when the cash position of the Fund is insufficient to meet temporary cash requirements. This situation could arise when redemptions exceed anticipated volumes and the Funds have insufficient cash on hand to satisfy such redemptions. When the Funds liquidate portfolio securities to meet redemption requests, which normally are paid immediately, they often do not receive payment in settlement for up to three days (or longer for certain foreign transactions). The credit facility would provide a source of immediate, short-term liquidity pending settlement of the sale of portfolio securities.

6. Applicants also propose using the credit facility when a sale of securities fails due to circumstances beyond a Fund's control, such as a delay in the delivery of cash to the Fund's custodian or improper delivery instructions by the broker effecting the transaction. Sales fails may present a cash shortfall if the Fund has undertaken to purchase a security with the proceeds from securities sold. When the Fund experiences a cash shortfall due to a sales fail, the Fund typically obtains credit to cover the shortfall and the Fund incurs charges for such credit. Alternatively, the Fund could fail on its intended purchase due to lack of funds from the previous sale, resulting in additional costs to the Fund, or sell a security on a same day settlement basis, earning a lower return on the investment. Use of the credit facility under these circumstances would

<sup>1</sup> Applicants request that the relief also apply to any other existing or future registered open-end management investment company, or series thereof, for which Founders, or any person controlling, controlled by, or under common control with Founders acts or may act in the future as an investment adviser (each a "Future Fund" and, together with the Funds, the "Funds"). The Company is the only investment company that presently intends to rely on the requested relief. Any Future Funds that subsequently rely on the order will comply with the terms and conditions in the application.