

FIRE SUPPRESSION AND EXPLOSION PROTECTION—Continued

End-use	Substitute	Decision	Further information
			See additional notes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

Additional notes:

- Should conform with relevant OSHA requirements, including 29 CFR part 1910, subpart L, §§ 1910.160, 1910.161 (dry chemicals and aerosols) and 1910.162 (gaseous agents).
- Per OSHA requirements, protective gear (SCBA) should be available in the event personnel should reenter the area.
- Discharge testing should be strictly limited to that which is essential to meet safety or performance requirements.
- The agent should be recovered from the fire protection system in conjunction with testing or servicing, and recycled for later use or destroyed.
- EPA has no intention of duplicating or displacing OSHA coverage related to the use of personal protective equipment (e.g., respiratory protection), fire protection, hazard communication, worker training or any other occupational safety and health standard with respect to halon substitutes.

STERILANTS

End-use	Substitute	Decision	Further information
Sterilants	IoGas™ Sterilant Blends 1, 3, and 6 as substitutes for CFC-12, HCFC-22, HCFC-124, in sterilant blends with ethylene oxide.	Acceptable	

Note: This appendix will not appear in the Code of Federal Regulations. **Appendix B: New Information Available**

FIRE SUPPRESSION AND EXPLOSION PROTECTION

End-use	Substitute	Information available
Total flooding	C6-perfluoroketone (FK-5-1-12mmy2, CAS Reg. No. 756-13-8).	EPA reviewed three additional papers on C6-perfluoroketone photolysis. The new information recently made available in the literature supports revising the global warming potential of C6-perfluoroketone to be between 0.6 and 1.8, relative to CO ₂ on a 100-year time horizon. See Docket A-91-42, item IX-B-93 or e-docket OAR-2003-0118-0049.
Streaming	C6-perfluoroketone (FK-5-1-12mmy2, CAS Reg. No. 756-13-8).	EPA reviewed three additional papers on C6-perfluoroketone photolysis. The new information recently made available in the literature supports revising the global warming potential of C6-perfluoroketone to be between 0.6 and 1.8, relative to CO ₂ on a 100-year time horizon. See Docket A-91-42, item IX-B-93 or e-docket OAR-2003-0118-0049.

[FR Doc. 04-21928 Filed 9-30-04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6656-3]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published

in the **Federal Register** dated April 2, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-SFW-L64050-00 Rating EC2, Caspian Tern (*sterna caspia*) Management to Reduce Predation of Juvenile Salmonids in the Columbia River Estuary, To Comply with the 2002 Settlement Agreement, Endangered Species Act (ESA), Columbia River, WA, OR, ID, and CA.

Summary: EPA raised concerns about tern consumption of ESA-listed salmonids in the vicinity of proposed nesting sites, the need for alternative nesting sites and water quality impacts from the creation, enhancement and maintenance of tern nesting habitat.

ERP No. DB-NOA-E91007-00 Rating LO, South Atlantic Shrimp Fishery Management Plan, Amendment 6, Propose to Amend the Bycatch

Reduction Device (BRD) Testing Protocol System, South Atlantic Region.

Summary: While EPA has no objection to the preferred alternatives, EPA requested clarification on why some alternatives only apply to either penaeid or rock shrimp, rather than to both.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-G65085-NM, Sacramento, Dry Canyon and Davis Grazing Allotments, Authorization of Livestock Grazing Activities, Lincoln National Forest, Sacramento Ranger District, Otero County, NM.

Summary: The Final EIS adequately responded to EPA's comments on the Draft EIS. EPA has no objection to the preferred action.

ERP No. F-COE-E39063-AL, Choctaw Point Terminal Project, Construction and Operation of a

Container Handling Facility, Department of the Army (DA) Permit Issuance, Mobile County, AL.

Summary: EPA continues to express concern due to impacts to wetlands. EPA recommended that the issue of avoiding/minimizing impacts to wetlands be further addressed in the Record of Decision.

ERP No. F-FHW-F40364-WI, Burlington Bypass State Trunk Highway Project, Construction, from WI-36, WI-11 and WI-83, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, In the City of Burlington, Racine, and Walworth Counties, WI.

Summary: While EPA's previous objection regarding direct impacts to the fresh fen have been avoided, EPA continues to express concerns about indirect impacts to the fen and the need to provide a detailed wetland compensation plan.

ERP No. F-IBW-G39039-00, Rio Grande Canalization Project (RGCP), Long-Term River Management Alternatives Practices, Implementation, from below Percha Dam in Sierra County, NM to American Dam in El Paso, TX.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the prepared action.

ERP No. F-NPS-G65086-TX, Big Bend National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Brewster County, TX.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NPS-K65251-AZ, Petrified Forest National Park General Management Plan Revision, Implementation, Navajo and Apache Counties, AZ.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NPS-K65267-CA, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the North District of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA) Fire Management Plan, Implementation, Marin County, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FB-NOA-G64002-00, Reef Fish Fishery Management Plan Amendment 22, To Set Red Snapper Sustainable Fisheries Act Targets and Thresholds, Set a Rebuilding Plan, and Establish Bycatch Reporting Methodologies for the Reef Fish Fishery, Gulf of Mexico.

Summary: While EPA has no objection to the proposed action, EPA did request clarification on whether additional regulatory controls in the Shrimp FMP may be necessary to limit the juvenile red snapper that are caught as bycatch in shrimp fishery.

Dated: September 28, 2004.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 04-22088 Filed 9-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6656-2]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed September 20, 2004 through September 24, 2004

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 040455, Draft EIS, AFS, WA, Fish Passage and Aquatic Habitat Restoration at Hemlock Dam, Implementation, Gifford Pinchot National Forest, Mount Adams District, Skamania County, WA, Comment Period Ends: November 15, 2004, *Contact:* Bengt Coffin (509) 395-3425.

EIS No. 040456, Draft EIS, NRS, OR, Williamson River Delta Restoration Project, To Restore and Maintain the Ecological Functions of the Delta, Williamson River, Klamath County, OR, Comment Period Ends: November 15, 2004, *Contact:* Kevin Conroy (541) 883-6924. Ext 115.

EIS No. 040457, Draft Supplement, AFS, AZ, NM, Southwestern Region Amendment of Forest Plans, Updated Information, Implementation, Standard and Guidelines for Northern Goshawk and Mexican Spotted Owl, AZ and NM, Comment Period Ends: November 15, 2004, *Contact:* Lou Wottering (505) 842-3898.

EIS No. 040458, Draft EIS, NIH, MD, National Institutes of Health (NIH) Master Plan 2003 Update, National Institutes of Health Main Campus, Bethesda, MD, Montgomery County, MD, Comment Period Ends: November 29, 2004, *Contact:* Ron Wilson (301) 496-5037.

EIS No. 040459, Draft EIS, IBR, CA, Sacramento River Settlement Contractors (SRSR) To Renew the Settlement Contractors Long-Term Contract Renewal for 145 Contractors, Central Valley Project (CVP), Sacramento River, Shasta, Tehama, Butte, Glenn, Colusa, Sutter, Yolo, Sacramento, Portion of Placer and Solano Counties, CA, Comment

Period Ends: November 15, 2004, *Contact:* Buford Holt (916) 989-7179.

Dated: September 28, 2004.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 04-22089 Filed 9-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7822-9]

Availability of FY 03 Grant Performance Reports for State of KY; and the Local Agencies of Louisville, KY, Knox County, TN and Memphis-Shelby County, TN

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability of grantee performance evaluation reports.

SUMMARY: EPA's grant regulations (40 CFR 35.115) require the Agency to evaluate the performance of agencies which receive grants. EPA's regulations for regional consistency (40 CFR 56.7) require that the Agency notify the public of the availability of the reports of such evaluations. EPA performed end-of-year evaluations of one state air pollution control program (Commonwealth of Kentucky); and three local programs (Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District, KY; Memphis-Shelby County Health Department, TN; and Knox County Department of Air Quality Management, TN). The four evaluations were conducted to assess the agencies' performance under the grants awarded by EPA under authority of section 105 of the Clean Air Act. EPA Region 4 has prepared reports for each agency identified above and these reports are now available for public inspection.

ADDRESSES: The reports may be examined at the EPA's Region 4 office, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303, in the Air, Pesticides, and Toxics Management Division.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marie Persinger (404) 562-9048 for information concerning the State of Kentucky and the local agency of Louisville, Kentucky; and Rayna D. Brown (404) 562-9093 for the local agencies of Knox County, Tennessee and Memphis-Shelby County, Tennessee. They may be contacted at the above Region 4 address.