180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated and final results of a review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. The Department may, however, extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a new shipper review to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated (19 CFR 351.214 (i)(2)). The Department has determined that this case is extraordinarily complicated, and the preliminary results of this new shipper review cannot be completed within the statutory time limit of 180 days. Specifically, the Department needs additional time because of the complexity of some of the issues, including valuing raw honey and several packaging inputs, as well as issuing supplemental questionnaires requesting additional information. Given the issues in this case, the Department finds that this case is extraordinarily complicated, and cannot be completed within the statutory time

Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results by 90 days, from January 26, 2005, to April 26, 2005, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2). The final results will, in turn, be due 90 days after the date of issuance of the preliminary results, unless extended.

Dated: December 10, 2004.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E4–3744 Filed 12–17–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-826]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Small Diameter Circular Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe From Brazil

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Dates: December 20, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Helen Kramer or Patrick Edwards, at (202) 482–0405 or (202) 482–8029, respectively, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration,

International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 1, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the opportunity to request administrative review of, inter alia, small diameter circular seamless carbon and alloy steel standard, line and pressure pipe ("seamless line pipe") from Brazil for the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 68 FR 45218 (August 1, 2003).

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), on August 12, 2003, V&M do Brasil, S.A., ("VMB"), respondent in this review, requested that we conduct an administrative review of its sales of the subject merchandise. On September 30, 2003, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review covering the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 68 FR 56262 (September 30, 2003). On April 23, 2004, the Department published a notice extending the preliminary results of this review by no more than 120 days. See Notice of Extension of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Small Diameter Circular Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe From Brazil, 69 FR 22005 (April 23, 2004). On September 7, 2004, the Department published in the Federal **Register** its affirmative preliminary results in this administrative review. See Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 69 FR 54125 (September 7, 2004). Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the final results are currently due on January 5, 2005.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, as amended, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the final results of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the final results within the statutory time limit of 120 days from the date on which the preliminary results were published. The Department has determined that due to

the complexity of the issues in this review, including (1) the evaluation of cost data, and (2) questions concerning appropriate model matching designations, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1) of the Department's regulations. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of these final results by 30 days. Accordingly, the final results of this review will now be due on February 4, 2005.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: December 14, 2004.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E4–3747 Filed 12–17–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-838; C-122-839]

Amendment to Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders on Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 20, 2004. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Herrmann at (202) 482–1780, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230, or Dean Pinkert at (202) 482–4339, Office of the Chief Counsel for Import Administration, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 16, 2002, the International Trade Commission ("Commission") determined that an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of imports of softwood lumber from Canada found to be subsidized and sold in the United States at less than fair value. Softwood Lumber from Canada, Inv. Nos. 701–TA–414 and 731–TA–928 (Final), USITC Pub. 3509 (May 2002) ("Final

Injury Determinations"). On May 22, 2002, the Department published an antidumping duty order and a countervailing duty order on softwood lumber products from Canada. 67 FR 36068, 36070.

The Government of Canada subsequently requested dispute resolution at the World Trade Organization ("WTO") to consider its claims that the *Final Injury* Determinations were inconsistent with the WTO Agreement. The matter was entitled "United States Investigation of the International Trade Commission in Softwood Lumber from Canada," WT/ DS277, and was reviewed by a WTO panel. In its final report, the panel found, inter alia, that action by the Commission in connection with its softwood lumber investigation under Title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, was not in conformity with the obligations of the United States under the WTO Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 and the WTO Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures. On April 26, 2004, the Dispute Settlement Body ("DSB") of the WTO adopted the final report of the panel.

After following the preliminary procedures required under section 129 of the URAA, by letter dated July 27, 2004, the United States Trade Representative ("USTR") requested that the Commission issue a determination under section 129(a)(4) of the URAA that would render the Commission's action not inconsistent with the recommendations and rulings of the DSB. On November 24, 2004, the Commission issued such a determination, concluding that the U.S. softwood lumber industry is threatened with material injury by reason of imports of subsidized and dumped softwood lumber from Canada.

USTR reviewed the Commission's determination under section 129 of the URAA and consulted with the Congressional committees as provided in section 129(a)(5) of the URAA. By letter dated December 10, 2004, USTR notified the Department of the Commission's new determination and requested that it be implemented.

Amendment to Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders On Softwood Lumber from Canada

As described above, by letter dated December 10, 2004, USTR notified the Department that the Commission has issued a new determination pursuant to section 129 of the URAA, consistent with the recommendations and rulings of the DSB, which affirms the

Commission's original determination that, under section 705(b)(1)(A)(ii) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, the industry in the United States producing softwood lumber products is threatened with material injury by reason of imports of the subject merchandise from Canada. Also pursuant to section 129 of the URAA, the Trade Representative requested that the Department implement the Commission's new determination.

Consequently, the Department hereby amends the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on softwood lumber products from Canada to reflect the issuance and implementation of the above—referenced determination under section 129 of the URAA.

Dated: December 13, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E4-3741 Filed 12-20-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-122-839]

Notice of Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Rescission of Certain Company-Specific Reviews: Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On June 14, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register its preliminary results of administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain softwood lumber products (subject merchandise) from Canada for the period May 22, 2002, through March 31, 2003 (see Notice of Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada, 69 FR 33204 (June 14, 2004) (Preliminary Results)). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Based on information received since the Preliminary Results and our analysis of comments received, the Department has revised the net subsidy rate. For further discussion of the changes we have made since the Preliminary Results, see the "Issues and Decision Memorandum from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, concerning the "Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada" (Decision Memorandum) dated December 13, 2004. The final net subsidy rate is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

DATES: Effective Date: December 20, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kristen Johnson (202) 482–4793, or James Terpstra (202) 482–3965, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4012, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 14, 2004, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the Preliminary Results. We invited interested parties to comment on the results. Since the preliminary results, the following events have occurred.

In the Preliminary Results we stated that, in order to provide parties an opportunity to comment, the Department intended to issue a decision memorandum related to subsidy rate calculations involving the companies selected for individual review prior to issuing the final results of this review (69 FR at 33206). On October 8, 2004, we issued a memorandum detailing our analysis of Fontaine Inc. (formerly J.A. Fontaine), Les Produits Forestiers Dube Inc., Scierie West Brome Inc., and Scierie Lapointe & Roy Ltee. and announcing our intent to rescind the reviews with respect to Bear Lumber Ltd., Bois Daaquam Inc., Cambie Cedar Products Ltd., Midway Lumber Mills Ltd., Nickel Lake Lumber, Twin Rivers Cedar Products Ltd., and Uphill Wood Supply Inc.

In the Preliminary Results we stated that we had not yet received any responses to our request for sales data for the period of review (POR) from the companies that were excluded from the countervailing duty order as a result of the exclusion and expedited review process (69 FR at 33207). On June 28, 2004, we received a response from only one of the companies. See J.D. Irving, Limited (J.D. Irving) June 28, 2004, submission.

In connection with the Human Resources & Skills Development Worker Assistance Programs administered by