of total producer revenue could be about List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 958 1.1 percent.

This proposed rule would increase the assessment obligation imposed on handlers. While assessments impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are minimal and uniform on all handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers. However, these costs would be offset by the benefits derived by the operation of the marketing order. In addition, the Committee's meetings were widely publicized throughout the Idaho-Eastern Oregon onion industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meetings and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the April 1, 2004, meeting was open to the public and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

This proposed rule would not impose additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large Idaho-Eastern Oregon onion handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: http://www.ams.usda.gov/ fv/moab.html. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

A 30-day comment period is provided to allow interested persons to respond to this proposed rule. Thirty days is deemed appropriate because: (1) The 2004–2005 fiscal period begins on July 1, 2004, and the order requires that the rate of assessment for each fiscal period apply to all assessable onions handled during such fiscal period; (2) the Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis; and (3) handlers are aware of this action which was recommended by the Committee at a public meeting and is similar to other assessment rate actions issued in past years.

Onions, Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 958 is proposed to be amended as follows:

## PART 958—ONIONS GROWN IN **CERTAIN DESIGNATED COUNTIES IN** IDAHO, AND MALHEUR COUNTY, OREGON

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 958 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

2. Section 958.240 is revised to read as follows:

## §958.240 Assessment rate.

On and after July 1, 2004, an assessment rate of \$0.105 per hundredweight is established for Idaho-Eastern Oregon onions.

Dated: May 17, 2004.

A.J. Yates,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service. [FR Doc. 04-11514 Filed 5-20-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

### 26 CFR Part 1

[REG-167217-03]

RIN 1545-BD03

## **Electronic Filing of Duplicate Forms** 5472; Hearing Cancellation

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Cancellation of notice of public hearing on proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This document provides notice of cancellation of a public hearing on regulations providing that a Form 5472 that is timely filed electronically is treated as satisfying the requirement timely to file a duplicate Form 5472 with the Internal Revenue Service Center in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

**DATES:** The public hearing originally scheduled for May 27, 2004, at 10 a.m., is cancelled.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robin R. Jones of the Publications and **Regulations Branch**, Legal Processing Division at (202) 622-7180 (not a tollfree number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of

public hearing that appeared in the Federal Register on Monday, February 9, 2004 (69 FR 5940), announced that a public hearing was scheduled for May 27, 2004, at 10 a.m., in the auditorium. The subject of the public hearing is proposed regulations under section 6038A and 6038C of the Internal Revenue Code. The public comment period for these regulations expired on May 10, 2004. The outlines of oral comments were due on May 6, 2004.

The notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing, instructed those interested in testifying at the public hearing to submit an outline of the topics to be addressed. As of Tuesday, May 18, 2004, no one has requested to speak. Therefore, the public hearing scheduled for May 27, 2004, is cancelled.

## Cynthia E. Grigsby,

Acting Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration).

[FR Doc. 04-11568 Filed 5-18-04; 2:07 pm] BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

**Coast Guard** 

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD01-04-002]

RIN 2115-AA00

## Security Zones; Democratic National Convention, Boston, MA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to establish a series of temporary security zones on the Charles River in the vicinity of the FleetCenter/North Station, throughout a portion of Boston Inner Harbor in the vicinity of Logan International Airport and surrounding Very Important Person (VIP) vessels designated by the Captain of the Port (COTP) Boston, Massachusetts, to be in need of Coast Guard escort for security reasons while they are transiting the COTP Boston, Massachusetts zone. These temporary zones are needed to safeguard protectees, the public, designated VIP vessels and crews, other vessels and crews, and the infrastructure within the COTP Boston, Massachusetts, zone from terrorist or subversive acts during the Democratic National Convention: A National Special Security Event, being held from July 26, 2004 to July 29, 2004 at the