promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

# Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER): Docket 2003–NM–96–AD.

Applicability: Model EMB–120 series airplanes, as listed in EMBRAER Service Bulletin 120–25–0255, dated March 5, 2002; and EMBRAER Service bulletin 120–25–0257, dated April 30, 2002; certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent significant movement of cargo during operations, which could result in loss of control of the airplane or injury to the flightcrew, accomplish the following:

#### Installation

(a) Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD: Install three new vertical cargo nets by doing all the actions in and per the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 120–25–0255, dated March 5, 2002; or EMBRAER Service Bulletin 120–25–0257, dated April 30, 2002; as applicable.

## **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(b) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

**Note 1:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Brazilian airworthiness directive 2001–02-02R1, dated April 22, 2003.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 30, 2004.

#### Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 04–7710 Filed 4–5–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-346-AD] RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER) Model EMB-135 and -145 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the supersedure of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain EMBRAER Model EMB-135 and -145 series airplanes, that currently requires determining whether a defective auxiliary power unit (APU) exhaust silencer is installed on the airplane; and corrective actions if necessary. For certain airplanes, this action would require modification of the APU exhaust silencer, and reidentification of the part number for the APU exhaust silencer once the modification is accomplished. For certain other airplanes, this action would require repetitive inspections to determine the structural integrity of the APU exhaust silencer: corrective actions, if necessary; eventual modification of the APU exhaust silencer, which terminates the repetitive inspections; and reidentification of the part number for the APU exhaust silencer once the modification is accomplished. This action would also add airplanes to the applicability of the existing AD. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent separation of the aft baffle assembly from the APU exhaust silencer and consequent separation of the assembly from the airplane, which could cause damage to other airplanes during takeoff and landing operations, or injury to people on the ground. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by May 6, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation

Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002–NM– 346-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anmnprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2002-NM-346-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 or 2000 or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER), P.O. Box 343—CEP 12.225, Sao Jose dos Campos—SP, Brazil. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Todd Thompson, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-1175; fax (425) 227-1149.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.
- Include justification (*e.g.*, reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this action must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2002–NM–346–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002–NM-346–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

#### Discussion

On August 1, 2002, the FAA issued AD 2002–16–06, amendment 39–12845 (67 FR 52398, August 12, 2002), applicable to certain EMBRAER Model  $\widehat{EMB}$ –135 and –145 series airplanes. That AD requires determining whether a defective auxiliary power unit (APU) exhaust silencer is installed on the airplane; and corrective actions, if necessary. That action was prompted by a report that the aft baffle assembly separated from the shell assembly of an APU exhaust silencer having part number 4503801B. The requirements of that AD are intended to prevent separation of the aft baffle assembly from the APU exhaust silencer and consequent separation of the assembly from the airplane, which could cause damage to other airplanes during takeoff and landing operations, or injury to people on the ground.

### **Actions Since Issuance of Previous Rule**

Since the issuance of that AD, the Departmento de Aviacao Civil (DAC), which is the airworthiness authority for Brazil, issued a revised airworthiness directive which adds airplanes to the applicability of the existing Brazilian airworthiness directive, new repetitive inspections, and additional modifications; and allows up to two repetitive inspections before accomplishment of the modifications that terminate the repetitive inspections.

# **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

EMBRAER has issued Service Bulletin 145–49–0021, Change 03, dated

September 12, 2003 (for Model EMB-135 and -145 series airplanes). For airplanes listed in the effectivity for Part I of the service bulletin, the procedures include a visual inspection of the APU exhaust silencer to determine if the aft baffle is flush with the end of the cylindrical portion, an inspection of the movement of the cylindrical portion of the APU exhaust silencer shell assembly, modification of the APU exhaust silencer assembly by spotwelding and installing bolts (including torquing the bolts) and a spacer, and reidentification of the modified APU exhaust silencer assembly with a new part number. For airplanes listed in the effectivity for Part II of the service bulletin, the procedures include modifying the APU exhaust silencer assembly by installing a spacer and bolts (including torquing the bolts), and reidentifying the modified APU exhaust silencer assembly with a new part number. Both Part I and Part II of the EMBRAER service bulletin request that operators submit a form notifying the manufacturer when the actions in the service bulletin have been accomplished.

Also, both Part I and Part II of the service bulletin reference Hamilton Sundstrand Service Bulletin SB—4503801–49—3, Revision 02, dated July 19, 2002, as an additional source of service information for inspection and modification of the APU exhaust silencer assembly. The Hamilton Sundstrand bulletin is incorporated into the EMBRAER service bulletin.

EMBRAER has also issued Service Bulletin 145LEG-49-0001, Change 01, dated August 29, 2002 (for Model EMB-135BJ series airplanes). This service bulletin describes procedures for inspecting the APU exhaust silencer assembly, modifying the APU exhaust silencer assembly by installing fasteners and a spacer, and reidentifying the modified APU exhaust silencer assembly with a new part number. The service bulletin requests that operators submit a form notifying the manufacturer when the actions in the service bulletin have been accomplished. The service bulletin references Hamilton Sundstrand Service Bulletin SB-4503801-49-3, Revision 02, dated July 19, 2002, as an additional source of service information for inspection and modification of the APU exhaust silencer assembly. The Hamilton Sundstrand service bulletin is incorporated into the EMBRAER service bulletin.

The DAC classified the EMBRAER service bulletins as mandatory and issued Brazilian airworthiness directive 2002–05–01R2, dated January 6, 2003,

to ensure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Brazil.

#### **FAA's Conclusions**

These airplane models are manufactured in Brazil and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DAC has kept us informed of the situation described above. We have examined the findings of the DAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

## Explanation of Requirements of Proposed AD

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would supersede AD 2002-16-06. For certain airplanes, the proposed AD would require modification of the APU exhaust silencer, and reidentification of the part number for the APU exhaust silencer once the modification is accomplished. For certain other airplanes, the proposed AD would require repetitive inspections to determine the structural integrity of the APU exhaust silencer; corrective actions, if necessary; modification of the APU exhaust silencer, which terminates the repetitive inspections; and reidentification of the part number for the APU exhaust silencer once the modification is accomplished. The proposed AD would also add airplanes to the applicability of the existing AD. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the applicable service bulletin described previously, except as described below.

## Differences Between the Proposed AD and Service Information

Although the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletins specify to report accomplishment of the service bulletins to the manufacturer, this proposed AD does not require that action.

## **Cost Impact**

There are approximately 394 airplanes of U.S. registry that would be affected by this proposed AD.

The proposed repetitive inspections specified in Part I of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–49–0021, Change 03, dated September 12, 2003; and EMBRAER

Service Bulletin145LEG-49-0001, Change 01, dated August 29, 2002; would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$65 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed repetitive inspections on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$65 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

The proposed modification, including the part number reidentification, specified in Part I of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–49–0021, Change 02; and EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145LEG–49–0001, Change 01; would take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$65 per work hour. Required parts would be supplied by the part manufacturer at no cost to operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed modification is estimated to be \$102,440, or \$260 per airplane.

The proposed modification, including the part number reidentification, specified in Part II of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–49–0021, Change 03, would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$65 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed modification is estimated to be \$65 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the current or proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

#### **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if

promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39–12845 (67 FR 52398, August 12, 2002), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), to read as follows:

Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER): Docket 2002–NM–346–AD. Supersedes AD 2002–16–06, Amendment 39–12845.

Applicability: Model EMB–135BJ series airplanes, as listed in EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145LEG–49–0001, Change 01, dated August 29, 2002; and Model EMB–135 and –145 series airplanes, as listed in EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–49–0021, Change 03, dated September 12, 2003; certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent separation of the aft baffle assembly from the auxiliary power unit (APU) exhaust silencer and consequent separation of the assembly from the airplane, which could cause damage to other airplanes during takeoff and landing operations, or injury to people on the ground, accomplish the following:

#### Modification

(a) For airplanes that have incorporated EMBRAER Alert Service Bulletin 145–49–A021, Change 01, dated May 13, 2003: Within 1,500 flight hours after the effective date of this AD; install a spacer and bolts (including torquing the bolts) in the APU exhaust silencer assembly per the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145LEG–49–0001, Change 01, dated August 29, 2002, (for Model EMB–135BJ series airplanes); or Part II of the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER

Service Bulletin 145–49–0021, Change 03, dated September 12, 2003, (for Model EMB –135 and –145 series airplanes); as applicable.

#### **Reidentification of Modified Part**

(b) For airplanes that have incorporated EMBRAER Alert Service Bulletin 145–49–A021, Change 01, dated May 13, 2003: After accomplishment of the modification required by paragraph (a) of this AD; before further flight, change the part number of the modified APU exhaust silencer assembly from 4503801B to 4503801C per the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145LEG—49–0001, Change 01, dated August 29, 2002; or Part II of the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–49–0021, Change 03, dated September 12, 2003; as applicable.

#### Inspections

(c) For airplanes that have not incorporated EMBRAER Alert Service Bulletin 145-49-A021, Change 01, dated May 13, 2003: Within 500 flight hours or 3 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever is first; do a one-time general visual inspection of the APU exhaust silencer to determine if the aft baffle is flush with the end of the cylindrical portion, and an inspection of the movement of the cylindrical portion of the APU exhaust silencer shell assembly, per the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145LEG-49-0001, Change 01, dated August 29, 2002; or Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145-49-0021, Change 03, dated September 12, 2003; as applicable.

(1) If the APU exhaust silencer assembly passes the inspections: Do the actions in paragraph (d) of this AD.

(2) If the APU exhaust silencer assembly does not pass one or both inspections: Before further flight, secure or remove the affected parts from the silencer, and placard the APU as "Inoperative" per the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service bulletin. No further action is required unless the APU is reactivated. To reactivate the APU: Before further flight, do the actions required by paragraph (e) of this AD.

Note 1: For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is defined as: "A visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation, or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made from within touching distance unless otherwise specified. A mirror may be necessary to enhance visual access to all exposed surfaces in the inspection area. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or droplight and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked."

#### Repetitive Inspections

(d) For airplanes that have not incorporated EMBRAER Alert Service Bulletin 145–49–A021, Change 01, dated May 13, 2003: After doing the inspections required by paragraph (c) of this AD, before further flight; do a mechanical integrity

inspection of the APU exhaust silencer assembly per the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145LEG-49-0001, Change 01, dated August 29, 2002; or Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145-49-0021, Change 03, dated September 12, 2003; as applicable.

(1) If the APÛ exhaust silencer assembly passes the inspection required by paragraph (d) of this AD: Do the same steps for the mechanical integrity inspection required by paragraph (d) of this AD in a counterclockwise direction, per the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service bulletin. Repeat the inspections required by paragraphs (d) and (d)(1) of this AD thereafter at intervals not to exceed 500 flight hours or 3 months, whichever is first. The inspections may be repeated up to two times before accomplishment of the requirements of paragraph (e) of this AD.

(2) If the APU exhaust silencer assembly does not pass the inspection required by paragraph (d) of this AD: Before further flight, disassemble the APU exhaust silencer assembly or placard the APU as "Inoperative" per the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service bulletin. No further action is required unless the APU is reactivated. To reactivate the APU: Before further flight, do the actions required by paragraph (e) of this APU.

#### Modification/Terminating Action

(e) For airplanes that have not incorporated EMBRAER Alert Service Bulletin 145-49-A021, Change 01, dated May 13, 2003: Within 1,500 flight hours or 12 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever is first, except as provided by paragraphs (c)(2) and (d)(2) of this AD; do all of the applicable actions per the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145LEG-49-0001, Change 01, dated August 29, 2002; or Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145-49-0021, Change 03, dated September 12, 2003; as applicable. This constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (d) of this AD.

#### **Reidentification of Modified Part**

(f) For airplanes that have not incorporated EMBRAER Alert Service Bulletin 145–49–A021, Change 01, dated May 13, 2003: After accomplishment of the modification required by paragraph (e) of this AD; before further flight, change the part number of the modified APU exhaust silencer assembly from 4503801B to 4503801C per the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145LEG–49–0001, Change 01, dated August 29, 2002; or Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–49–0021, Change 03, dated September 12, 2003; as applicable.

## **Credit for Actions Previously Accomplished**

(g) Accomplishment of the specified actions before the effective date of this AD per EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–49–0021, Change 02, dated November 12, 2002, is considered acceptable for compliance with the applicable requirements of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) of this AD.

#### **Parts Installation**

(h) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install on any airplane an APU exhaust silencer having P/N 4503801B.

#### **Submission of Information Not Required**

(i) Although the service bulletins referenced in this AD specify to submit information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include such a requirement.

#### **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(j) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

**Note 2:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Brazilian airworthiness directive 2002–05–01R2, dated January 6, 2003.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 30, 2004.

#### Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 04–7709 Filed 4–5–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-NM-12-AD]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 B4–600, B4–600R, C4–605R Variant F, and F4–600R (Collectively Called A300–600), and A310 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Airbus Model A300-600 and A310 series airplanes. This proposal would require modification of the attachment system of the insulation blankets of the forward cargo compartment and related corrective action. This action is necessary to prevent failure of the attachment system of the cargo insulation blankets, which could result in detachment and consequent tearing of the blankets. Such tearing could result in blanket pieces being ingested into and jamming the forward outflow valve of the pressure regulation subsystem, which could lead to cabin depressurization and adversely affect continued safe flight of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by May 6, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003-NM-12-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm $nprmcomment@faa.gov. \ Comments \ sent$ via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2003-NM-12-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 or 2000 or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Airbus, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2125; fax (425) 227-1149.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.
- Include justification (*e.g.*, reasons or data) for each request.