

Site 2 (Piscataway Complex, 3 Parcels, 32.8 acres total) as follows:

—16.5 acres at 81 New England Avenue, Piscataway, Middlesex County

—5.2 acres at 60 New England Avenue, Piscataway, Middlesex County

—11.1 acres at 80 Schoolhouse Road, Somerset, Somerset County

Site 3 (Franklin Complex, 2 Parcels, 42.2 acres total) as follows:

—34.7 acres at 100 Commerce Drive, Somerset, Somerset County

—7.5 acres at 10 Van Dyke Avenue, New Brunswick, Middlesex County

Site 4 (56.5 acres) Brunswick Complex, located at 77 Deans Rhode Hall Road, Monmouth Junction, Middlesex County.

The comment period for the case referenced above is being extended to April 23, 2004, to allow interested parties additional time in which to comment. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at one of the following addresses:

1. Submissions Via Express/Package Delivery Services: Foreign-Trade-Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building—Suite 4100W, 1099 14th St. NW., Washington, DC 20005; or

2. Submissions Via the U.S. Postal Service: Foreign-Trade-Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB—Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: March 12, 2004.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-6614 Filed 3-23-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 11-2004]

Foreign-Trade Zone 24—Pittston, Pennsylvania; Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Eastern Distribution Center, Inc., grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 24, requesting authority to expand FTZ 24-Site 1 and to include three additional sites in the Pittston, Pennsylvania, area, within the Wilkes-Barre/Scranton Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on March 17, 2004.

FTZ 24 was approved on October 21, 1976 (Board Order 112, 41 FR 47288, 10/28/76). The zone project currently consists of a 42-acre site within the 265-acre Eastern Distribution Center, located midway between Scranton and Wilkes-Barre, adjacent to the Wilkes-Barre/Scranton International Airport. An application is pending with the FTZ Board to expand FTZ 24 to include a site at the Valley View Business Park/Jessup Small Business Center in the Boroughs of Jessup and Archbald, Pennsylvania (Proposed Site 2, Docket 9-2004).

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand existing Site 1 and to include three additional sites in the area: expand *Site 1* to include an additional parcel (29 acres) within the Grimes Industrial Park located east of Commerce Road and north of Sathers Road in Pittston Township (listed as Site 2B in the application); *Proposed Site 3* (232 acres)—industrial park located west of Interstate 81 and north of Oak Street in Pittston Township (listed as Site 2A in the application); *Proposed Site 4* (493 acres)—industrial park located along Interstate 476 south of O'Hara Industrial Park in Jenkins Township (listed as Site 1B in the application); and, *Proposed Site 5* (138 acres)—industrial park located north of Saylor Avenue and east of River Road in Jenkins Township (listed as Site 1A in the application). The property is owned by the Mericle Commercial Real Estate Services. No specific manufacturing authority is being requested at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at one of the addresses below:

1. *Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services:* Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building—Suite 4100W, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005; or

2. *Submissions via the U.S. Postal Service:* Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB—Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is May 24, 2004. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted

during the subsequent 15-day period to June 7, 2004.

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at the first address listed above, and at the Office of the Eastern Distribution Center, Inc., 1151 Oak Street, Pittston, PA 18640.

Dated: March 17, 2004.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-6615 Filed 3-23-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-816]

Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Korea: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit for the preliminary results of antidumping duty new shipper review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 24, 2004.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products ("CORE") from Korea until no later than July 22, 2004. The new shipper review covers one producer/exporter, Hyundai Hysco ("Hysco"). The period of review is August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Ferrier or Paul Walker, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2667 and (202) 482-0413, respectively.

Background

On August 29, 2003, the Department received a timely request from Hysco in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c), for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on CORE from Korea, which has an August anniversary date. Hysco identified itself as a

producer/exporter of CORE and its subsidiary, Hyundai Pipe America (“HPA”), as its importer of CORE.

On October 3, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of a new shipper review of CORE from Korea covering the period August 1, 2002 through July 31, 2003. See *Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review*, 68 FR 57423 (October 3, 2003).

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of New Shipper Review

Section 351.214(i)(1) of the Act provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review within 180 days after the date the new shipper review was initiated. If the Department determines that a new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated, however, § 351.214(i)(2) of the Act allows the Department to extend the deadline for the preliminary results to up to 300 days after the date the new shipper review was initiated. The Department has determined that this case is extraordinarily complicated, and the preliminary results of this new shipper review cannot be completed within 180 days from the date on which the new shipper review was initiated. Specifically, the Department finds that this new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated because the Department is collecting and analyzing detailed data pertaining to multiple-stage production costs. In addition, the Department is analyzing home market sales of subject merchandise for further processing into non-subject merchandise and subsequent export, as well as issues related to scope exclusions of certain products.

Therefore, given the number and complexity of issues in this case, and in accordance with § 351.214(i)(2) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 120 days until July 22, 2004. The final results continue to be due 90 days after the publication of the preliminary results, unless otherwise extended.

Dated: March 18, 2004.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 04-6612 Filed 3-23-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-824]

Silicomanganese From Brazil: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On October 27, 2003, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from Brazil. The review covers exports of this merchandise to the United States by the collapsed parties, Sibra Eletro-Siderurgica Brasileira S.A. (SIBRA), Companhia Paulista de Ferro-Ligas (CPFL), and Urucum Mineracao (Urucum) (collectively “SIBRA/CPFL/Urucum”), for the period December 1, 2001, through November 30, 2002. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have revised our calculations for these final results. The final weighted-average margin is listed below in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 24, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Ellman at (202) 482-4852 or Katja Kravetsky at (202) 482-0108, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 27, 2003, we published the preliminary results of review (see *Silicomanganese from Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review*, 68 FR 61185). We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of the review. On November 6, 2003, we received a request for a public hearing from Eramer Marietta (the petitioner). On December 5, 2003, the petitioner and SIBRA/CPFL filed case briefs. On December 12, 2003, both parties filed rebuttal briefs. The hearing took place on December 17, 2003. On February 23, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) postponed the final results of this review until no later than March

16, 2004. See *Silicomanganese From Brazil: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 9587 (March 1, 2004).

The Department has conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act).

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this review is silicomanganese. Silicomanganese, which is sometimes called ferrosilicon manganese, is a ferroalloy composed principally of manganese, silicon and iron, and normally contains much smaller proportions of minor elements, such as carbon, phosphorous and sulfur. Silicomanganese generally contains by weight not less than 4 percent iron, more than 30 percent manganese, more than 8 percent silicon, and not more than 3 percent phosphorous. All compositions, forms, and sizes of silicomanganese are included within the scope of this review, including silicomanganese slag, fines, and briquettes. Silicomanganese is used primarily in steel production as a source of both silicon and manganese.

Silicomanganese is currently classifiable under subheading 7202.30.0000 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Some silicomanganese may also currently be classifiable under HTSUS subheading 7202.99.5040. This scope covers all silicomanganese, regardless of its tariff classification. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum” from Susan Kuhbach, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, to Jeffrey May, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated March 16, 2004 (Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. Attached to this notice as an appendix is a list of the issues that parties have raised and to which we have responded in the Decision Memorandum. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet