aided dispatching center to the on-board computers of all trains operating

through this territory.

(2) Amtrak must provide for TSR compliance through the use of Northeast Operating Rules Advisory Committee (NORAC) Form D or temporary speed restriction bulletin forms, advance speed signs, speed signs and resume signs. Compliance will continue to be monitored through efficiency tests.

(3) Amtrak must enforce the current speed limit of 110 miles per hour on the affected territory until ACSES is fully implemented and all features of the system, including positive enforcement of TSRs, are fully functional.

(4) Amtrak must provide a minimum of ten days notice to any carriers affected by ACSES expansion prior to its activation of the ACSES system to allow the affected carriers sufficient opportunity to operate test trains within the territory. The Regional Administrator for Region 1 shall be provided all associated safety and testing documentation to determine that appropriate preparations have been made to support expansions of ACSES.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the preamble, the Final Order of Particular Applicability published at 63 FR 39343, July 22, 1998 (Order) is amended as follows:

1. The authority for the Order continues to read as follows: 49 U.S.C. 20103, 20107, 20501–20505 (1994); and 49 CFR 1.49(f), (g), and (m).

2. Paragraph 13 is added as follows: 13. Amtrak Temporary Operating Protocols

Effective upon March 17, 2004, until no later than April 1, 2005:

a. The requirement that Amtrak achieve positive enforcement of temporary speed restrictions (TSRs) through temporary transponders is suspended on the mainline track between Mill River Interlocking (MP 73.6) and High Street Interlocking (MP 142.9) on the NEC-North End to allow Amtrak to achieve direct loading of TSR data from its computer-aided dispatching center to the on-board computers of all trains operating through this territory.

b. Amtrak shall provide for TSR compliance and roadway worker protection through the use of Northeast Operating Rules Advisory Committee (NORAC) Form D or temporary speed restriction bulletin forms, advance speed signs, speed signs and resume signs. Compliance will continue to be monitored through efficiency tests.

c. Amtrak shall enforce the current speed limit of 110 miles per hour on the affected territory until ACSES is fully implemented and all features of the system, including positive enforcement of TSRs, are fully functional.

d. Amtrak must provide a minimum of ten days notice to any carriers affected by ACSES expansion prior to its activation of the ACSES system to allow the affected carriers sufficient opportunity to operate test trains within the territory. The Regional Administrator for Region 1 shall be provided all associated safety and testing documentation to determine that appropriate preparations have been made to support expansions of ACSES.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 11, 2004.

#### Allan Rutter,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 04–6035 Filed 3–16–04; 8:45 am]

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Maritime Administration**

Reports, Forms and Recordkeeping Requirements; Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Maritime Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 seq.), this notice announces that the Information Collection abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The nature of the information collection is described as well as its expected burden. The Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on December 12, 2003. No comments were received.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before April 16, 2004.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia Ann Thomas, Maritime Administration, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: (202) 366–2646; FAX: (202) 493–2180, or e-mail:

patricia.thomas@marad.dot.gov. Copies of this collection also can be obtained from that office.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Maritime Administration (MARAD).

*Title:* Merchant Marine Medals and Awards.

OMB Control Number: 2133–0506. Type of Request: Extension of currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Masters, officers and crew members of U.S. ships.

Forms: None.

Abstract: This information collection provides a method of awarding merchant marine medals and decorations to masters, officers, and crew members of U.S. ships in recognition of their service in areas of danger during the operations by the Armed Forces of the United States in World War II, Korea, Vietnam, and Operation Desert Storm.

Annual Estimated Burden Hours: 1200 hours.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention MARAD Desk Officer.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected: and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. A comment to OMB is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

Dated: March 12, 2004.

## Joel C. Richard,

Secretary, Maritime Administration. [FR Doc. 04–5993 Filed 3–16–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

# Petition for Modification of a Previously Approved Antitheft Device; General Motors Corporation

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Grant of petition for modification of a previously approved antitheft device.

**SUMMARY:** On June 16, 1986, this agency granted in full General Motors Corporation's (GM) petition for exemption from the parts-marking requirements of the vehicle theft prevention standard for the Chevrolet Corvette vehicle line. This notice grants in full GM's petition for modification of the previously approved antitheft device for that line. NHTSA is granting GM's

petition for modification because it has determined, based on substantial evidence, that the modified antitheft device described in GM's petition to be placed on the vehicle line as standard equipment is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the partsmarking requirements. GM requested confidential treatment for some of the information and attachments submitted in support of its petition. In a letter dated February 11, 2004, the agency granted the petitioner's request for confidential treatment of most aspects of its petition.

**DATES:** The exemption granted by this notice is effective beginning with model year (MY) 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Rosalind Proctor, Office of International Policy, Fuel Economy and Consumer Programs, NHTSA, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Ms. Proctor's telephone number is (202) 366–0846. Her fax number is (202) 493–2290.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 16, 1986, NHTSA published in the Federal Register a notice granting a petition from GM for an exemption from the parts-marking requirements of the vehicle theft prevention standard for the Chevrolet Corvette vehicle line beginning with the 1987 model year (See 51 FR 21823). On November 18, 2003, GM submitted a petition for modification of its existing MY 1987 antitheft device. GM's submission is a complete petition, as required by 49 CFR 543.9(d), in that it meets the general requirements contained in 49 CFR 543.5 and the specific content requirements of 49 CFR 543.6. GM's petition provides a detailed description of the identity, design and location of the components of the antitheft system proposed for installation beginning with the 2005 model year. On January 26 and February 13, 2004, the agency contacted GM by telephone to obtain additional information on the proposed modifications.

GM explained that the MY 1987 antitheft device consisted of two basic parts: An alarm system and an engine interrupt system (identified as the Vehicle Antitheft System (VATS)). The engine interrupt system's name, "VATS", was changed to "PASS-Key" beginning with the 1989 model year. The MY 1987 "VATS" is identical to the "PASS-Key" system. The VATS/PASS-Key is activated by removing the key from the ignition and locking the driver's door. The alarm system is triggered by attempted unauthorized entry through the doors, rear hatch, or

roof panel openings. The sounding of the horn indicates unauthorized entry. The VATS/PASS-Key part of the device provides a starter interrupt. The VATS/ PASS-Key consists of the ignition key, ignition lock cylinder and a VATS/ PASS-Key decoder module and is fully functional when the ignition is turned off and the key is removed from the ignition. Before the vehicle can be operated, a key whose shank contains the correct electrical resistance of the key must be inserted in the ignition and recognized by the VATS/PASS-Key decoder module. If a key with the incorrect electrical resistance is inserted, the VATS/PASS-Kev decoder module will shut down for a period of two to four minutes. Any attempt to make further resistance comparisons during the module shut down period will only cause the timer to recycle to zero and start again.

In its petition for modification, GM stated that for MY 2005, the Corvette vehicle line will be upgraded to use its new theft deterrent system. The modified antitheft device (MY 2005) will continue to provide protection against unauthorized starting and fueling of the vehicle engine. Components of the modified antitheft device include an electronically coded ignition key, body control module and engine control module. GM stated that the antitheft device is designed to be active at all times without direct intervention by the vehicle operator. No intentionally specific or discrete security system action is necessary to achieve protection. The system is fully functional (armed) immediately after the vehicle has been turned off.

device does not provide any visible or audible indication of unauthorized entry by means of flashing vehicle lights or sounding of the horn. To substantiate its belief that an alarm system is not a necessary feature to effectively deter the theft of a vehicle, GM compared the reduction in theft rates of Chevrolet Corvettes using a passive theft deterrent system ("VATS/PASS-Key") along with an audible/visible alarm system to the reduction in theft rates for Chevrolet Camaro and Pontiac Firebird vehicles equipped with a passive theft-deterrent system ("PASS-Key") without an alarm. GM finds that the lack of an alarm or attention attracting device does not compromise the theft deterrent performance of a system such as the modified antitheft device system. Based

on the declining theft rate experience of

other vehicles equipped with devices

that do not have an audio or visual

exempted from the parts-marking

alarm for which NHTSA has already

GM stated that its modified antitheft

requirements, the agency has concluded that the absence of a visual or audio alarm has not prevented these antitheft devices from being effective protection against theft.

In order to ensure the reliability and durability of the device, GM conducted tests based on its own specified standards. GM provided a detailed list of tests conducted and believes that its device is reliable and durable since the device complied with its specified requirements for each test. The tests conducted included high and low temperature storage, thermal shock, humidity frost, salt fog, flammability, altitude, drop, shock, random vibration, dust, potential contaminants, connector retention/strain relief, terminal retention, connector insertion, crush. ice, immersion and tumbling.

GM compared the MY 2005 device with devices which NHTSA has already determined to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as would compliance with the parts-marking requirements. To substantiate its beliefs as to the effectiveness of the new device, GM compared the MY 2005 modified device to its "PASS-Key"-like systems. GM indicated that the theft rates, as reported by the Federal Bureau of Investigation's National Crime Information Center, are lower for GM models equipped with the "PASS-Kev"-like systems which have exemptions from the parts-marking requirements of 49 CFR Part 541, than the theft rates for earlier models with similar appearance and construction which were parts-marked. Based on the performance of the PASS-Key, PASS-Key II, and PASS-Key III systems on other GM models, and the advanced technology utilized by the modification, GM believes that the MY 2005 modified antitheft device will be more effective in deterring theft than the parts-marking requirements of 49 CFR Part 541.

On the basis of this comparison, GM believes that the antitheft system for model years 2005 and later will provide essentially the same functions and features as found on its MY 1987–2004 system and therefore, its modified system will provide at least the same level of theft prevention as partsmarking. GM believes that the antitheft system proposed for installation on its MY 2005 Chevrolet Corvette line is likely to be as effective in reducing thefts as compliance with the partsmarking requirements of Part 541.

The agency has evaluated GM's MY 2005 petition for modification of the exemption for the Chevrolet Corvette vehicle line from the parts-marking requirements of 49 CFR Part 541, and has decided to grant it. It has

determined that the system is likely to be as effective as parts-marking in preventing and deterring theft of these vehicles, and therefore qualifies for an exemption under 49 CFR part 543. The agency believes that the modified device will continue to provide four of the five types of performance listed in § 543.6(b)(3): Promoting activation; preventing defeat or circumventing of the device by unauthorized persons; preventing operation of the vehicle by unauthorized entrants; and ensuring the reliability and durability of the device.

NHTSA suggests that if the manufacturer contemplates making any changes the effects of which might be characterized as *de minimis*, it should consult the agency before preparing and submitting a petition to modify.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 33106; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.50.

Issued on: March 12, 2004.

# Stephen R. Kratzke,

Associate Administrator for Rulemaking. [FR Doc. 04–6038 Filed 3–16–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

#### **Fiscal Service**

Surety Companies Acceptable on Federal Bonds: Name Change—Odyssey Reinsurance Corporation

**AGENCY:** Financial Management Service, Fiscal Service, Department of the Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This is Supplement No. 9 to the Treasury Department Circular 570; 2003 Revision, published July 1, 2003, at 68 FR 39186.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Surety Bond Branch at (202) 874–6850. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Odyssey Reinsurance Corporation, a Connecticut corporation, has formally changed its name to Clearwater Insurance Company, effective December 4, 2003. The Company was last listed as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds at 68 FR 39228, July 1, 2003.

A Certificate of Authority as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds, is

hereby issued under Sections 9304 to 9308 of Title 31 of the United States Code, to Clearwater Insurance Corporation, Stamford, CT. This new Certificate replaces the Certificate of Authority issued to the Company under its former name. The underwriting limitation of \$48,712,000.00 established for the Company as of July 1, 2003, remains unchanged until June 30, 2004.

Certificates of Authority expire on June 30, each year, unless revoked prior to that date. The Certificates are subject to subsequent annual renewal as long as the Company remains qualified (31 CFR, Part 223). A list of qualified companies is published annually as of July 1, in the Department Circular 570, which outlines details as to underwriting limitations, areas in which licensed to transact surety business and other information. Federal bond-approving officers should annotate their reference copies of the Treasury Circular 570, 2003 Revision, at pages 39195 and 39228 to reflect this change.

The Circular may be viewed and downloaded through the Internet at http://www.fms.treas.gov/c570. A hard copy may be purchased from the Government Printing Office (GPO), Subscription Service, Washington, DC, telephone (202) 512–1800. When ordering the Circular from GPO, use the following stock number: 769–004–40671–1.

Questions concerning this notice may be directed to the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Financial Management Service, Financial Accounting and Services Divsion, Surety Bond Branch, 3700 East-West Highway, Room 6F07, Hyattsville, MD 20782.

Dated: March 5, 2004.

#### Jennifer Fitzmaurice,

Acting Director, Financial Accounting and Services Division, Financial Management Service.

[FR Doc. 04–5929 Filed 3–16–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-35-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

#### **Internal Revenue Service**

Open Meeting of the Area 3 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (Including the States of Florida, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas and Tennessee)

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** An open meeting of the Area 3 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be conducted (via teleconference). The Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is soliciting public comments, ideas, and suggestions on improving customer service at the Internal Revenue Service.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held Friday, April 16, 2004 from 11 a.m. EDT to 12:30 p.m. EDT.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sallie Chavez at 1–888–912–1227 o

Sallie Chavez at 1–888–912–1227, or 954–423–7979.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that an open meeting of the Area 3 Taxpayer Advocacy Panel will be held Friday, April 16, 2004, from 11 a.m. EDT to 12:30 p.m. EDT via a telephone conference call. Individual comments will be limited to 5 minutes. If you would like to have the TAP consider a written statement, please call 1-888-912–1227 or 954–423–7979, or write Sallie Chavez, TAP Office, 1000 South Pine Island Rd., Suite 340, Plantation, FL 33324. Due to limited conference lines, notification of intent to participate in the telephone conference call meeting must be made with Sallie Chavez. Ms. Chavez can be reached at 1-888-912-1227 or 954-423-7979 or post comments to the Web site: http:// www.improveirs.org.

The agenda will include: Various IRS

Dated: March 11, 2004.

#### Bernard Coston,

Director, Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.
[FR Doc. 04–6025 Filed 3–16–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-01-M