antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China until no later than March 25, 2004. The period of review is February 10, 2001, through November 30, 2002. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 25, 2004.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brandon Farlander at (202) 482–0182 or Dena Aliadinov at (202) 482–3362; Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Office Eight, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act requires the Department to issue the final results of a new shipper review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. However, if the Department determines the issues are extraordinarily complicated, section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act allows the Department to extend the deadline for the final results up to 150 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued.

#### **Background**

On December 31, 2002, the Department received properly filed requests from Shanghai Xiuwei International Trading Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai Xiuwei") and Sichuan-Dujiangyan Dubao Bee Industrial Co.. Ltd. ("Sichuan Dubao"), in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and section 351.214(c) of the Department's regulations, for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China (PRC), which has a December anniversary date, and a June semiannual anniversary date. Shanghai Xiuwei identified itself as an exporter of processed honey produced by its supplier, Henan Oriental Bee Products Co., Ltd. ("Henan Oriental"). Sichuan Dubao identified itself as the producer of the processed honey that it exports.

On February 5, 2003, the Department initiated this new shipper review for the period February 10, 2001 through November 30, 2002. See Honey From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews (68 FR 5868, February 5, 2003). On July 21, 2003, the Department extended the preliminary results of this new shipper review 300 days until November 26, 2003. See Honey From

the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review, 68 FR 43086 (July 21, 2003). On December 4, 2003, the Department published its preliminary results of this review. See Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Honey From the People's Republic of China, 68 FR 67832 (December 4, 2003) (Preliminary Results). In the preliminary results of this review, we indicated that we were unable to complete our analysis of all factors relevant to the bona fides of Shanghai Xiuwei's and Sichuan Dubao's U.S. sales. We described our research and contact efforts in the Memorandum from Brandon Farlander and Dena Aliadinov to the File, dated November 26, 2003. We also indicated that additional time was needed to research the appropriate surrogate values to value raw honey.

# Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the final results of a new shipper review by 60 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. The Department has determined that this case is extraordinarily complicated because of the issues pertaining to the bona fides of Shanghai Xiuwei's and Sichuan Dubao's U.S. sales, as well as the issues pertaining to the raw honey surrogate values. Accordingly, the final results of this new shipper review cannot be completed within the statutory time limit of 90 days. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and section 351.214(i)(2) of the regulations, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of final results by an additional 30 days. The final results will now be due no later than March 25,

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 18, 2004.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III. [FR Doc. 04–4141 Filed 2–24–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### International Trade Administration

[A-351-804]

Industrial Nitrocellulose From Brazil: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review and Consideration of Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation of changed circumstances review.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(b), Nitro Quimica Brasileira, a Brazilian exporter of subject merchandise and an interested party in this proceeding, filed a request for a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil, as described below. In response to this request, the Department of Commerce is initiating a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil.

 $\textbf{EFFECTIVE DATE:} \ February\ 25,\ 2004.$ 

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael J. Heaney or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4475 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On July 10, 1990, the Department published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil. See Antidumping Duty Order: Industrial Nitrocellulose From Brazil, 55 FR 28266 (July 10, 1990). On December 31, 2003, Nitro Quimica Brasileira (Nitro Quimica), a Brazilian exporter of subject merchandise and an interested party in this proceeding, requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil through a changed circumstances review. According to Nito Quimica, revocation is warranted because of "lack of interest" on behalf of the U.S. industry. Specifically, Nitro Quimeca asserts that no domestic producer of industrial nitrocellulose currently exists. Nitro Quimica asserts that Hercules Incorporated, the only petitioner in the original investigation and the only U.S. producer at the time in which this order was issued, sold its

nitrocellulose business to Green Tree Chemical Technologies (Green Tree) on June 16, 2001. Nitro Quimica further contends that Green Tree has closed its U.S. production facility on about November 26, 2003. (See Nitro Quimica December 31, 2003 letter at Attachment 3.) Nitro Quimica asserts that the effective date of the revocation should be "retroactive to the date on which Green Tree ceased its U.S. production" (Nitro Quimica December 31, 2003 letter at page 2).

#### Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is industrial nitrocellulose, currently classifiable under HTS subheading 3912.20.00. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

Industrial nitrocellulose is a dry, white, amorphous synthetic chemical with a nitrogen content between 10.8 and 12.2 percent. Industrial nitrocellulose is used as a film-former in coatings, lacquers, furniture finishes, and printing inks. The scope of this order does not include explosive grade nitrocellulose, which has a nitrogen content of greater than 12.2 percent.

#### Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review

Pursuant to section 782(h)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), the Department may revoke an antidumping or countervailing duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Tariff Act (i.e., a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act requires a changed circumstances review to be conducted upon receipt of a request which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review. 19 CFR 351.222(g) provides that the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review under 19 CFR 351.216 and may revoke an order (in whole or in part) if it determines that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or the part of the order to be revoked) pertains have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by the order, in whole or in part, or if changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant revocation.

In this case, the Department finds that the information submitted provides sufficient evidence of changed circumstances to warrant a review. Given Nitro Quimica's assertions, we will consider whether there is interest in continuing the order on the part of the U.S. industry.

Interested parties may submit comments for consideration in the Department's preliminary results. (These comments may include the effective date proposed by Nitro Quimica for revocation of this order.) The due date for filing any such comments is no later than 20 days after publication of this notice. Responses to those comments may be submitted not later than 10 days following submission of the comments. All written comments must be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303, and must be served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(i), which will set forth the factual and legal conclusions upon which our preliminary results are based, and a description of any action proposed based on those results. The Department will also issue its final results of review within 270 days after the date on which the changed circumstances review is initiated, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(e), and will publish these results in the Federal Register.

While the changed circumstances review is underway, the current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on all subject merchandise will continue unless and until it is modified pursuant to the final results of this changed circumstances review.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.222.

Dated: February 19, 2004.

#### James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04–4142 Filed 2–24–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

#### [C-475-821]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Italy; Preliminary and Final Results of Full Sunset Review of Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for preliminary and final results of full

sunset review: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Italy.

summary: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for its preliminary and final results in the full sunset review of the countervailing duty order on stainless steel wire rod ("SSWR") from Italy.¹ The Department intends to issue preliminary results of this sunset review on or before February 27, 2004. In addition, the Department intends to issue its final results of this review on or before June 28, 2004 (120 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of the preliminary results).

 $\textbf{EFFECTIVE DATE:} \ February\ 25,\ 2004.$ 

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hilary E. Sadler or Martha V. Douthit, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4340 or (202) 482–5050.

## Extension of Preliminary and Final Determinations

On August 1, 2003, the Department initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty order on SSWR from Italy. See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews, 68 FR 45219 (August 1, 2003). The Department, in this proceeding, determined that it would conduct a full (240 day) sunset review of this order based on responses from the domestic and respondent interested parties to the notice of initiation. The Department's preliminary results of this review were scheduled for November 19, 2003. However, several issues have arisen regarding the recent revocation of the order with respect to Cogne Acciai Speciali S.r.l. ("CAS") and its effect on this sunset review. See Notice of Implementation Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act: Countervailing Measures Concerning Certain Steel Products From the European Communities, 68 FR 64858 (November 17, 2003).

Because of the numerous, complex issues in this proceeding, the Department will extend the deadlines. Thus, the Department intends to issue the preliminary results not later than February 27, 2004, and the final results

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Department normally will issue its preliminary results in a full sunset review not later than 110 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation. However, if the Secretary determines that a full sunset review is extraordinarily complicated under section 751(c)(5)(C) of the Act, the Secretary may extend the period for issuing final results by not more than 90 days. *See* section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act