

Conclusion

In sum, we preliminarily find that SDK has not presented evidence to establish a *prima facie* case of its successorship status. The dissolution of the SDEM/DDE Japan joint venture precipitated significant changes to the company ultimately absorbed by SDK. While SDK absorbed the joint venture's production facility and retained the venture's supplier base, SDK's management and corporate structure, selling and marketing operations, customer base, and price structure are significantly different from those of the SDEM/DDE Japan joint venture. Therefore, given the totality of the considered factors, the record evidence demonstrates that SDK is a new entity that operates in significantly different manner from its predecessor, the SDEM/DDE Japan joint venture. Consequently, we preliminarily determine that SDK should not be given the same antidumping duty treatment as the joint venture, *i.e.*, zero percent antidumping duty cash deposit rate. Instead, SDK, as a new entity, should continue to be assigned as its cash deposit rate the "all others" rate, which in this proceeding is 55 percent.

The cash deposit determination from this changed circumstances review will apply to all entries of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this changed circumstances review. See *Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 68 FR 25327 (May 12, 2003). This deposit rate shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review in which SDK participates.

Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 14 days of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held 15 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments not later than 7 days after the date of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii). Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed not later than 12 days after the date of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.309(d). Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the

argument; and (3) a table of authorities. Further, we would appreciate it if the parties submitting written comments would provide the Department with an additional electronic copy of the public comments. Consistent with 19 CFR 351.216(e) of the Department's regulations, we will issue the final results of this changed circumstances review not later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b) and 777(I)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(I) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: October 15, 2004.

Jeffrey A. May,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E4-2786 Filed 10-20-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-423-808, A-475-822, A-580-831]

Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Italy, and the Republic of Korea; Notice of Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of expedited sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders of stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium, Italy, and Korea; final results.

SUMMARY: On April 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel plate in coils ("SSPC") from Belgium, Italy, and the Republic of Korea ("Korea") pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a Notice of Intent to Participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review. As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The dumping margins are identified in the *Final Results of Review* section of to this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 21, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hilary E. Sadler, Esq., Office of Policy

for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4340.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 1, 2004, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on SSPC from Belgium, Italy, and Korea.¹ On April 16, 2004, the Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate from Allegheny Ludlum Corp., North American Stainless, and the United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC (collectively "domestic interested parties") within the deadline specified in section 315.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act, as U.S. producers of SSPC and a certified union whose workers are engaged in the production of SSPC. On May 3, 2004, the Department received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(3)(i) of the Department's regulations. We did not receive responses from any respondent interested parties to this proceeding, except a participation waiver from Ugine & ALZ Belgium. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department determined to conduct expedited reviews of these orders.

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise subject to these orders is stainless steel plate in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject plate products are flat-rolled products, 254 mm or over in width and 4.75 mm or more in thickness, in coils, and annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject plate may also be further processed (*e.g.*, cold-rolled, polished, etc.) provided that it maintains the specified dimensions of plate following such processing. Excluded from the scope of these orders are the following: (1) Plate not in coils, (2) plate that is not annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled, (3) sheet and strip, and (4) flat bars. The merchandise

¹ See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 69 FR 17129 (April 1, 2004) ("*Initiation Notice*").

subject to these orders is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") at subheadings: 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60, 7219.12.00.05, 7219.12.00.20, 7219.12.00.25, 7219.12.00.50, 7219.12.00.55, 7219.12.00.65, 7219.12.00.70, 7219.12.00.80, 7219.31.00.10, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.11.00.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15, 7220.90.00.60, and 7220.90.00.80. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the orders is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 8, 2004, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>, under the heading "October 2004." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on SSPC from Belgium, Italy, and Korea would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted Average Margin (percent)
<i>Belgium</i>	
Ugine & ALZ Belgium	9.86
All Others	9.86

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted Average Margin (percent)
<i>Italy</i>	
Thyssen Krupp Acciai Speciali Terni, S.A.	45.09
All Others	39.69
<i>Korea</i>	
POSCO	6.08
All Others	6.08

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 13, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E4-2789 Filed 10-20-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-122-848]

Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Expedited Review: Hard Red Spring Wheat From Canada

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of countervailing duty expedited review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is conducting an expedited review of the countervailing duty order on hard red spring wheat from Canada for the period August 1, 2001, through July 31, 2002. The Department preliminarily determines that countervailable subsidies were not provided to Richelain Farms. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 21, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel J. Alexy or Stephen Cho, AD/

CVD Operations Office I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1540 or (202) 482-3798.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Petitioner

The petitioner is the North Dakota Wheat Commission, one of the participating petitioners in the investigation.

Period of Review

The period of review for this expedited review is the same period as the investigation: August 1, 2001, to July 31, 2002, which coincides with the fiscal year of the Canadian Wheat Board ("CWB"). See 19 CFR 351.204(b)(2); 19 CFR 351.214(k)(3)(i).

Background

On September 5, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the *Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determinations: Certain Durum Wheat and Hard Red Spring Wheat from Canada* (68 FR 52747), and on October 23, 2003, the Department published the countervailing duty order on Hard Red Spring Wheat ("HRSW") (68 FR 60642). On November 18, 2003, the Department received a request from Richelain Farms ("Richelain") to conduct an expedited review of the HRSW countervailing duty order. Richelain, a company that was not selected for individual examination during the investigation, made this request pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(k).

On December 31, 2003, the Department initiated the expedited review. *Hard Red Spring Wheat From Canada: Initiation of Expedited Review of the Countervailing Duty Order* ("Initiation Notice") (68 FR 75490). We sent questionnaires to Richelain Farms and the Government of Canada on February 13, 2004. We received questionnaire responses from Richelain and the Government of Canada on March 25, 2004. On June 3 and 4, and August 26, 2004, we verified Richelain's questionnaire responses. On June 24, 2004, the Department postponed the deadline for the preliminary determination. See *Hard Red Spring Wheat from Canada: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Countervailing Duty Expedited Review*, 69 FR 35329.

Scope of Review

For purposes of this expedited review, the products covered are all varieties of hard red spring ("HRSW") wheat from