online at http://www.nps.gov/piro.htm. With the concurrence of the NPS Director, the wilderness proposal will be sent to the Assistant Secretary for Fish, Wildlife and Parks and the Secretary of the Interior, who may revise or approve the proposal. The Secretary may then forward a wilderness recommendation to the President, who in turn may approve or revise the recommendation and then transmit the recommendation to Congress for consideration.

Approved: November 23, 2004.

## Ernest Quintana,

Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 04-28297 Filed 12-27-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-52-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **National Park Service**

## Acadia National Park, Bar Harbor, ME; Acadia National Park Advisory Commission; Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463, 86 Stat. 770, 5 U.S.C. App. 1, Sec. 10), that the Acadia National Park Advisory Commission will hold a meeting on Monday, February 7, 2005.

The Commission was established pursuant to Public Law 99–420, Sec. 103. The purpose of the commission is to consult with the Secretary of the Interior, or his designee, on matters relating to the management and development of the park, including but not limited to the acquisition of lands and interests in lands (including conservation easements on islands) and termination of rights of use and occupancy.

The meeting will convene at park Headquarters, McFarland Hill, Bar Harbor, Maine, at 1 p.m. to consider the following agenda:

- 1. Review and approval of minutes from the meeting held September 13, 2004.
- 2. Committee reports:
- —Land Conservation.
- —Park Use.
- —Science.
- —Historic.
  - 3. Old business.
  - 4. Superintendent's report.
  - 5. Public comments.
- 6. Proposed agenda for next Commission meeting, June 6, 2005.

The meeting is open to the public. Interested persons may make oral/ written presentations to the Commission or file written statements. Such requests should be made to the Superintendent at least seven days prior to the meeting.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from the Superintendent, Acadia National Park, P.O. Box 177, Bar Harbor, Maine 04609, tel: (207) 288–3338.

Dated: December 1, 2004.

### Sheridan Steele,

Superintendent.

[FR Doc. 04-28290 Filed 12-27-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **National Park Service**

## Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park Advisory Commission; Notice of Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park Advisory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park Advisory Commission (the Commission) will be held on Friday, January 21, 2005, at park headquarters, 1850 Dual Highway, Hagerstown, Maryland. The meeting will begin at 10 a.m.

Items on the agenda include planning initiatives, construction and development projects, and park operational issues.

The meeting will be open to the public. Any person may file with the Commission a written statement concerning the matters to be discussed. Persons who wish to file a written statement or testify at the meeting or who want further information concerning the meeting may contact Superintendent Kevin Brandt at (301) 714–2201.

**DATES:** January 21, 2005, at 10 a.m. **ADDRESSES:** 1850 Dual Highway, Hagerstown, Maryland 21740.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Superintendent Kevin Brandt, (301) 714–2201.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission was established by Public Law 91–664 to meet and consult with the Secretary of the Interior on general policies and specific matters related to the administration and development of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park.

Members of the Commission are: Mrs. Sheila Rabb Weidenfeld, Chairman, Mr. Charles J. Weir, Mr. Barry A. Passett, Mr. Terry W. Hepburn, Ms. JoAnn M. Spevacek, Mrs. Mary E. Woodward, Mrs. Donna Printz, Mrs. Ferial S. Bishop, Ms. Nancy C. Long, Mrs. Jo Reynolds, Dr. James H. Gilford, Brother James Kirkpatrick.

Dated: November 23, 2004.

## Kevin D. Brandt,

Superintendent, Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park.

[FR Doc. 04–28291 Filed 12–27–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-52-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## **National Advisory Commission**

## Flight 93 National Memorial Advisory Commission

**AGENCY:** National Park Service. **ACTION:** Notice of January 15, 2005, meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the date of the January 15, 2005, meeting of the Flight 93 Advisory Commission.

**DATES:** The public meeting of the Advisory Commission will be held on Saturday, January 15, 2005, from 1 p.m. to 4 p.m. Additionally, the Commission will attend the Flight 93 Memorial Task Force meeting the same day from 8 a.m. to 11 a.m., which is also open to the public.

Location: The Commission meeting will be held at the Somerset County Courthouse, Courtroom #1; 2nd floor; 111 East Union Street, Somerset, Pennsylvania, 15501. The Flight 93 Memorial Task Force meeting will be held in the same location.

#### Agenda

The January 15, 2005 Commission meeting will consist of:

- (1) Opening of meeting and pledge of allegiance.
- (2) Review and approval of minutes from May 14, 2004.
- (3) Reports from the Flight 93 Memorial Task Force and the National Park Service. Comments from the public will be received after each report.
  - (4) Old business.
  - (5) New business.
  - (6) Closing remarks.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joanne M. Hanley, Superintendent, Flight 93 National Memorial, 109 West Main Street, Somerset, PA 15501, 814.443.4557.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public. Any member of the public may file with the Commission a written statement concerning agenda items. The statement should be addressed to the Flight 93

Advisory Commission, 109 West Main Street, Somerset, PA 15501.

Dated: November 30, 2004.

#### Joanne M. Hanley,

Superintendent, Flight 93 National Memorial. [FR Doc. 04–28289 Filed 12–27–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-WH-M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-437 and 731-TA-1060 and 1061 (Final)]

## Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From China and India

#### Determination

On the basis of the record <sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to sections 705(b) and 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b) and 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from China and India of carbazole violet pigment 23, provided for in subheading 3204.17.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) to be subsidized by the Government of India and to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).2

## Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective November 21, 2003, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by Nation Ford Chemical Co., Fort Mill, SC, and Sun Chemical Corp., Cincinnati, OH. The final phase of these investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of carbazole violet pigment 23 from India were being subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and that imports of carbazole violet pigment 23 from China and India were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigations and of a

public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of July 23, 2004 (69 FR 44059). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on November 10, 2004, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on December 22, 2004. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3744 (December 2004), entitled Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from China and India: Investigations Nos. 701–TA–437 and 731–TA–1060 and 1061 (Final).

By order of the Commission. Issued: December 21, 2004.

#### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04–28340 Filed 12–27–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-406 (Consolidated Advisory Opinion and Enforcement Proceedings)]

# In the Matter of Certain Lens-Fitted Film Packages; Order

On October 7, 2004, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued two decisions in appeals stemming from the above-captioned proceedings, VastFame Camera, Ltd., et al. v. U.S. Int'l Trade Com'n, 386 F.3d 1108 (Fed. Cir. 2004) ("VastFame") and Fuii Photo Film Co., Ltd., et al. v. U.S. Int'l Trade Com'n, 386 F.3d 1095 (Fed. Cir. 2004) ("Fuji"). The mandates issued in these cases on November 29, 2004. In VastFame, the Court reversed the Commission's decision to refuse to allow an importer who had not been a respondent in the original investigation to raise the defense of patent invalidity in the Commission's enforcement proceedings, vacated the enforcement decision, and remanded the case for proceedings consistent with its Opinion. In *Fuji*, the Court affirmed the majority of the Commission's determinations at issue, but vacated and remanded the Commission's infringement decision as to one asserted claim for redetermination of the infringement issue using a claim construction supplied by the Court.

It is hereby ordered that:

- 1. This investigation be remanded to Administrative Law Judge Paul J. Luckern in order that he may conduct such further proceedings as may be necessary to carry out the mandates of the Court and conclude the proceedings.
- 2. The presiding administrative law judge shall issue an initial determination in which he shall determine:
- a. Whether claim 15 of U.S. Patent No. 4,884,087 is invalid;
- b. Whether any of the respondents' accused disposable cameras imported into or sold in the United States infringe claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 4,972,649 under the Federal Circuit's claim construction; and
- c. Whether there are, in light of the determinations made in accordance with paragraph b. above, any further violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930.
- 3. The presiding administrative law judge may, in his discretion, reopen the evidentiary record to the extent necessary to resolve any new factual questions presented by the Court's opinion. His ID will be processed by the Commission in accordance with Commission Rules 210.42(h)(2) and 210.43–210.45, 19 CFR 210.42(h)(2) and 210.43–210.45.
- 4. In the event that the presiding administrative law judge determines that there have been additional violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, he shall issue a recommended determination on whether any further enforcement measures are necessary.

By order of the Commission. Issued: December 21, 2004.

### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-28339 Filed 12-27-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-500]

In the Matter of Certain Purple Protective Gloves; Notice of Issuance of General Exclusion Order and Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to issue a general exclusion order in the abovecaptioned investigation and has terminated the investigation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Commission further determines that critical circumstances do not exist with respect to those imports of the subject merchandise from China that were subject to the affirmative critical circumstances determination by the Department of Commerce.