pipeline will be able to transport up to 1,500,000 Dth per day of natural gas.

Any questions about this application should be directed to Larry Drader, President, Entrega Gas Pipeline, Inc., 950 17th Suite 2600, Denver, Colorado, at (303) 389–5069 or fax (720) 956–3610; or to Keith M. Sappenfield, II, Regulatory Lead, Entrega Gas Pipeline, Inc., 1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750, Houston, Texas 77057, at (832) 204– 1247 or fax (713) 952–3617.

On March 19, 2004 the Commission staff granted Entrega's request to utilize the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Pre-Filing Process and assigned Docket No. PF04–7–000 to staff activities involving Entrega. Now, as of the filing of Entrega's application on September 17, 2004, the NEPA Pre-Filing Process for Entrega's project is closed. From this time forward, Entrega's proceeding will be conducted in Docket Nos. CP04–413–000, *et. al.*

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date listed below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of this filing and all subsequent filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy of all filing to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, other persons do not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to this project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons may also wish to comment further only on the environmental review of this project. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of environmental documents issued by the Commission, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Those persons, organizations, and agencies who submitted comments during the NEPA Pre-Filing Process in Docket No. PF04-7-000 are already on the Commission staff's environmental mailing list for the proceeding in the above dockets and may file additional comments on or before the below listed comment date. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, environmental commenters are also not parties to the proceeding and will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or non-environmental documents issued by the Commission. Further, they will not have the right to seek court review of any final order by Commission in this proceeding.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the Internet in lieu of paper. *See* 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site (*http:// www.ferc.gov*) under the "e-Filing" link. *Comment Date:* October 19, 2004.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4–2483 Filed 10–4–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP04-420-000]

Trunkline Gas Company, LLC; Notice of Application

September 28, 2004.

Take notice that on September 24, 2004, Trunkline Gas Company, LLC, P.O. Box 4967, Houston, Texas 77210– 4967, filed in Docket No. CP04–420–000 an application pursuant to section 7(b) and 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and the Commission's Regulations, for authorization to abandon a 6350 horsepower (hp) compressor installed in 1954 and replace it with a new 1675 hp compressor at its existing Edna Compressor Station located in Jackson County, Texas, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be also viewed on the Web at *http:// www.ferc.gov* using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERCOnline Support at

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208–3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502–8659.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to William W. Grygar, Vice President, Rates and Regulatory Affairs at (713) 989–7000, Trunkline Gas Company, LLC, 5444 Westheimer Road, Houston, Texas 77056.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests and interventions via the Internet in lieu of paper. *See* 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site (*http:// www.ferc.gov*) under the "e-Filing" link. *Comment Date:* October 19, 2004.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4–2481 Filed 10–4–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL04-137-000]

Cabazon Wind Partners, LLC, Complainants v. Southern California Edison Company, Respondents; Notice of Complaint

September 28, 2004.

Take notice that on September 27, 2004, Cabazon Wind Partners, LLC (Cabazon) filed a formal complaint against Southern California Edison Company (SCE) pursuant to section 206 of the Federal Power Act. Cabazon states that the complaint alleges that (1) the failure of the Interconnection Facilities Agreement (IFA) between Cabazon and Edison to provide Cabazon credit for its upfront payments for network upgrades, misclassified as distribution facilities, causes Southern California Edison's transmission rates to be unjust and unreasonable; and (2) the IFA is unjust and unreasonable separately because it requires Cabazon to pay a tax gross-up associated with the misclassified network upgrades.

Cabazon states that copies of the complaint were served on the contacts for (SCE) as listed on the Commission's list of Corporate Officials.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in

accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. On or before the comment date, it is not necessary to serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at *http://www.ferc.gov.* Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at *http://www.ferc.gov*, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov*, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on October 18, 2004.

Linda Mitry,

Acting Secretary. [FR Doc. E4–2485 Filed 10–4–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC04-160-000, et al.]

LG&E Roanoke Valley, L.P., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

September 27, 2004.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. LG&E Roanoke Valley L.P., Westmoreland-Roanoke Valley, L.P., Westmoreland-LG&E Partners

[Docket Nos. EC04–160–000 and ER93–734–001]

Take notice that on September 21, 2004, LG&E Roanoke Valley L.P. (LRV) and Westmoreland-Roanoke Valley, L.P. (WVR, together with LRV, Applicants) on behalf of themselves and on behalf of Westmoreland-LG&E Partners (Partnership) submitted an application pursuant to section 203 of the Federal Power Act for authorization of disposition of jurisdictional facilities whereby an affiliate of WVR will purchase LVR's 50 percent general partnership interest in the Partnership. The Partnership filed a notice of change in status. Partnership states that it owns the remaining 50 percent general partnership interest in the Partnership. Partnership also states that it owns two pulverized coal-fired cogeneration facilities with a combined generating capacity of approximately 210 MW in Weldon Township, near Roanoke Valley, North Carolina.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on October 12, 2004.

2. Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE

[Docket No. EC04-161-000]

Take notice that on September 22, 2004, Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE (AmerenUE) filed with the Commission an application pursuant to section 203 of the Federal Power Act for authorization and approval of the sale of certain portions of its electric transmission facilities and related equipment to Citizens Electric Cooperative. AmerenUE states that it is a combination electric and gas public utility subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. AmerenUE also states that it provides electric service within parts of the states of Missouri and Illinois and is subject to the jurisdictions of the utility regulatory commissions in both states. AmerenUE further states that it is a subsidiary of Ameren Corporation, a registered public utility holding company under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended (PUHCA).

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on October 13, 2004.

3. Entergy Corporation, et al.

[Docket No. EC04-162-000]

Take notice that on September 23, 2004, Entergy Corporation, (Entergy) on behalf of itself, Entergy Asset Management, Inc. (EAM), Entergy Power Generation Corporation, Entergy Power Gas Operations Corporation, Entergy