by the submitters on November 10, 2003. The submission focuses on events at Matamoros Garment S.A. d C.V. and Tarrant México located in the State of Puebla, Mexico.

The submitters allege that the Government of Mexico has failed to fulfill its obligations under the NAALC to effectively enforce its labor law under Article 3 in connection with freedom of association and protection of the right to organize, the right to bargain collectively, minimum employment standards, occupational safety and health, and Article 4 and 5 on access to fair, equitable and transparent labor tribunal proceedings.

The submission focuses on union organizing attempts by workers at both Matamoros Garment S.A. de C.V. and Tarrant México, allegedly hindered by the Government of Mexico, specifically the Puebla Conciliation and Arbitration Board, due to its failure to provide a fair union registration process. Allegations also include failure to pay minimum wages, back wages, and severance compensation; forced overtime; illegal suspensions and layoffs; and unsanitary conditions in the factories' cafeterias and bathrooms. The submitters assert that the Government of Mexico has repeatedly failed to fulfill its obligations under Part 2 of the NAALC to effectively enforce its labor law.

The Procedural Guidelines for the NAO, published in the Federal Register on April 7, 1994, 59 FR 16660, specify that, in general, the Secretary of the NAO shall accept a submission for review if it raises issues relevant to labor law matters in Canada or Mexico and if a review would further the objectives of the NAALC.

U.S. Submission #2003–01, which alleges that Mexico has failed to effectively enforce its labor law under Articles 3, 4, and 5, relates to labor law matters in Mexico. A review would further the objectives of the NAALC, as set out in Article 1 of the NAALC, among them improving working conditions and living standards in each Party's territory, promoting the NAALC's labor principles, and encouraging publication and exchange of information, data development, and coordination to enhance mutually beneficial understanding of the laws and institutions governing labor in each Party's territory.

Accordingly, this submission has been accepted for review under Section G of the NAO Procedural Guidelines.

The NAO's decision is not intended to indicate any determination as to the validity or accuracy of the allegations contained in the submission. The objectives of the review will be to gather

information to assist the NAO to better understand and publicly report on the issues of freedom of association and protection of the right to organize, the right to bargain collectively, minimum employment standards, occupational safety and health, including the Government of Mexico's compliance with the obligations agreed to under Articles 3, 4, and 5 of the NAALC. The review will be completed, and a public report issued, within 120 days, or 180 days if circumstances require an extension of time, as set out in the Procedural Guidelines of the NAO.

Signed at Washington, DC, on February 5, 2004.

#### Lewis Karesh,

Acting Secretary, U.S. National Administrative Office.

[FR Doc. 04–2900 Filed 2–10–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–28–M

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-50,953]

# Advanced Energy, Including Leased Workers of Adecco, Voorhees, New Jersey; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on April 28, 2003, applicable to workers of Advanced Energy, Voorhees, New Jersey. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 9, 2003 (68 FR 25061).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that leased workers of Adecco were employed at Advanced Energy to produce radio frequency power generation equipment at the Voorhees, New Jersey location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include leased workers of Adecco working at Advanced Energy, Voorhees, New Jersey.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers employed at Advanced Energy who were adversely affected by a shift in production to China.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W–50,953 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Advanced Energy, Voorhees, New Jersey, and leased workers of Adecco producing radio frequency power generation equipment at Advanced Energy, Voorhees, New Jersey, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 19, 2002, through April 28, 2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 22nd day of January, 2004.

### Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E4–248 Filed 02–10–04;8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-53,161]

## ATC Distribution Group, McKees Rocks, PA; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at ATC Distribution Group, McKees Rocks, Pennsylvania. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-53,161; ATC Distribution Group McKees Rocks, Pennsylvania (January 23, 2003)

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of February 2004.

## Timothy Sullivan,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04–3011 Filed 2–10–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-53,023]

## Cardinal Glass Industries, Inc., Sextonville, WI; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of December 17, 2003, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment