by the submitters on November 10, 2003. The submission focuses on events at Matamoros Garment S.A. d C.V. and Tarrant México located in the State of Puebla, Mexico.

The submitters allege that the Government of Mexico has failed to fulfill its obligations under the NAALC to effectively enforce its labor law under Article 3 in connection with freedom of association and protection of the right to organize, the right to bargain collectively, minimum employment standards, occupational safety and health, and Article 4 and 5 on access to fair, equitable and transparent labor

tribunal proceedings.

The submission focuses on union organizing attempts by workers at both Matamoros Garment S.A. de C.V. and Tarrant México, allegedly hindered by the Government of Mexico, specifically the Puebla Conciliation and Arbitration Board, due to its failure to provide a fair union registration process. Allegations also include failure to pay minimum wages, back wages, and severance compensation; forced overtime; illegal suspensions and layoffs; and unsanitary conditions in the factories' cafeterias and bathrooms. The submitters assert that the Government of Mexico has repeatedly failed to fulfill its obligations under Part 2 of the NAALC to effectively enforce its labor law.

The Procedural Guidelines for the NAO, published in the Federal Register on April 7, 1994, 59 FR 16660, specify that, in general, the Secretary of the NAO shall accept a submission for review if it raises issues relevant to labor law matters in Canada or Mexico and if a review would further the objectives of the NAALC.

U.S. Submission #2003–01, which alleges that Mexico has failed to effectively enforce its labor law under Articles 3, 4, and 5, relates to labor law matters in Mexico. A review would further the objectives of the NAALC, as set out in Article 1 of the NAALC, among them improving working conditions and living standards in each Party's territory, promoting the NAALC's labor principles, and encouraging publication and exchange of information, data development, and coordination to enhance mutually beneficial understanding of the laws and institutions governing labor in each Party's territory.

Accordingly, this submission has been accepted for review under Section G of the NAO Procedural Guidelines.

The NAO's decision is not intended to indicate any determination as to the validity or accuracy of the allegations contained in the submission. The objectives of the review will be to gather

information to assist the NAO to better understand and publicly report on the issues of freedom of association and protection of the right to organize, the right to bargain collectively, minimum employment standards, occupational safety and health, including the Government of Mexico's compliance with the obligations agreed to under Articles 3, 4, and 5 of the NAALC. The review will be completed, and a public report issued, within 120 days, or 180 days if circumstances require an extension of time, as set out in the Procedural Guidelines of the NAO.

Signed at Washington, DC, on February 5, 2004.

Lewis Karesh,

Acting Secretary, U.S. National Administrative Office.

[FR Doc. 04–2900 Filed 2–10–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–28–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,953]

Advanced Energy, Including Leased Workers of Adecco, Voorhees, New Jersey; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on April 28, 2003, applicable to workers of Advanced Energy, Voorhees, New Jersey. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 9, 2003 (68 FR 25061).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that leased workers of Adecco were employed at Advanced Energy to produce radio frequency power generation equipment at the Voorhees, New Jersey location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include leased workers of Adecco working at Advanced Energy, Voorhees, New Jersey.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers employed at Advanced Energy who were adversely affected by a shift in production to China.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W–50,953 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Advanced Energy, Voorhees, New Jersey, and leased workers of Adecco producing radio frequency power generation equipment at Advanced Energy, Voorhees, New Jersey, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 19, 2002, through April 28, 2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 22nd day of January, 2004.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E4–248 Filed 02–10–04;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,161]

ATC Distribution Group, McKees Rocks, PA; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at ATC Distribution Group, McKees Rocks, Pennsylvania. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-53,161; ATC Distribution Group McKees Rocks, Pennsylvania (January 23, 2003)

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of February 2004.

Timothy Sullivan,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04–3011 Filed 2–10–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,023]

Cardinal Glass Industries, Inc., Sextonville, WI; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of December 17, 2003, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA), applicable to workers of the subject firm. The determination was signed on November 19, 2003. The determination notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 29, 2003 (68 FR 74977).

The Department reviewed the request for reconsideration and has determined that the petitioner has provided additional information. Further review of the initial investigation revealed that the Department erred in its description of the subject firm's product during the customer survey. Therefore, the Department will conduct a new customer survey to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 29th day of January, 2004.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04–3013 Filed 2–10–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,568]

Carlisle Engineered Products, Erie, PA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on January 29, 2002, applicable to workers of Carlisle Engineered Products, Erie, Pennsylvania. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 13, 2002 (67 FR 6748).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of engine-cooling components.

New information shows that workers will be retained at the subject firm beyond the January 29, 2004, expiration date of the certification. These employees will complete the close-

down process until their termination no later than May 31, 2004. Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to extend the January 29, 2004, expiration date for TA–W–40.568 to read May 31, 2004.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Carlisle Engineered Products who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–40,568 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Carlisle Engineered Products, Erie, Pennsylvania, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 25, 2000, through May 31, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 29th day of January, 2004.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E4–249 Filed 02–10–04;8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,636]

CFM Harris Systems, Skokie, IL; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on November 25, 2003 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at CFM Harris Systems, Skokie, Illinois.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of December, 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04–3005 Filed 2–10–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–U

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,252]

Cytec Industries, Woodbridge, NJ; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the

Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Cytec Industries, Woodbridge, New Jersey. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued. TA-W-53,252; Cytec Industries.

Woodbridge, New Jersey (January 30, 2003)

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of February 2004.

Timothy Sullivan,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04–3009 Filed 2–10–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,221]

Intermetro Industries, A Division of Emerson Electric Wilkes-Barre, PA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

On December 17, 2003, the Department issued a Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The initial investigation determined that workers at the subject firm possessed easily transferable skills.

The reconsideration investigation revealed that the workers possess skills that are not easily transferable. Additional investigation revealed that a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are fifty years of age or older. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that the requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, have been met for workers at the subject firm.

In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of at Intermetro Industries, A Division of Emerson Electric, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 10, 2002 through November 6, 2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act