for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at the first address listed above, and at the U.S. Export Assistance Center, 342 North Elm Street, Greensboro, North Carolina 27401.

Dated: September 16, 2004.

#### Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–21515 Filed 9–23–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Foreign-Trade Zones Board [Docket 43–2004]

### Foreign-Trade Zone 8—Toledo, OH, Area; Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board), by the Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, grantee of FTZ 8, requesting authority to expand its zone in the Toledo, Ohio, area, within the Toledo/Sandusky Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on September 20, 2004.

FTŽ 8 was approved on October 11, 1960 (Board Order 51, 25 FR 9909, 10/15/60) and expanded on January 22, 1973 (Board Order 92, 38 FR 3015, 1/31/73); on January 11, 1985 (Board Order 277, 50 FR 2702, 1/18/85); on August 19, 1991 (Board Order 532, 56 FR 42026, 8/26/91); on June 12, 2000 (Board Order 1102, 65 FR 37960, 6/19/00); and, on June 7, 2002 (Board Order 1231, 67 FR 41393, 6/18/02).

The general-purpose zone project currently consists of four sites (959 acres) in the Toledo area: Site 1 (150 acres)—Overseas Cargo Center within the Port of Toledo complex; Site 2 (337 acres)—Toledo Express Airport, Swanton; Site 3 (10 acres)—First Choice Packaging warehouse facility, #1501 West State Street, Fremont; and, Site 4 (462 acres)—Cedar Point Development Park and adjacent areas, located east of Lallendorf Road, south of Cedar Point Road and west of Wynn Road, Oregon, Ohio. An application is currently pending with the FTZ Board to expand FTZ 8 to include a site at the Ohio Northern Global Distribution & Business Center (Proposed Site 5) in Walbridge, Ohio (Docket 24-2004).

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand the general-purpose zone to include an additional site in Toledo: *Proposed Site 6* (86 acres) at the

Greenbelt Development Park located north of Magnolia Street, east of the Greenbelt Parkway, west of Michigan Street and south of Interstate 280. The site will be used primarily for warehousing and distribution activities. The owners of the site are the City of Toledo, Libbey Glass Inc, Ja. P.M. Corporation and Norfolk Southern Railroad. No specific manufacturing authority is being requested at this time. Such requests would be made on a caseby-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties.
Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at one of the following addresses:

1. Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building-Suite 4100W, 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005; or,

2. Submissions via the U.S. Postal Service: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB— Suite 4100W, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is November 23, 2004. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to December 8, 2004).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available during this time for public inspection at address Number 1 listed above, and at the U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, 300 Madison Avenue, Suite 270, Toledo, OH 43604.

Dated: September 20, 2004.

#### Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–21516 Filed 9–23–04; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **Bureau of Industry and Security**

## Special Comprehensive License and the Special Intra-Company License

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general

public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before November 23, 2004

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 or via e-mail at <a href="mailto:dhynek@doc.gov">dhynek@doc.gov</a>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to George Ipock, BIS ICB Liaison, Department of Commerce, Room 6622, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: I.

Abstract: Section 752 of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) outlines the SCL Procedure which authorizes multiple shipments of items from the U.S. or from approved consignees abroad who are approved in advance by BIS to conduct the following activities: Servicing, support services, stocking spare parts, maintenance, capital expansion, manufacturing, support scientific data acquisition, reselling and reexporting in the form received, and other activities as approved on a case-by-case basis. Section 753 of the EAR outlines requirements, procedures, and policies for the Special Intra-company License (SIL), whereby exporters with a proven record of conformance with the EAR can eliminate numerous individual licenses for technology exports, reexports, and in-country transfers within the corporate structure of a company, e.g., from a U.S. corporation to its whollyowned subsidiaries, from one whollyowned subsidiary to another, and from the U.S. corporation to its foreign national employees in the U.S. or abroad.

II.  $Method\ of\ Collection$ : Submitted on forms.

III. Data:

OMB Number: 0694–0089. Form Number: BIS–748P and BIS–

Type of Review: Regular submission for extension of a currently approved collection for the purpose of adding additional activities to the collection authority.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 176.

Estimated Time Per Response: 5 minutes to 40 hours per response. Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1.375.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: No start-up or capital expenditures.

IV. Request for Comments: Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 21, 2004.

#### Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 04–21521 Filed 9–23–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–33–P** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

International Trade Administration
[A-570-851]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Eighth New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 31, 2004, the Department of Commerce received a request to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating a new shipper review for Blue Field (Sichuan) Food Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Blue Field"), a producer and exporter of certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC.

**DATES:** Effective September 24, 2004. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Smith or Steve Winkates, Import

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1766 or (202) 482– 1904, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

The Department received a timely request on August 31, 2004, from Blue Field, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c), for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC, which has an August semi-annual anniversary month. Blue Field identified itself as the producer of the preserved mushrooms it exports.

As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i), (ii), and (iii)(A), Blue Field certified that it did not export certain preserved mushrooms to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI"), and that it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer which did export certain preserved mushrooms during the POI. Blue Field further certified that its export activities are not controlled by the central government of the PRC, satisfying the requirements of 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv)(A), Blue Field provided the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States. Blue Field submitted documentation establishing the date on which it first shipped the subject merchandise to the United States and the volume of that shipment.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214(b), and based on our analysis of the information and documentation provided with this new shipper review request, as well as our analysis of proprietary import data from the U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP"). we find that Blue Field has met the requirements under which the Department can initiate a new shipper review (for more details, see New Shipper Initiation Checklist for Blue Field, dated September 10, 2004). Therefore, we are initiating a new shipper review for Blue Field.

In cases involving non-market economies, it is the Department's normal practice to require that a company seeking to establish eligibility for an antidumping duty rate separate from the country-wide rate provide *de jure* and *de facto* evidence of an absence of government control over the company's export activities.

Accordingly, we will issue a questionnaire to Blue Field (including a

complete separate rates section), allowing approximately 37 days for response. If Blue Field's response provides sufficient indication that it is not subject to either *de jure* or *de facto* government control with respect to its exports of certain preserved mushrooms, the review will proceed. If the respondent does not demonstrate its eligibility for a separate rate, then it will be deemed to be affiliated with other companies that exported during the POI and that it did not establish entitlement to a separate rate, and the review of that respondent will be rescinded.

#### **Initiation of Review**

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC. We intend to issue the preliminary results of this review not later than 180 days after the date on which the review is initiated.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(B), the POR for a new shipper review, initiated in the month following the semi-annual anniversary month, will be the six-month period immediately proceeding the semi-annual anniversary month. Therefore, the POR for this new shipper review is:

Antidumping duty new shipper review proceeding	Period to be reviewed
PRC: Certain Preserved Mushrooms, A–570–851: Blue Field (Sichuan) Food Industrial Co., Ltd	02/01/04– 07/31/04

We will instruct CBP to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the subject merchandise from the above-listed company. This action is in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214(e). Because Blue Field has certified that it both produces and exports the subject merchandise, the sale of which was the basis for its new shipper review request, we will apply the bonding privilege only to entries of subject merchandise for which it is both the producer and exporter.

Interested parties that need access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation notice is published here in accordance with section