means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: July 13, 2004.

Robert Brenner,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 04–16450 Filed 7–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[UT-001-0058; FRL-7789-8]

Adequacy Status of the Provo, Utah Carbon Monoxide Redesignation and Maintenance Plan Emission Budgets for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this document, EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the Provo, Utah Carbon Monoxide Redesignation and Maintenance Plan, that was submitted by the Utah Governor on April 1, 2004. are adequate for conformity purposes. On March 2, 1999, the D.C. Circuit Court ruled that budgets in submitted State Implementation Plans (SIPs) cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of our finding, the Mountainland Association of Governments, the Utah Department of Transportation and the U.S. Department of Transportation are required to use the motor vehicle emissions budgets from this submitted maintenance plan for future transportation conformity determinations.

DATES: This finding is effective August 4, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jeffrey Kimes, Air & Radiation Program (8P–AR), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466, (303) 312–6445. The letter documenting our finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: http:// www.epa.gov/otaq/transp/conform/ adequacy.htm.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever "we", "us", or "our" are used we mean EPA.

This action is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. We sent a letter to the Utah Division of Air Quality on June 30, 2004, stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted Provo, Utah Carbon Monoxide Redesignation and Maintenance Plan are adequate. This finding has also been announced on our conformity Web site at http://www.epa.gov/otaq/transp/ conform/adequacy.htm.

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. Our conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to SIPs and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they demonstrate conformity. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from our completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge our ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved, and vice versa.

We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in a memo entitled, "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision," dated May 14, 1999. We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination.

For the reader's ease, we have excerpted the motor vehicle emission budgets from the Provo, Utah Carbon Monoxide Redesignation and Maintenance Plan and they are as follows: Motor vehicle emissions budget for the year 2014 is 70.44 tons per day of CO. The final year budget, for the year 2015 and beyond, is 72.10 tons per day of CO.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: July 9, 2004.

Robert E. Roberts,

Regional Administrator, Region VIII. [FR Doc. 04–16451 Filed 7–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7790-2]

Notice of Availability of the "Draft Model Application/Information Request for CERCLA Service Station Dealer Exemption" Under Section 114(c) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is announcing the availability for review and comment of the draft document entitled "Draft Model Application/Information Request for CERCLA Service Station Dealer Exemption."

DATES: Comments on the "Draft Model Application/Information Request for CERCLA Service Station Dealer Exemption" must be received by August 13, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be sent by e-mail to *boushell.susan@epa.gov*, mailed to Susan Boushell, Office of Site Remediation Enforcement (Mail Code 2273A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20006, or delivered to Susan Boushell, Ariel Rios South Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Room 6233Q, Washington, DC 20006, (202) 564–2173.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Boushell, EPA's Office of Site Remediation Enforcement, (202) 564– 2173 or *boushell.susan@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 3, 2004 (29 FR 5147), EPA published a notice of availability for public comment on the "Draft Model CERCLA Application/Information Request for Service Station Dealers." In response to comments received, EPA revised the draft model and is making the revised draft model available for public comment. The revised draft model, entitled "Draft Model Application/Information Request for CERCLA Service Station Dealer Exemption," will be available on the Internet at http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/resources/policies/cleanup/ superfund/ssde-draftmod-appinfo.pdf. For more information about the draft model, please see the February 3rd Federal Register notice.

Dated: July 13, 2004.

Elliott Gilberg,

Deputy Director, Office of Site Remediation Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 04–16452 Filed 7–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

July 7, 2004.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before September 20, 2004. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–A804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to *Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Les Smith at (202) 418–0217 or via the Internet at *Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0788. Title: DTV Showings/Interference Agreements.

Form Number: FCC Form 301 and FCC Form 340.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit entities; and not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 300. Estimated Time per Response: 5 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement; and third party disclosure.

Total Annual Burden: 1,500 hours. Total Annual Cost: \$2,400,000. Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: Section III-D of the FCC Form 301 and Section VII of the FCC Form 340 begin with a "Certification Checklist." This checklist contains a series of questions by which applicants may certify compliance with key processing requirements. The first certification requires conformance with the DTV Table of Allotments. The Commission allows flexibility for DTV facilities to be constructed at locations within five kilometers of the reference allotment sites without consideration of additional interference to analog or DTV service, provided the DTV service does not exceed the allotment reference height above average terrain or effective radiated power. In order for the Commission to process applications that cannot certify affirmatively. 47 CFR Section 73.623(c) requires applicants to submit a technical showing to establish that their proposed facilities will not result in additional interference to TV broadcast and DTV operations.

Additionally, the Commission permits broadcasters to agree to proposed DTV facilities that do not conform to the initial allotment parameters, even though they might be affected by potential new interference. The Commission will consider granting applications on the basis of interference agreements if it finds that such grants will serve the public interest. These agreements must be signed by all parties to the agreement. In addition, the Commission needs the following information to enable such public interest determinations: a list of parties predicted to receive additional interference from the proposed facility, a showing as to why a grant based on the agreements would serve the public interest, and technical studies depicting the additional interference.

In 2001, the Commission removed from this collection all references to industry frequency coordination committees. These committees did not evolve. Respondents have been using consulting engineers and attorneys to prepare the technical showings and interference agreements.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–16457 Filed 7–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–10–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

July 8, 2004.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before August 19, 2004. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should