DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-866]

Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Folding Gift Boxes from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On February 24, 2004, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain folding gift boxes from the People's Republic of China for one producer/ exporter of certain folding gift boxes from the People's Republic of China, Red Point Paper Products Co., Ltd., covering the period of review January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2003. On April 2, 2004, the Department of Commerce received a request for withdrawal of this review from Red Point Paper Products Co., Ltd., the respondent which requested this review. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department of Commerce is now rescinding its initiation of this review because the producer/exporter has timely withdrawn its request for review and no other interested parties have requested a review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 19, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yang Jin Chun or Thomas Schauer, Group 1, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5760, and (202) 482-0410, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 2, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain folding gift boxes (gift boxes) from the People's Republic of China (PRC). See Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation, 69 FR 50. On January 26, 2004, Red Point Paper Products Co., Ltd. (Red Point), a producer/exporter of gift

boxes from the PRC, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on gift boxes from the PRC produced/ exported by Red Point for the period of review January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2003. On February 3, 2004, Red Point re-submitted its January 26, 2004, request for administrative review to correct a typographical error.

On February 5, 2004, the Department issued a review questionnaire to Red Point. On February 24, 2004, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on gift boxes from the PRC for Red Point. See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 69 FR 8379. On April 2, 2004, Red Point withdrew its request for a review.

If a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review, the Secretary will rescind the review pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1)(2003). In this case, Red Point has withdrawn its request for a review within 90 days from the date of initiation. No other interested party requested a review and we have received no comments regarding Red Point's withdrawal of its request for a review. Therefore, we are rescinding the initiation of this review of the antidumping duty order on gift boxes from the PRC.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1)(2003).

Dated: April 13, 2004.

Jeffrey May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-8799 Filed 4-16-04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-863]

Honey From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for **Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 19, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Angelica Mendoza or Brandon Farlander at (202) 482-3019 or (202)

482-0182, respectively; Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 10, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China (PRC). See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order; Honey From the People's Republic of China, 66 FR 63670 (December 10, 2001). On December 17, 2002, the Department published an opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC for the period May 11, 2001, through November 30, 2002 (67 FR 77222). On December 31, 2002, the Department received a timely request from the American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association (collectively, petitioners) requesting that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey exported to the United States from the following PRC honey producers/ exporters: (1) Anhui Native Produce Import & Export Corp. (Anhui); (2) Henan Native Produce and Animal By-**Products Import & Export Company** (Henan); (3) High Hope International Group Jiangsu Foodstuffs Import and Export Corp. (High Hope); (4) Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import & Export Corp. (Inner Mongolia); (5) Kunshan Foreign Trade Company (Kunshan); (6) Shanghai Eswell Enterprise Co., Ltd. (Shanghai Eswell); (7) Shanghai Xiuwei International Trading Co., Ltd. (Shanghai Xiuwei); (8) Sichuan-Dujiangyan Dubao Bee Industrial Co., Ltd. (Sichuan Dubao); (9) Wuhan Bee Healthy Co., Ltd. (Wuhan); and (10) Zhejiang Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import & Export

On December 31, 2002, we received a timely request from Zhejiang Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import & Export Corp., a.k.a. Zhejiang Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import and Export Group Corporation (Zhejiang), requesting that the Department conduct an administrative review of its honey shipments to the United States during the period May 11, 2001, through November 30, 2002. On

January 22, 2003, the Department initiated the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC, for the period of May 11, 2001, through November 30, 2002, in order to determine whether merchandise imported into the United States is being sold at less than fair value with respect to these ten companies. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Requests for Revocations in Part, 68 FR 3009 (January 22, 2003) (Administrative Review Initiation).1

On January 27, 2003, the Department clarified that the period of review (POR) for High Hope, Kunshan, Zhejiang, Wuhan, Shanghai Xiuwei, and Sichuan Dubao is February 10, 2001, through November 30, 2002. See Memorandum to the File through Donna L. Kinsella, Case Manager, Office 8; POR for Exporters of Honey From the People's Republic of China With Affirmative Critical Circumstances Findings (January 27, 2003).

On July 25, 2003, the Department extended the due date for the preliminary results of this review (68 FR 44046). On December 16, 2003, the Department published the preliminary results of this review (68 FR 69988).

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the final results of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the final results within the statutory time limit of 120 days from the date on which the preliminary results were published. The Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the statutory time limit. Due to the complexity of the surrogate value issues raised in the case briefs, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1) of the Department's regulations. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of these final results

by 14 days. Accordingly, the final results will now be due no later than April 28, 2004.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(1)(3)(A) of the Act and section 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: April 13, 2004.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

 $[FR\ Doc.\ 04-8803\ Filed\ 4-16-04;\ 8:45\ am]$

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-504]

Amended Notice of Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On March 15, 2004, the Department of Commerce (Department) published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for the period from August 1, 2001 to July 31, 2002 in the Federal Register. See Notice of Final Results and Rescission, In Part, of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China, 69 Fed. Reg. 12121 (March 15, 2004) (Final Results). We are amending our Final Results to correct ministerial errors alleged by the National Candle Association (the Petitioner) pursuant to section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 19, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sally Gannon at (202) 482–0162 or Mark Hoadley at (202) 482–3148, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Antidumping Order

The products covered by this order are certain scented or unscented petroleum wax candles made from petroleum wax and having fiber or paper—cored wicks. They are sold in the following shapes: tapers, spirals, and straight—sided dinner candles; rounds,

columns, pillars, votives; and various wax–filled containers. The products were classified under the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS) item 755.25, Candles and Tapers. The products are currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, Annotated for Statistical Reporting Purposes (2004) (HTSUS) item 3406.00.00. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding remains dispositive.

Amendment of Final Results

On March 15, 2004, the Department published the final results for its review of the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the PRC. See Final Results. On March 23, 2004, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 C.F.R. 351.224(c)(2), the Petitioner timely filed an allegation that there were ministerial errors in the Final Results. The Petitioner contends that in the Final Results, the Department erred in its calculations of surrogate values from the Indian import data used by the Department as the basis for valuation of certain of the factors of production. Dongguan Fay Candle Co., Ltd. (the Respondent) did not allege any ministerial errors, nor did they rebut the Petitioner's allegations. The Petitioner alleges two types of ministerial errors.

For the following factors of production: Masonite board, Styrofoam, wick, metal plate, metal stand, metal star, and wick stand, the Petitioner alleges that the Department incorrectly multiplied the value of Indian imports by 100 million rupees (100,000,000 rupees), instead of the correct figure of one billion rupees (1,000,000,000 rupees), prior to division by the quantity of imports in kilograms. The Petitioner notes that the Indian import data is provided in billions of rupees, and, therefore, must be multiplied by 1,000,000,000 rupees in the Department's formula to calculate the correct surrogate value. The Petitioner states that the correct multiplier was used in other comparable formulas for other factors of production calculations disclosed by the Department in this case. The Petitioner suggests the following formula in order to correct the surrogate value for these inputs:

(sum of total value * 1,000,000,000 rupees) / sum of total quantity

For banding strap, the Petitioner alleges that the Department used average unit values in rupees per kilogram, instead of the Indian price data in the numerator of the formula used to calculate the surrogate value. As a result, according to the Petitioner,

¹ In a separate proceeding, the Department also received timely requests from Shanghai Xiuwei and Sichuan Dubao, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c), for new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC, which has a December annual anniversary month. On February 5, 2003, we initiated new shipper reviews for Shanghai Xiuwei and Sichuan Dubao. See Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews, 68 FR 5868 (February 5, 2003). The POR for the new shipper reviews of these two companies is identical to the POR for the administrative reviews