### **Amended Notices**

- EIS No. 040472, Draft EIS, AFS, CO, Village at Wolf Creek Project, Application for Transportation and Utility Systems and Facilities, Proposed Development and Use of Roads and Utility Corridors Crossing, National Forest System Lands to Access 287.5 Acres of Private Property Land, Mineral County, CO, Comment Period Ends: January 5, 2005, Contact: Robert Dalrymple (719) 852–5941. Revision of FR Notice Published on 11/26/2004: CEQ Comment Period Ending on 12/06/ 2004 has been Extended to 1/05/2005.
- EIS No. 040538, Draft EIS, FAA, FL, Panama City-Bay County International Airport (PFN), Proposed Relocation to a New Site, NPDES Permit and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Bay County, FL, Comment Period Ends: January 28, 2005, Contact: Virginia Lane (407) 812–6331, Ext 129. Revision of FR Notice Published on 11/26/04: CEQ Comment Period Ending 1/21/2005 has been Extended to 1/28/2005.
- EIS No. 040564, Final EIS, AFS, SD, Southeast Geographic Area Rangeland Management on National Forest System Lands of the Buffalo Gap National Grassland, To Implement Best Management Grazing Practices, Buffalo Gap National Grassland, Falls River Ranger District, Fall River County, SD, Wait Period Ends: January 10, 2005, Contact: Michael L. Erik (605) 745–4107. Revision of FR Notice Published on 12/10/04: Correction to Title.

Dated: December 14, 2004.

## Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 04–27673 Filed 12–16–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### [ER-FRL-6658-7]

## Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact

statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 02, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

# Draft EISs

ERP No. D–FHW–F40425–OH Rating EC1, US–24 Transportation Project, Improvements between Napoleon to Toledo, Funding, Lucas and Henry Counties, OH.

Summary: EPA expressed an environmental concern about impacts to approximately 7 acres of wetlands and recommends that the secondary impacts at planned intersections be addressed.

ÈRP No. D–FRA–K59004–CA Rating EC2, Los Angeles-To-San Diego (LOSSAN) Rail Corridor, Proposed Rail Corridor Improvement Studies to Increase Intercity Travel for Faster, Safer and Reliable Passenger Rail System, Los Angeles, Orange, and San Diego Counties, CA.

*Summary:* EPA expressed concerns with the analysis of direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts to endangered species, coastal resources, water quality and air quality, and the integration of the proposed improvements with adjacent land-use and conservation planning efforts.

ERP No. D–IBR–J39032–00 Rating EC2, Operation of Flaming Gorge Dam Colorado River Storage Project, To Protect and Assist in Recovery of Populations and Designated Critical Habitat of Four Endangered Fishes: Bonytail, Colorado Pikeminnow, Humpback Chub and Razorback Sucker, Green River, UT and WY.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns that the Preferred Alternative may adversely affect other wildlife and their habitats. EPA also expressed concerns over the uncertainties surrounding both the impacts of the proposed management actions and the adaptive management changes that may be necessitated in the future. In addition, EPA expressed concerns that only one alternative was evaluated besides the No Action alternative, and suggested that other alternatives be evaluated.

ERP No. DS–AFS–L65344–AK Rating EC2, Emerald Bay Timber Sale, Implementation, Additional Information on the Potential Effects of the Project Alternatives, Ketchikan-Misty Fiords Ranger District, Tongass National Forest, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to the range of alternatives, as well as a need for information related to governmentto-government consultation with Alaskan Native Tribal Governments.

## Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–L65463–OR, 18 Fire Recovery Project, Salvaging Dead Trees, Reforesting 1,936 Acres with Ponderosa Pine Seedling and Closing/ Decommissioning Roads, Deschutes National Forest, Bend/Fort Rock Ranger District, Deschutes County, OR.

*Summary:* EPA expressed lack of objections and supports the measures to reduce the road density in the project area.

ERP No. F–COE–E36183–FL, Picayune Strand Restoration (formerly Southern Golden Gate Estates Ecosystem Restoration), Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Implementation, Collier County, FL.

Summary: EPA continues to support the specific objectives of this proposal as well as the related environmental goals of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Project. EPA noted that long-term monitoring will be an ongoing responsibility of the Interagency Operational Team and EPA will continue to participate actively with its state/federal stakeholders.

Dated: December 14, 2004.

## Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 04–27674 Filed 12–16–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7849-6]

## Proposed CERCLA Administrative Cost Recovery Settlement; Sulphur Bank Mercury Mine Superfund Site

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement with NEC Acquisition Co. for recovery of past response costs concerning closure and abandonment of geothermal wells at the Sulphur Bank Mercury Mine Site in Lake County, California. The settlement requires the settling party to pay \$1,700,000 to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund for past response costs incurred by EPA at the Site. The settlement includes a covenant not to sue the settling party pursuant to section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a). For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments

relating to the settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before January 18, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** The proposed settlement is available for public inspection at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from Larry Bradfish, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, ORC–3, San Francisco, CA 94105, telephone number (415) 972–3934. Comments should reference the Sulphur Bank Mercury Mine Superfund Site, Lake County, California and EPA Docket No. 2005–01 and should be addressed to Larry Bradfish at the address below.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Larry Bradfish, Assistant Regional Counsel (ORC–3), Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105; phone: (415) 972–3934; fax: (415) 947–3570; e-mail:

bradfish.larry@epa.gov.

Dated: December 8, 2004.

### Elizabeth Adams,

Acting Director, Superfund Division, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 04–27668 Filed 12–16–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPT-2004-0131; FRL-7691-6]

### Certain New Chemicals; Receipt and Status Information

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Section 5 of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) requires any person who intends to manufacture (defined by statute to include import) a new chemical (i.e., a chemical not on the TSCA Inventory) to notify EPA and comply with the statutory provisions pertaining to the manufacture of new chemicals. Under sections 5(d)(2) and 5(d)(3) of TSCA, EPA is required to publish a notice of receipt of a premanufacture notice (PMN) or an application for a test marketing exemption (TME), and to publish periodic status reports on the chemicals under review and the receipt of notices of commencement to manufacture those chemicals. This status report, which covers the period from November 10, 2004 to November 30, 2004, consists of the PMNs pending or expired, and the notices of commencement to manufacture a new chemical that the Agency has received under TSCA section 5 during this time period.

**DATES:** Comments identified by the docket ID number OPPT-2004-0131 and the specific PMN number or TME number, must be received on or before January 18, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Colby Lintner, Regulatory Coordinator, Environmental Assistance Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (7408M), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460– 0001; telephone number: (202) 554– 1404; e-mail address: *TSCA*-*Hotline@epa.gov.* 

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **I. General Information**

### A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general. As such, the Agency has not attempted to describe the specific entities that this action may apply to. Although others may be affected, this action applies directly to the submitter of the premanufacture notices addressed in the action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

## B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. Docket. EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number OPPT–2004–0131. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the EPA Docket Center, Rm. B102-Reading Room, EPA West, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The EPA Docket Center Reading Room telephone number is (202) 566–1744 and the telephone number for the OPPT Docket, which is located in EPA Docket Center, is (202) 566–0280.

2. Electronic access. You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at http://www.epa.gov/edocket/ to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B.1. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket ID number

Certain types of information will not be placed in the EPA Dockets. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, which is not included in the official public docket, will not be available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. To the extent feasible, publicly available docket materials will be made available in EPA's electronic public docket. When a document is selected from the index list in EPA Dockets, the system will identify whether the document is available for viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B.1. EPA intends to work towards providing electronic access to all of the publicly available docket materials through EPA's electronic public docket.

For public commenters, it is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket as EPA receives them and