

Form N-8A—Notification of Registration of Investment Companies. Form N-8A [17 CFR 274.10] is the form that investment companies file to notify the Commission of the existence of active investment companies. After an investment company has filed its notification of registration under section 8(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 [15 U.S.C. 80a-1 *et seq.*] (“1940 Act”), the company is then subject to the provisions of the 1940 Act which govern certain aspects of its organization and activities, such as the composition of its board of directors and the issuance of senior securities. Form N-8A requires an investment company to provide its name, state of organization, form of organization, classification, if it is a management company, the name and address of each investment adviser of the investment company, the current value of its total assets and certain other information readily available to the investment company. If the investment company is filing simultaneously its notification of registration and registration statement, Form N-8A requires only that the registrant file the cover page (giving its name, address and agent for service of process) and sign the form in order to effect registration.

The Commission uses the information provided in the notification on Form N-8A to determine the existence of active investment companies and to enable the Commission to administer the provisions of the 1940 Act with respect to those companies. Each year approximately 263 investment companies file a notification on Form N-8A. The Commission estimates that preparing Form N-8A requires an investment company to spend approximately 1 hour so that the total burden of preparing Form N-8A for all affected investment companies is 263 hours. Estimates of average burden hours are made solely for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act, and are not derived from a comprehensive or even a representative survey or study of the costs of Commission rules and forms.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through use of automated collection

techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: April 28, 2004.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-10198 Filed 5-4-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-49626; File No. SR-BSE-2004-11]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change and Amendment Nos. 1 and 2 Thereto by the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to an Interpretation of ITS Trade-Throughs and Locked Markets

April 28, 2004.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 23, 2004, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. (“Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposal pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act,³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(1) thereunder,⁴ which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. On April 5, 2004, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.⁵ On April 22, 2004, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.⁶ The Commission is publishing

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 19b-4(f)(1).

⁵ See letter from John A. Boese, Vice President, Legal and Compliance, BSE, to Nancy Sanow, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation (“Division”), Commission, dated April 2, 2004 (“Amendment No. 1”). Amendment No. 1 adds an exhibit to the proposed rule change to include the proposed interpretation as rule text, and replaces the original filing in its entirety.

⁶ See letter from John A. Boese, Vice President, Legal and Compliance, BSE, to Nancy Sanow, Assistant Director, Division, Commission, dated April 21, 2004 (“Amendment No. 2”). Amendment No. 2 clarifies the proposed interpretation by

this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is proposing to codify an interpretation concerning ITS Trade-Throughs and Locked Markets. The text of the proposed rule change is below. Additions are in *italics*.

* * * * *

Chapter XXXI

Intermarket Trading System

Secs. 1-3 no change

Sec. 4(a)-(f) no change

* * * Supplementary Material

(1)-(10) no change

(11)(a) *The terms “trade through” and “third participating market center trade-through” do not include the situation where a member who initiated the purchase (sale) of an ITS security at a price which is higher (lower) than the price at which the security is being offered (bid) in another ITS participating market, contemporaneously sends through ITS to such ITS participating market a commitment to trade at such offer (bid) price or better and for at least the number of shares displayed with that market center’s better-priced offer (bid); and*

(b) a trade-through complaint sent in these circumstances is not valid, even if the commitment sent in satisfaction cancels or expires, and even if there is more stock behind the quote in the other market.

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item III below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

adding the term “contemporaneously” to the proposed rule text.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the filing is to codify a long-standing interpretation of Chapter XXXI (Intermarket Trading System), Section 4 (Trade-Throughs and Locked Markets) of the Rules of the Board of Governors of the Boston Stock Exchange ("BSE Rules"). This section of the BSE Rules uses certain defined terms as follows:

(a)(1) A "trade-through", as that term is used in this Rule, occurs whenever a member on the Exchange purchases a security traded through ITS (referred to in this Rule as "an ITS Security") on the Exchange at a price which is higher than the price at which the security is being offered (or sells such a security on the Exchange at price which is lower than the price at which the security is being bid for) at the time of the purchase (or sale) in another ITS participating market center as reflected by the offer (bid) then being displayed on the Floor from such other market center. The member described in the foregoing sentence is referred to in this Rule as the member who initiated a trade-through.

(2) A "third participating market center trade-through", as that term is used in this Rule, occurs whenever a member on the Exchange initiates the purchase of an ITS Security by sending a commitment to trade through the System and such commitment results in an execution at a price which is higher than the price at which the security is being offered (or initiates the sale of such a security by sending a commitment to trade through the System and such commitment results in an execution at a price which is lower than the price at which the security is being bid for) at the time of the purchase (or sale) in another ITS participating market center as reflected by the offer (bid) then being displayed on the Exchange from such other market center. The member described in the foregoing sentence is referred to in this Rule as the "member who initiated a third participating market center trade-through."

According to the BSE, the basic concept of the Trade-Through Rule ("Rule") is that superior priced quotations in a security displayed from other ITS Participant markets should be protected/satisfied if, in another ITS Participant market, an execution in the security occurs at an inferior price ("trade-through"). One of the remedies the Rule provides is that, upon a valid complaint of a trade-through, a

commitment to trade, at the price and for the number of shares in the disseminated quotation, must be sent to the other ITS Participant market to fully satisfy such quotation. The proposed interpretation being filed by the BSE has long recognized that superior quotations are fully protected/satisfied if an ITS commitment is sent to trade with a bid/offer that would otherwise appear to have been traded-through. That is, a trade will not be considered a trade-through if an ITS commitment is sent contemporaneously from the ITS Participant executing the trade for the purpose of being executed against the better-priced displayed bid or offer. A trade-through complaint is not valid even if a commitment cancels or expires or there is more stock behind the away quote. Furthermore, the BSE believes that the proposed interpretation recognizes the impracticality of having to wait for the other market to revise its quotation as a result of trading with a satisfying commitment before trade activity may occur in other markets.

Specifically, the proposed interpretation is that:

i. The terms "trade-through" and "third participating market center trade-through" do not include the situation where a member who initiated the purchase (sale) of an ITS security at a price which is higher (lower) than the price at which the security is being offered (bid) in another ITS participating market, sends through ITS to such ITS participating market a commitment to trade at such offer (bid) price or better and for at least the number of shares displayed with that market center's better-priced offer (bid); and,

ii. A trade-through complaint sent in these circumstances is not valid, even if the commitment sent in satisfaction cancels or expires, and even if there is more stock behind the quote in the other market.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the statutory basis for the proposed rule change is Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁷ in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating securities transactions, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments on the proposed rule change were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(i) of the Act⁸ and subparagraph (f)(1) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder,⁹ because it is concerned solely with the interpretation of the meaning, administration or enforcement of an existing BSE Rule. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.¹⁰

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an E-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-BSE-2004-11 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(i).

⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(1).

¹⁰ For purposes of determining the effective date of the filing and calculating the 60-day abrogation date, the Commission considers the period to commence on April 22, 2004, the date the BSE filed Amendment No. 2.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BSE-2004-11. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the BSE. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BSE-2004-11 and should be submitted on or before May 26, 2004.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-10200 Filed 5-4-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-49627; File No. SR-ISE-2004-05]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change by the International Securities Exchange, Inc., Relating to Customized Market Data Reports ("ISEMine")

April 28, 2004.

On March 4, 2004, the International Securities Exchange, Inc. ("ISE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to establish fees in connection with the

preparation of customized market data reports for both members and non-members. The Exchange maintains databases that contain information relating to option contracts traded on the Exchange. The Exchange is proposing to provide members and non-members with the ability to "mine" this data through the use of customized market data reports. The Exchange refers to this service as "ISEmine."

The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on March 24, 2004.³ The Commission received no comments on the proposal.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange⁴ and, in particular, the requirements of section 6 of the Act⁵ and the rules and regulations thereunder. The Commission finds specifically that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(4) of the Act,⁶ in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among ISE members and issuers and other persons using its facilities. Additionally, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁷ in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating securities transactions, and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁸ that the proposed rule change (SR-ISE-2004-05) be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁹

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-10201 Filed 5-4-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 49442 (March 17, 2004), 69 FR 13925.

⁴ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-49625; File No. SR-NYSE-2004-11]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendments No. 1 and No. 2 Thereto by the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. To Amend Its Rule 122 Concerning Orders With More Than One Broker

April 28, 2004.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on February 20, 2004, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. On April 5, 2004, the NYSE filed an amendment to the proposed rule change.³ On April 20, 2004, the NYSE filed another amendment to the proposed rule change.⁴ The Commission is publishing this notice, as amended, to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is proposing to amend NYSE Rule 122 to provide that a Floor broker may send a portion of an order to a specialist either manually or via a hand-held terminal while retaining a portion of the same order. The text of the proposed rule change appears below. New text is in *italics*. Deleted text is in brackets.

* * * * *

Orders With More Than One Broker

Rule 122 *Except as provided herein*, [N]o member, member organization or any allied member therein, or subsidiary of such organization within the meaning of Rule 321, shall maintain with more than one broker, for execution on the Exchange, market orders or orders at the same price for the purchase or sale of

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See letter from Darla C. Stuckey, Corporate Secretary, NYSE, to Nancy J. Sanow, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation ("Division"), Commission, dated April 2, 2004 ("Amendment No. 1"). Amendment No. 1 replaced and superseded the Exchange's original filing in its entirety.

⁴ See letter from Mary Yeager, Assistant Secretary, NYSE, to Nancy J. Sanow, Division, Commission, dated April 19, 2004 ("Amendment No. 2"). In Amendment No. 2, NYSE clarified and expanded its rule text.

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.