

concerns in addition to national concerns.

The survey cannot be used to make recommendations about management actions because (1) the management problem has not yet been defined (except in the case of Valley Forge NHP), and (2) no questions were asked about potential actions. No other unsolicited comments were received for this one-time information collection as a result of the **Federal Register** notice.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title:* Identifying Capacity for Local Community Participation in Wildlife Management Planning: White-tailed Deer in Northeastern NPS Units.

*OMB Number:* To be requested.

*Expiration Date:* To be requested.

*Type of Request:* New collection.

*Description of need:* NPS and DOI policies have begun to emphasize on civic engagement and public participation in park management (NPS Director's Order 75A), as well as communication and collaboration with local communities (NPS Director's Order 52A). Discussions with NPS natural resource managers indicate a need for tools to better understand local community residents and ways to engage them in management and planning, especially in situations where communities may be impacted by NPS Management decisions. This study will provide insight on local stakeholder opinions and experiences related to the role of parks in deer and other wildlife management, their understanding of deer issues and ways to address them in parks, and the influence of public input in wildlife management in parks. This information will assist park staff in improving communication with the public in the event that these parks consider managing impacts related to deer in the future. Insights from this study also should enhance NPS ability to respond to other natural resource management issues that involve local communities.

The goal of this study is to identify criteria for public involvement strategies that successfully engage the public in management planning, particularly with respect to deer management. Collection of these data will assist NPS managers in fulfilling recent policy directives for public participation by indicating how to adapt participatory processes to best meet the specific management and stakeholder contexts. Should these data not be collected, future participatory processes will be undertaken without the benefit of research showing the relevance to public-participation processes to audiences. This could result in receiving public input that is

not representative of the public at large or designing participatory processes that are more likely to incite controversy than identify constructive solutions. Specific requirements regarding the information that must be submitted by offerors in response to a prospectus issued by NPS are contained in sections 403(4), (5), (7), and (8) of the Act.

Comments are invited on: (1) The practical utility of the information being gathered; (2) the accuracy of the burden hour estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden to respondents, including the use of automated information collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

*Bureau Form Number:* None.

*Frequency of Collection:* On occasion.

*Description of respondents:* Residents of communities near: The Potomac Gorge area of Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park; Fire Island National Seashore; Morristown National Historical Park; Prince William Forest Park; and Valley Forge National Historical Park.

*Automated data collection:* This information will be collected via mail-back questionnaire. Telephone interviews will be conducted with a small number of non-respondents to the mail survey. No automated data collection will take place.

*Estimated average number of respondents:* 2500 (2000 respondents for mail survey; 500 respondents for telephone interviews).

*Estimated average number of responses:* 2500 (2000 responses for mail survey; 500 responses for telephone interviews).

*Estimated average burden hours per response:* 1/3 hour for mail survey respondents, 1/12 for follow-up telephone interview respondents.

*Frequency of Response:* 1 time per respondent.

*Estimated annual reporting burden:* 709 hours.

*Total Non-hour Cost Burden:* 0.

Dated: January 10, 2007.

**Leonard Stowe,**

*NPS Information Collection Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 07-205 Filed 1-18-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-52-M**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**[Investigation No. 731-TA-706 (Second Review)]**

**In the Matter of Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand; Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct a Portion of the Hearing In Camera**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Closure of a portion of a Commission hearing.

**SUMMARY:** Upon the timely, joint request of respondents, the Commission has determined to conduct a portion of its hearing in the above-captioned investigation scheduled for January 18, 2007, *in camera*. See Commission rules 207.24(d), 201.13(m) and 201.36(b)(4) (19 CFR 207.24(d), 201.13(m) and 201.36(b)(4)). The remainder of the hearing will be open to the public.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gracemary R. Roth-Roffy, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3106. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission believes that Thai Pineapple Canning Industry Corp.; Malee Sampran Public Co., Ltd.; The Siam Agro Industry Pineapples and Others Public Co., Ltd.; Pranburi Hotel Co., Ltd.; Siam Fruit Canning (1988) Co., Ltd.; and Great Oriental Food Products and Other Fruits, Co. Ltd. ("Thai Respondents") have justified the need for a closed session. Thai Respondents seek a closed session to allow for a discussion of business proprietary information ("BPI") regarding the sole domestic producer's financial and production operations as well as data relating to subject imports from Thailand. In making this decision, the Commission nevertheless reaffirms its belief that whenever possible its business should be conducted in public.

The hearing will include the usual public presentations by petitioner and by respondents, with questions from the Commission. In addition, the hearing will include a 10-minute *in camera*

session for a confidential presentation by Thai Respondents. Each session will be followed by an *in camera* rebuttal presentation by petitioner and questions from the Commission relating to the BPI. During the *in camera* session the room will be cleared of all persons except those who have been granted access to BPI under a Commission administrative protective order (APO) and are included on the Commission's APO service list in this investigation. See 19 CFR 201.35(b). The time for the parties' presentations and rebuttals in the *in camera* session will be taken from their respective overall allotments for the hearing. All persons planning to attend the *in camera* portions of the hearing should be prepared to present proper identification.

**Authority:** The General Counsel has certified, pursuant to Commission Rule 201.39 (19 CFR 201.39) that, in his opinion, a portion of the Commission's hearing in *Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand*, Inv. Nos. 731-TA-706 (Second Review), may be closed to the public to prevent the disclosure of BPI.

Issued: January 16, 2007.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-718 Filed 1-18-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 731-TA-739 (Second Review)]

### Clad Steel Plate From Japan

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Scheduling of an expedited five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on clad steel plate from Japan.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on clad steel plate from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 5, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Eric Land (202-205-3349), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.** On January 5, 2007, the Commission determined that the domestic group response to its notice of institution (71 FR 57996, October 2, 2006) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.<sup>2</sup>

**Staff report.** A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on February 1, 2007, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

**Written submissions.** As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,<sup>3</sup> and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review.

<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

<sup>2</sup> Chairman Daniel R. Pearson and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun concluded that the domestic group response for this review was adequate and the respondent group response was inadequate, but that circumstances warranted a full review.

<sup>3</sup> The Commission has found the responses submitted by the domestic interested party Mittal Steel USA, Inc., to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

Comments are due on or before February 6, 2007, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by February 6, 2007. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II (C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: January 12, 2007.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-669 Filed 1-18-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-590]

### In the Matter of Certain Coupler Devices For Power Supply Facilities, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.