# **Proposed Rules**

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## 10 CFR Part 51

[Docket No. PRM-51-10]

## Massachusetts Attorney General; Receipt of Petition for Rulemaking; Reopening of Public Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Petition for rulemaking; reopening of public comment period.

SUMMARY: On November 1, 2006 (71 FR 64169), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) published for public comment a notice of receipt of a petition for rulemaking, dated August 25, 2006, which was filed with the Commission by Diane Curran on behalf of the Massachusetts Attorney General. The petition was docketed by the NRC on September 19, 2006, and has been assigned Docket No. PRM-51-10. On December 15, 2006, several external stakeholder groups requested a 60 day extension of the public comment period owing to the importance of the rulemaking, the voluminous technical documents that require careful review, and the occurrence of major national events (elections) and holidays (Thanksgiving, Christmas, Hanukkah, and New Years celebrations) during the comment period which limits the time available for stakeholders to comment. The NRC is reopening the comment period on the petition for an additional 60 days from the original January 16, 2007 deadline. The comment period closes on March 19, 2007.

**DATES:** The comment period has been reopened and now expires on March 19, 2007. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to assure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments on this petition by any one of the following methods. Please include PRM-51-10 in the subject line of your comments. Comments on petitions submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available for public inspection. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

Mail comments to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

E-mail comments to: *SECY@nrc.gov.* If you do not receive a reply e-mail confirming that we have received your comments, contact us directly at 301– 415–1966. You may also submit comments via the NRC's rulemaking Web site at *http://ruleforum.llnl.gov.* Address questions about our rulemaking Web site to Carol Gallagher (301) 415– 5905; e-mail *cag@nrc.gov.* Comments can also be submitted via the Federal eRulemaking Portal *http:// www.regulations.gov.* 

Hand deliver comments to: 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, between 7:30 am and 4:15 pm Federal workdays. Telephone number 301–415–1966.

Fax comments to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at (301) 415–1101. Publicly available documents related to this petition may be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), Room O1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee. Selected documents, including comments, may be viewed and downloaded electronically via the NRC rulemaking Web site at *http://ruleforum.llnl.gov.* 

Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC after November 1, 1999, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams.html. From this site, the public can gain entry into the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the PDR Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Federal Register Vol. 72, No. 12 Friday, January 19, 2007

A paper copy of the petition may be obtained by contacting Betty Golden, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone 301–415– 6863, toll-free 1–800–368–5642, or by email *bkg2@nrc.gov*.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael T. Lesar, Chief, Rulemaking, Directives and Editing Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555– 0001, telephone 301–415–7163 or toll free 1–800–368–5642.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of January 2007.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook,

Secretary of the Commission. [FR Doc. E7–712 Filed 1–18–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Federal Aviation Administration

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2007-26857; Directorate Identifier 2006-NM-126-AD]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A310 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to supersede an existing airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to all Airbus Model A310 series airplanes. The existing AD currently requires inspections of the lower door surrounding structure to detect cracks and corrosion; inspections to detect cracking of the holes of the corner doublers, the fail-safe ring, and the door frames of the door structures; and repair if necessary. The existing AD also provides for optional terminating action for certain inspections. This proposed AD would retain all requirements of the existing AD, mandate the previously optional terminating action, and reduce the applicability of the existing AD.

This proposed AD results from a determination that further rulemaking is necessary to improve the fatigue behavior of the cabin door surroundings. We are proposing this AD to prevent corrosion between the scuff plates at exit and cargo doors and fatigue cracks originating from certain fastener holes located in adjacent structure, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the door surroundings.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by February 20, 2007. **ADDRESSES:** Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.

• DOT Docket Web site: Go to http:// dms.dot.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

• Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

• *Mail:* Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590.

• Fax: (202) 493–2251.

• *Hand Delivery:* Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Contact Airbus, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France, for service information identified in this proposed AD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Stafford, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 227–1622; fax (425) 227–1149.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

We invite you to submit any relevant written data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. Include the docket number "Docket No. FAA–2007–26857; Directorate Identifier 2006-NM–126– AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to *http://dms.dot.gov*, including any personal

information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed AD. Using the search function of that Web site, anyone can find and read the comments in any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment (or signed the comment on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review the DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78), or you may visit *http://* dms.dot.gov.

## **Examining the Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http://dms.dot.gov*, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647–5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the DOT street address stated in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after the Docket Management System receives them.

## Discussion

On July 24, 1998, we issued AD 98-16-06, amendment 39-10682 (63 FR 40819, July 31, 1998), for all Airbus Model A310 series airplanes. That AD requires inspections of the lower door surrounding structure to detect cracks and corrosion; inspections to detect cracking of the holes of the corner doublers, the fail-safe ring, and the door frames of the door structures; and repair if necessary. That AD also provides for optional terminating action for certain inspections. That AD resulted from reports indicating that corrosion was found between the scuff plates at exit and cargo doors, and fatigue cracks originated from certain fastener holes located in adjacent structure. We issued that AD to detect and correct such corrosion and fatigue cracking, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the door surroundings.

## **Other Relevant Rulemaking**

We have previously issued AD 97– 11–03, amendment 39–10032 (62 FR 28325, May 23, 1997), applicable to all Airbus Model A300 series airplanes. That AD requires inspections of the lower door surrounding structure to detect cracks and corrosion; inspections to detect cracking of the holes of the corner doublers, the fail-safe ring, and the door frames of the door structures; and repair if necessary. That AD also requires modification of the passenger/ crew door frames, which terminates certain inspections.

We also previously issued AD 98-16-05, amendment 39-10680 (63 FR 40812, July 31, 1998), applicable to all Airbus Model A300-600 series airplanes. That AD requires inspections of the areas behind the scuff plates below the passenger/crew doors and bulk cargo door to detect cracks and corrosion; inspections to detect cracking of the holes of the corner doublers, the failsafe ring, and the door frames of the door structures; and repair if necessary. In addition, that AD provides for an optional terminating action for certain inspections. We are considering further rulemaking to mandate the optional terminating action.

#### Actions Since Existing AD Was Issued

Since we issued AD 98-16-06, the Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France, issued French airworthiness directives 1991-132-124(B) R1, dated November 29, 2000 (to replace French airworthiness directive 91-132-124(B), dated June 26, 1992, which is referred to in AD 98-16-06), and F-2004-103, dated July 7, 2004. The DGAC advises that further rulemaking is necessary to improve the fatigue behavior of the cabin door surroundings on certain Airbus Model A310 series airplanes and to remove certain airplanes from the applicability of the existing French airworthiness directive 91-132-124(B) that are no longer subject to the existing requirements. Corrosion between the scuff plates at exit and cargo doors and fatigue cracks originating from certain fastener holes located in adjacent structure, if not corrected, could result in reduced structural integrity of the door surroundings.

After the DGAC issued French airworthiness directive 1991–132– 124(B) R1 on November 29, 2000, we determined at that time that further rulemaking was not necessary, because the changes to AD 98–16–06 would be relieving in nature. However, since French airworthiness directive F–2004– 103 mandates the previously optional modification, we now agree with the DGAC that further rulemaking is indeed necessary to improve the fatigue behavior of the cabin door surroundings, and this proposed AD follows from that determination.

## **Relevant Service Information**

Airbus has issued and revised the service bulletins in the following table:

Airbus Service Bulletin—	Describes procedures for—
A310–53–2030, Revision 06, dated July 2, 1996 (Revision 5 of the service bulletin was referenced in AD 98–16–06 as an appropriate source of service information).	Doing initial inspections for cracks and corrosion of the areas behind the scuff plates below the passenger/ crew doors and bulk cargo door, and repairing any cracked or corroded part. The inspection and repair are essentially identical to those specified in Revisions 2 through 5 of the service bulletin.
A310–53–2037, Revision 02, dated November 27, 2000 (Revision 1 of the service bulletin was ref- erenced in AD 98–16–06 as an appropriate source of service in- formation).	Doing repetitive inspections for cracks of the holes of the corner doublers, the fail-safe ring, and the door frames of the passenger/crew door structures, and repairing any cracked part. The inspection and repair are essentially identical to those specified in Revision 1 of the service bulletin.
A310–53–2017, Revision 09, dated May 17, 2004 (Revision 7 of the service bulletin was referenced in AD 98–16–06 as an appropriate source of service information).	Modifying the passenger/crew door structures, which ends the repetitive inspections of the holes of the corner doublers specified in Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2037, the fail-safe ring, and the door frames for certain airplanes. The modification includes cold expansion of fastener holes; a ROTO test; installation of new oversize fasteners and modified brackets; and installation of an additional steel doubler. The modification is essentially identical to that specified in Revisions 7 and 08 of the service bulletin.

SERVICE BULLETINS

In addition, Airbus previously issued Service Bulletin A310–53–2041, Revision 02, dated July 2, 1996 (which was referenced in AD 98–16–06 as an appropriate source of service information). The service bulletin describes procedures for doing repetitive inspections for cracks and corrosion of the areas behind the scuff plates below the passenger/crew doors and bulk cargo door, and repairing any cracked or corroded part.

Accomplishing the actions specified in the service information is intended to adequately address the unsafe condition. The DGAC mandated the service information to ensure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in France.

# FAA's Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

This airplane model is manufactured in France and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. We have examined the DGAC's findings, evaluated all pertinent information, and determined that AD action is necessary for airplanes of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

This proposed AD would supersede AD 98–16–06 and would retain the requirements of the existing AD. This proposed AD would also require accomplishing the actions specified in service information described previously.

# Difference Between French Airworthiness Directives and This Proposed AD

The applicability of French airworthiness directives 1991-132-124(B) R1 and F-2004-103 exclude airplanes on which Airbus Service Bulletin A310-53-2017 at Revision 1 (or any other later approved revision) has been done in service. However, we have not excluded those airplanes in the applicability of this proposed AD; rather, this proposed AD includes a requirement to accomplish the actions specified in Revision 09 of that service bulletin. This requirement would ensure that the actions specified in the service bulletin and required by this proposed AD are accomplished on all affected airplanes. Operators must continue to operate the airplane in the configuration required by this proposed AD unless an alternative method of compliance is approved.

# **Change to Existing AD**

This proposed AD would retain all requirements of AD 98–16–06. Since AD 98–16–06 was issued, the AD format has been revised, and certain paragraphs and a note have been rearranged. As a result, the corresponding paragraph identifiers have changed in this proposed AD, as listed in the following table:

#### **REVISED PARAGRAPH IDENTIFIERS**

Paragraphs and note in AD 98–16–06	Corresponding para- graphs in this pro- posed AD		
Paragraph (a)	Paragraph (f).		
Paragraph (b)	Paragraph (g).		
Paragraph (c)	Paragraph (h).		
Paragraph (d)	Paragraph (i).		
NOTE 2	Paragraph (j).		
Paragraph (e)	Paragraph (k).		
Paragraph (f)	Paragraph (I).		
Paragraph (g)	Paragraph (m).		
Paragraph (h)	Paragraph (o).		

The DGAC revised the applicability of French airworthiness directives 1991– 132–124(B) R1 and F–2004–103 to exclude Model A310 series airplanes on which Airbus Modification 5068, 7201, and 7298 have been incorporated in production. Therefore, the applicability of the proposed AD parallels the French airworthiness directives in that regard.

We have revised the affected airplanes in paragraphs (f)(1) through (f)(3) of this proposed AD (paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3) of AD 98–16–06) to include "and Modification 5382D4741 for all other doors." This information is specified in the referenced service bulletin, but was inadvertently omitted from those paragraphs in AD 98–16–06. This change reduces the number of affected airplanes.

## **Costs of Compliance**

The following table provides the estimated costs for U.S. operators to comply with this proposed AD. The average labor rate per work hour is \$80.

# ESTIMATED COSTS

Action	Work hours	Parts	Cost per airplane	Number of U.S registered air- planes	Fleet cost
Repetitive inspections behind scuff plates (required by AD 98-16-06).	26	None	\$2,080	46	\$95,680.
Repetitive inspections of corner dou- blers, fail-safe ring, and door frames (required by AD 98–16–06).	Between 4 and 100 depending on kit purchased.	None	Between \$320 and \$8,000.	46	Between \$14,720, and \$368,000 per inspection cycle.
Terminating modification for repetitive inspection of corner doublers, fail- safe ring, and door frames.	Between 8 and 55 depending on kit purchased.	Between \$506 and \$6,098 depend- ing on kit pur- chased.	Between \$1,146 and \$10,498.	46	Between \$52,716 and \$482,908.

## Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

## **Regulatory Findings**

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;

2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

## **The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### §39.13 [Amended]

2. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) amends § 39.13 by removing amendment 39–10682 (63 FR 40819, July 31, 1998) and adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

Airbus: Docket No. FAA–2007–26857; Directorate Identifier 2006–NM–126–AD.

#### **Comments Due Date**

(a) The FAA must receive comments on this AD action by February 20, 2007.

#### Affected ADs

(b) This AD supersedes AD 98-16-06.

## Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Airbus Model A310 series airplanes; certificated in any category; excluding those airplanes on which Airbus Modification 5068, 7201, and 7298 have been incorporated in production.

#### Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD results from a determination that further rulemaking is necessary to improve the fatigue behavior of the cabin door surroundings. We are issuing this AD to prevent corrosion between the scuff plates at exit and cargo doors and fatigue cracks originating from certain fastener holes located in adjacent structure, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the door surroundings.

#### Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

### Requirements of AD 98-16-06

Initial Inspection Behind Scuff Plates and Repair If Necessary With Revised Affected Doors

(f) Perform an initial inspection of the areas behind the scuff plates below the passenger/ crew doors and bulk cargo door to detect cracks and corrosion, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2030, Revision 5, dated March 6, 1991, at the applicable time specified in paragraph (f)(1), (f)(2), or (f)(3) of this AD. If any crack or corrosion is found during this inspection, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with the service bulletin. Accomplishment of this inspection is not required for the aft passenger/crew doors if a steel doubler that covers the entire inspection area is installed.

(1) For any door on which Modification 5382 and Modification 5382D4741 for all other doors have been accomplished: Perform the initial inspection within 9 years since airplane manufacture, or within 1 year after September 4, 1998 (the effective date of AD 98–16–06), whichever occurs later.

(2) For any door on which Modification 5382 and Modification 5382D4741 for all other doors have not been accomplished, and on which the procedures described in Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2004, Revision 2, dated June 17, 1985, or Airbus Service Information Letter 53–033, Revision 2, dated November 23, 1984, have been accomplished: Perform the initial inspection within 5 years since airplane manufacture, or within 1 year after September 4, 1998, whichever occurs later.

(3) For any door on which Modification 5382 and Modification 5382D4741 for all other doors have not been accomplished, and on which the procedures described in Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2004, Revision 2, dated June 17, 1985, or Airbus Service Information Letter 53–033, Revision 2, dated November 23, 1984, have not been accomplished: Perform the initial inspection within 4 years since airplane manufacture, or within 1 year after September 4, 1998, whichever occurs later.

#### Repetitive Inspections Behind Scuff Plates

(g) Perform repetitive inspections of the areas behind the scuff plates below the passenger/crew doors and bulk cargo door to detect cracks and corrosion, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2041, Revision 02, dated July 2, 1996, at the applicable times specified in paragraphs (g)(1), (g)(2), and (g)(3) of this AD. Accomplishment of these inspections is not required for the aft passenger/crew doors if a steel doubler that covers the entire inspection area is installed.

(1) For the forward passenger/crew doors, the bulk cargo door, and the aft passenger/ crew doors, except the upper and lower edges of the fail-safe ring and the upper edges of the corner doubler, on all Model A310-200 and -300 series airplanes: Perform the first inspection within 5 years after accomplishing the inspection required by paragraph (f) of this AD; and repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 5 years.

(2) For the upper and lower edges of the fail-safe ring and the upper edges of the corner doubler of the aft passenger/crew door on all Model A310–200 series airplanes: Perform the first inspection within 5 years or 12,000 landings after accomplishing the inspection required by paragraph (f) of this AD, whichever occurs first; and repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 5 years or 12,000 landings, whichever occurs first.

(3) For the upper and lower edges of the fail-safe ring and the upper edges of the corner doubler of the aft passenger/crew door on all Model A310–300 series airplanes: Perform the first inspection within 5 years or 7,000 landings after accomplishing the inspection required by paragraph (f) of this AD, whichever occurs first; and repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 5 years or 7,000 landings, whichever occurs first.

#### Repair of Scuff Plates If Necessary

(h) If any crack is found during any inspection required by paragraph (g) or (n) of this AD, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A310-53-2041, Revision 02, dated July 2, 1996. Thereafter, perform the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (g) of this AD at the applicable times specified in paragraphs (g)(1), (g)(2), and (g)(3) of this AD. (i) If any corrosion is found during any inspection required by paragraph (g) of this AD, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2041, Revision 02, dated July 2, 1996. Thereafter, perform the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (g) of this AD at the applicable time specified in paragraph (i)(1) or (i)(2) of this AD.

(1) For Model A310–200 series airplanes: Inspect at intervals not to exceed 5 years or 9,600 landings, whichever occurs first.

(2) For Model A310–300 series airplanes: Inspect at intervals not to exceed 5 years or 5,600 landings, whichever occurs first.

(j) Accomplishment of the actions required by paragraph (g), (h), or (i) of this AD in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2041, dated December 5, 1990; or Revision 1, dated March 6, 1991, prior to September 4, 1998, is acceptable for compliance with that paragraph.

### Initial Inspection of Corner Doublers, Fail-Safe Ring, and Door Frames

(k) Perform an inspection to detect cracking of the holes of the corner doublers, the fail-safe ring, and the door frames of the left- and right-hand forward, mid, and aft passenger/crew door structures, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2037, Revision 1, dated April 29, 1992, and at the applicable times specified in paragraphs (k)(1), (k)(2), and (k)(3) of this AD.

(1) For the upper corners of the forward doors: Inspect prior to the accumulation of 20,000 total landings, or within 2,000 landings after September 4, 1998, whichever occurs later.

(2) For the lower corners of the forward doors: Inspect prior to the accumulation of 20,000 total landings, or within 4,000 landings after September 4, 1998, whichever occurs later.

(3) For the upper and lower corners of the aft doors, and for the parts underneath the corners of the upper door frames: Inspect prior to the accumulation of 20,000 total landings, or within 4,000 landings after September 4, 1998, whichever occurs later.

#### Repetitive Inspections of Corner Doublers, Fail-Safe Ring, and Door Frames

(l) Repeat the inspections required by paragraph (k) of this AD at the applicable

times specified in paragraphs (l)(1), (l)(2), (l)(3), (l)(4), and (l)(5).

(1) For the upper corners of the forward doors: Inspect at intervals not to exceed 6,000 landings.

(2) For the lower corners of the forward doors: Inspect at intervals not to exceed 10,000 landings.

(3) For the upper and lower corners of the aft doors on which an inspection required by paragraph (k) of this AD was accomplished using a ROTO test technique: Inspect at intervals not to exceed 8,000 landings.

(4) For the upper and lower corners of the aft doors on which an inspection required by paragraph (k) of this AD was accomplished using an X-ray technique: Inspect at intervals not to exceed 3,500 landings.

(5) For the areas around the fasteners in the vicinity of stringer 12 on the upper door frames of the aft doors on which an inspection required by paragraph (k) of this AD was accomplished using a visual technique: Inspect at intervals not to exceed 6,900 landings.

#### Repair of Corner Doublers, Fail-Safe Ring, and/or Door Frames If Necessary

(m) If any crack is found during any inspection required by paragraph (k) or (l) of this AD: Prior to further flight, accomplish the requirement of paragraph (m)(1) or (m)(2) of this AD, as applicable.

(1) If any crack is found, and the crack can be eliminated using the method specified in Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2037, Revision 1, dated April 29, 1992; or Revision 02, dated November 27, 2000: Prior to further flight, repair the crack in accordance with that service bulletin.

(2) If any crack is found, and the crack cannot be eliminated using the method specified in Airbus Service Bulletin A310– 53–2037, Revision 1, dated April 29, 1992; or Revision 02, dated November 27, 2000: Prior to further flight, repair the crack in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

#### New Requirements of This AD

#### New Revision of Service Bulletins

(n) As of the effective date of this AD, use only the service bulletin specified in Table 1 of this AD.

## TABLE 1.—NEW REVISION OF SERVICE BULLETINS

Do the action(s) required by-	In accordance with the accomplishment instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin-
	A310-53-2030, Revision 06, dated July 2, 1996. A310-53-2037, Revision 02, dated November 27, 2000.

## Terminating Modification for Repetitive Inspection of Corner Doublers, Fail-Safe Ring, and Door Frames

(o) Modify the passenger/crew door structures in accordance with the

Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A310–53–2017, Revision 09, dated May 17, 2004. Do the modification at the applicable time in paragraph (o)(1) or (o)(2) of Table 2 of this AD. Accomplishment of this modification constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (l) of this AD. The inspections required by paragraph (f) of this AD must be done before accomplishing this modification.

# TABLE 2.—COMPLIANCE TIME FOR TERMINATING MODIFICATION

For model	Compliance time
<ol> <li>(1) A310–203, -204,</li></ol>	<ul> <li>Before the accumulation of 40,000 flight cycles since the date of issuance of the original French standard Airworthiness</li></ul>
-221, and -222 air-	Certificate or the date of issuance of the original French Export Certificate of Airworthiness, or during the next inspec-
planes. <li>(2) A310–304, -322,</li>	tion required by paragraph (I) of this AD, whichever occurs later. <li>Before the accumulation of 35,000 flight cycles since the date of issuance of the original French standard Airworthiness</li>
-324, and -325 air-	Certificate or the date of issuance of the original French Export Certificate of Airworthiness, or during the next inspec-
planes.	tion required by paragraph (I) of this AD, whichever occurs later

#### Earlier Revision of Service Bulletins

(p) Actions done before the effective date of this AD in accordance with the service bulletins identified in Table 3 of this AD, are acceptable for compliance with the corresponding requirements of this AD.

# TABLE 3.-EARLIER REVISION OF SERVICE BULLETINS

Airbus service bulletin	Revision level	Date
(1) A310–53–2017	7	February 25, 1992.
(2) A310–53–2017	08	September 7, 2000.

# Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(q)(1) The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) Alternative methods of compliance, approved previously in accordance with AD 98–16–06 are approved as alternative methods of compliance with the corresponding provisions of paragraphs (f) through (m) of this AD.

(3) Before using any AMOC approved in accordance with § 39.19 on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify the appropriate principal inspector in the FAA Flight Standards Certificate Holding District Office.

### **Related Information**

(r) French airworthiness directives 1991– 132–124(B) R1, dated November 29, 2000, and F–2004–103, dated July 7, 2004, also address the subject of this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on January 8, 2007.

#### Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E7–702 Filed 1–18–07; 8:45 am]

## BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2007-26856; Directorate Identifier 2006-NM-125-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 B4–600, B4–600R, and F4–600R Series Airplanes, and Model C4–605R Variant F Airplanes (Collectively Called A300–600 Series Airplanes)

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to supersede an existing airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to all Airbus Model A300–600 series airplanes. The existing AD currently requires inspections of the lower door surrounding structure to detect cracks and corrosion; inspections to detect cracking of the holes of the corner doublers, the fail-safe ring, and the door frames of the door structures; and repair if necessary. The existing AD also provides for optional terminating action for certain inspections. This proposed AD would retain all requirements of the existing AD, mandate the previously optional terminating action, reduce the applicability of the existing AD, and add repetitive inspections behind scuff plates for certain affected airplanes. This proposed AD results from a determination that further rulemaking is necessary to improve the fatigue

behavior of the cabin door surroundings. We are proposing this AD to prevent corrosion between the scuff plates at exit and cargo doors and fatigue cracks originating from certain fastener holes located in adjacent structure, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the door surroundings.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by February 20, 2007. **ADDRESSES:** Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.

• DOT Docket Web site: Go to http://dms.dot.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

• Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

• *Mail:* Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Nassif Building, Room PL–401, Washington, DC 20590.

• Fax: (202) 493–2251.

• *Hand Delivery:* Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Contact Airbus, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France, for service information identified in this proposed AD.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tom Stafford, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 227–1622; fax (425) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: