

the Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly and the Section 811 Supportive Housing for Persons with Disabilities Capital Advance Program.

OMB Approval Number: 2502-0470.

Form Numbers: HUD-2453.1-CA, 2554, 90163-CA, 90164-CA, 90165-CA, 90166A-CA, 90166-CA, 90167-CA, 90169-CA, 901691-CA, 90170-CA, 90171-CA, 90172-CA, 90172B-CA, 90173-A-CA, 90173-B-CA, 90173-C-CA, 90174-CA, 90175-CA, 90175.1-CA, 90176-CA, 90177-CA, 90178-CA,

90179-CA, 91732A-CA, 92434-CA, 92435-CA, 92466.1-CA, 92452, 92452-A, 92452-CA, 92476-A-CA, 92004-F, 92433-CA, 92443-CA, 92450-CA, 92466-CA, 92476-A, 93432-CA, 93566-CA, and 93566.1-CA.

Description Of The Need For The Information And Its Proposed Use:

This collection facilitates the processing of all Sections 202 and 811 capital advance projects from firm commitment through final closing. Second, it allows for the collection of

information under the mixed-finance section of this program so that those owners who wish to partner with for-profit limited partners can participate in the development and management of supportive housing. And lastly, it allows for the collection of information to satisfy the reporting requirements for owners who receive predevelopment grant funds.

Frequency Of Submission: On occasion, monthly.

REPORTING BURDEN

Number of respondents	Annual responses	×	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
260	1		32.35		8,413

Total Estimated Burden Hours: 8,413.

Status: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: January 23, 2007.

Lillian L. Deitzer,

Departmental Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E7-1356 Filed 1-26-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Refuge

Hobe Sound National Wildlife Refuge

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of the Final Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Finding of No Significant Impact for Hobe Sound National Wildlife Refuge in Martin County, Florida.

SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service announces that a Final Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Finding of No Significant Impact for Hobe Sound National Wildlife Refuge are available for distribution. The plan was prepared pursuant to the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, and in accordance with the National Environment Policy Act of 1969, and describes how the refuge will be managed for the next 15 years. The compatibility determinations for fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, environmental education and interpretation, research, and pets are also available within the plan.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the plan may be obtained by writing to the Refuge Manager, Hobe Sound National Wildlife Refuge, 13640 SE. Federal Highway, Hobe Sound, Florida 33455. The plan may also be accessed and downloaded from the Fish and Wildlife Service's Web site: <http://southeast.fws.gov/planning/>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Hobe Sound National Wildlife Refuge is in Martin County, Florida, about 20 miles south of Stuart, Florida, and 30 miles north of West Palm Beach, Florida. The refuge covers a total of 1,160 acres within the acquisition boundary. The refuge consists of a 300-acre River Lagoon. The primary vegetation classes on the Mainland Tract consist mainly of sand pine scrub, wetland, mangrove, and hammock habitats. The Jupiter Island Tract has a 3.5-mile sea turtle nesting beach—one of the most productive in Florida—and several hardwood hammocks and mangrove wetlands. Annually, more than 120,000 visitors participate in refuge and nature center activities.

The availability of the Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Assessment for a 45-day public review and comment period was announced in the **Federal Register** on January 26, 2004, (69 FR 3590). The plan and Environmental assessment identified and evaluated four alternatives for managing the refuge over the next 15 years. Alternative 1, the "No Action" alternative, would have continued current management of the refuge within the approved acquisition boundary. Under Alternative 2 (Ecosystem Emphasis), refuge lands would be protected, maintained, and enhanced by adding more staff, equipment, and facilities in order to restore and manage the unique habitats

and more than 40 threatened and endangered species. Alternative 3 (Biological Emphasis) would add more staff, equipment, and facilities in order to maximize the biological program. Alternative 4 (Public Use Emphasis) would add more staff, equipment, and facilities in order to foster the refuge's public use program.

Based on the environmental assessment and the comments received, the Service adopted a modified version of Alternative 2 (Ecosystem Emphasis) as its preferred alternative. This alternative was considered to be the most effective for meeting the purposes of the refuge by enhancing habitat for threatened and endangered species, and by downsizing selected projects to help maintain the unique qualities of the refuge that make it so special to the community. The overriding concern reflected in this alternative is that wildlife conservation assumes first priority in refuge management; wildlife-dependent recreational uses (e.g., fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education and interpretation) will be emphasized and encouraged as long as they are compatible with wildlife conservation. Alternative 2 best achieves national, ecosystem, and refuge-specific goals and objectives and positively addresses significant issues and concerns expressed by the public.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Margo Stahl, Refuge Manager, Hobe Sound National Wildlife Refuge Complex, telephone: 772/546-6141; fax: 772/545-7572; e-mail: margo_stahl@fws.gov; or by writing to the Refuge Manager at the address in the **ADDRESSES** section.

Authority: This notice is published under the authority of the National Wildlife Refuge

System Improvement Act of 1997, Public Law 105–57.

Dated: June 5, 2006.

Sam D. Hamilton,
Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 07–347 Filed 1–26–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Initiation of a 5-Year Review of Ten Listed Northeastern Species

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 4(c)(2)(A) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA), we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce a 5-year review of 10 northeastern species. A 5-year review is a periodic process conducted to ensure that the listing classification of a species is accurate. A 5-year review is based on the best scientific and commercial data available at the time of the review; therefore, we are requesting submission of any such information that has become available since the original listing of the species as endangered or threatened. Based on the results of these 5-year reviews, we will make the requisite findings under section 4(c)(2)(B) of the ESA.

DATES: To allow us adequate time to conduct this review, we must receive your information no later than March 30, 2007. However, we will continue to accept new information about any listed species at any time.

ADDRESSES: Submit information to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Northeast Regional Office, 300 Westgate Center Drive, Hadley, MA 01035, to the attention of Ms. Mary Parkin. Information received in response to this notice and review will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours, at the above address. Information may also be sent to Mary_Parkin@fws.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Mary Parkin at the above address or at 617–876–6173.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the ESA 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*, the Service maintains a list of endangered and threatened wildlife and plant species at 50 CFR 17.11 (for animals) and 17.12 (for plants). Section 4(c)(2)(A) of the ESA requires that we conduct a review of listed species at least once every 5

years. Then, on the basis of such reviews under section 4(c)(2)(B), we determine whether or not any species should be removed from the list (delisted), or reclassified from endangered to threatened or from threatened to endangered. Delisting a species must be supported by the best scientific and commercial data available and only considered if such data substantiates that the species is neither endangered nor threatened for one or more of the following reasons: (1) The species is considered extinct; (2) the species is considered to be recovered; and/or (3) the original data available when the species was listed, or the interpretation of such data, were in error. Any change in Federal classification would require a separate rulemaking process. The regulations in 50 CFR 424.21 require that we publish a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing those species currently under active review. This notice announces our active review of the endangered Maryland darter (*Etheostoma sellare*), eastern cougar (*Puma* (= *Felis*) *concolor* cougar), Virginia fringed mountain snail (*Polygyriscus virginianus*), Virginia big-eared bat (*Corynorhinus* (= *Plecotus*) *townsendii virginianus*), Hay's Spring amphipod (*Stygobromus hayi*), American burying beetle (*Nicrophorus americanus*), and Lee County Cave isopod (*Lirceus usdagalun*), as well as the threatened Knieskern's beaked-rush (*Rhyncospora knieskernii*), bog turtle (*Clemmys muhlenbergii*), and small whorled pogonia (*Isotria medeoloides*).

Public Solicitation of New Information

To ensure that the 5-year review is complete and based on the best available scientific and commercial information, we are soliciting new information from the public, concerned governmental agencies, Tribes, the scientific community, industry, environmental entities, and any other interested parties concerning the status of the following endangered species since their original listings: The Maryland darter in 1967 (32 FR 4001) with Critical Habitat in 1984 (49 FR 34228–34232); eastern cougar in 1973 (38 FR 14678); Virginia fringed mountain snail in 1978 (43 FR 28932–28935); Virginia big-eared bat in 1979 with Critical Habitat (44 FR 69206–69208); Hay's Spring amphipod in 1982 (47 FR 5425–5427); American burying beetle in 1989 (54 FR 29652–29655); and the Lee County Cave isopod in 1992 (57 FR 54722–54726). In addition, we are requesting submission of any such information that has become available since the original listing of the following

species as threatened: The Knieskern's beaked-rush in 1991 (56 FR 32978 32983); and bog turtle in 1997 (62 FR 59605–59623); as well as the revised listing of the small whorled pogonia as threatened in 1994 (59 FR 50852–50857).

The 5-year review considers the best scientific and commercial data and all new information that has become available since the listing determination or most recent status review. Categories of requested information include: (A) Species biology, including but not limited to, population trends, distribution, abundance, demographics, and genetics; (B) habitat conditions, including but not limited to, amount, distribution, and suitability; (C) conservation measures that have been implemented that benefit the species; (D) threat status and trends; and (E) other new information, data, or corrections—including but not limited to, taxonomic or nomenclatural changes, identification of erroneous information contained in the list, and improved analytical methods.

If you wish to provide information for this 5-year review, you may submit your comments and materials to Ms. Mary Parkin (see **ADDRESSES** section).

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review, by appointment, during regular business hours (see **ADDRESSES** section). Individual respondents may request that we withhold their name and/or home address, etc., but if you wish us to consider withholding this information, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. In addition, you must present rationale for withholding this information. This rationale must demonstrate that disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. Unsupported assertions will not meet this burden. In the absence of exceptional, documentable circumstances, this information will be released. We will always make submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Authority: This document is published under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531).