For further information, contact Kathleen Boyce at 202–482–1346 or Kathleen_Boyce@ita.doc.gov.

Dated: July 23, 2007.

Andrew McGilvray, Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–14790 Filed 7–30–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign–Trade Zones Board

[Docket 26-2007]

Foreign–Trade Zone 107—Des Moines, Iowa, Expansion of Subzone and Manufacturing Authority—Subzone 107A, Winnebago Industries, Inc. (Motor Home Vehicles), Charles City, Iowa

An application has been submitted to the Foreign–Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Iowa Foreign–Trade Zone Corporation, grantee of FTZ 107, requesting to expand the subzone and scope of manufacturing authority under zone procedures for Subzone 107A, at the Winnebago Industries, Inc. (Winnebago) facilities in Charles City, Iowa. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign–Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on July 23, 2007.

Subzone 107A was approved by the Board on September 4, 1984 (Board Order 273, 44 FR 50625, 9/13/84) at Winnebago's motor home manufacturing facility, located at 605 W. Crystal Lake Road in Forest City, Iowa. The facility (1600 employees, 240 acres, 25 buildings/2.2 million sq. ft.) is used to manufacture, test and warehouse recreational vehicles, with specific authority granted for the manufacture of motor home vehicles (HTSUS 8703.23 and 8703.24) under zone procedures (up to 10,000 units annually). The duty rate on the motor home vehicles is 2.5 percent, ad valorem.

The current request involves an expansion of the scope of manufacturing authority in terms of capacity and components as well as the expansion of the subzone to include an additional site in Charles City, Iowa. Proposed *Site* 2 (495 employees, 55 acres, 5 buildings/ 352,000 sq. ft.) is located at 1200 Rove Avenue in Charles City, and is located approximately 65 miles southeast of the Forest City Site. The facilities will be used for the manufacture, testing and warehousing of the motor homes mentioned above (up to 3,000 additional units annually).

The primary foreign-sourced component used in manufacturing are chassis with engines installed - HTSUS numbers 8704.21, 8704.22, 8704.31 and 8704.32. Duty rates on the chassis range from 4 percent to 25 percent, ad valorem. Other dutiable components that may be sourced from abroad include the following: petroleum oil products; glues and adhesives; binders for foundry molds; plastic tubing, pipes and hoses; self-adhesive plastics; plastic fittings; compounded rubber products; tires; rubber floor coverings and mats; gaskets, washers and seals; safety glass; glass mirrors; wire cloth and grills; steel tubing and pipe fittings; screws, nuts, washers and bolts of iron and steel; springs and leaves for springs; articles of iron and steel wire; nails, tacks and drawing pins; copper products; aluminum products; hand tools; locks; hinges and castors; spark ignition internal combustion engines; compression ignition internal combustion engines; cast iron engine parts; pumps; fans; air and gas compressors; air conditioning machine parts; oil, fuel and air filters; check valves, taps and cocks; transmission shafts; bearings and bearing housings, gears, flywheels, clutches and pulleys; metal gaskets; electric motors; batteries; starter motors and generators; lighting and sound signaling equipment; windshield wipers and defrosters; microphones and speakers; television antennas; burglar and fire alarms; fuses, relays and switches; electrical filament or discharge lamps; ignition wiring sets; bumpers; safety belts; gear boxes; wheels; suspension components; mufflers and exhaust pipes; steering wheels; clutches; tractor parts; LCD displays; hydrometers, instruments and apparatus for checking flow and pressure of liquids; gas and smoke analysis equipment; speedometers, odometers and tachometers; voltage and voltage current regulators; and, electron-beam microscopes. These components have duty rates ranging from duty-free to 8.6 percent ad valorem.

Zone procedures on the increased production would exempt Winnebago from customs duty payments on the foreign components used in export production to non- NAFTA countries. Exports account for approximately 5 percent of production. On domestic sales and sales to NAFTA countries, Winnebago could defer duty until the products are entered for consumption or exported, and choose the lower duty that applies to the finished product (2.5 percent) for the foreign components listed above. The company would also realize certain logistical savings related to zone to zone transfers and direct delivery procedures as well as savings on materials that become scrap/waste during manufacturing. The application indicates that FTZ–related savings would help improve the Winnebago's international competitiveness.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is October 1, 2007. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15–day period (to October 15, 2007).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations: U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, 210 Walnut Street, Suite 749, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 2111, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20230. For further information, contact Christopher Kemp at Christopher kemp@ita.doc.gov or (202) 482-0862.

Dated: July 23, 2007.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–14791 Filed 7–30–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign–Trade Zones Board

[Docket 27-2007]

Foreign–Trade Zone 103 - Grand Forks, North Dakota, Expansion of Manufacturing Authority -- Subzone 103A, Imation Enterprise Corp., Wahpeton, North Dakota

An application has been submitted to the Foreign–Trade Zones Board (the Board) by Imation Enterprise Corp. (Imation), requesting authority to expand the scope of manufacturing activity conducted under zone procedures within Subzone 103A at the Imation facilities in Wahpeton, North Dakota. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign–Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on July 23, 2007.

Subzone 103A (400 employees) was approved by the Board in 2000 for the

manufacture of data storage products (Board Order 1099, 65 FR 37115, 6/13/ 00). The subzone consists of two sites (112 acres total): Site 1 (95 acres) is located at 2100 15th Street North, Wahpeton, North Dakota; Site 2 (17 acres) is located at 1205 North Tower Road, Route 2, Fergus Falls, Minnesota.

The current request involves the addition of imported RFID chips (HTSUS 8543.70, duty rate 2.6%) to the company's scope of authority for use in the production of data tape cartridges (duty free). No additional finished products have been requested. The scope otherwise would remain unchanged.

FTZ procedures would exempt Imation from customs duty payments on the RFID chips used in export production. The company anticipates that some 53 percent of the plant's shipments will be exported. On its domestic sales, Imation would be able to choose the duty rate during customs entry procedures that apply to finished data tape cartridges for the RFID chips. The application indicates that the savings from zone procedures help improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ staff has been appointed examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is October 1, 2007. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15–day period to October 15, 2007.

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, 51 Broadway, Suite 505, Fargo, ND 58102. Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign–Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 2111, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20230.

For further information, contact Elizabeth Whiteman at Elizabeth__Whiteman@ita.doc.gov or (202) 482–0473.

Dated: July 23, 2007.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–14788 Filed 7–30–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting a new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain forged stainless steel flanges (stainless steel flanges) from India manufactured by Micro Forge (India) (Micro Forge). The period of review (POR) covers February 1, 2006, through July 31, 2006. We preliminarily determine to apply an adverse facts available (AFA) rate to Micro Forge's U.S. sale. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in these proceedings are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issues; and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 31, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney, or Robert James, AD/ CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4475 or (202) 482– 0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 9, 1994, the Department published the antidumping duty order on stainless steel flanges from India. See Amended Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India, 59 FR 5994 (February 9, 1994) (Amended Final Determination). On August 31, 2006, the Department received requests for new shipper reviews for the period February 1, 2006, through July 31, 2006, from Micro Forge and Pradeep Metals Limited (Pradeep). On October 6, 2006, the Department published a notice initiating the requested reviews. See Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews, 71 FR 59081 (October 6, 2006). On March 23, 2007, we extended the time limit for the preliminary results of the new shipper reviews to July 26, 2007. See Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Notice of Extension of Time Limit

for Preliminary Results of Antidumping New Shipper Review, 72 FR 13746 (March 23, 2007). On March 30, 2007, we rescinded the review with respect to Pradeep. See Certain Forged Steel Flanges from India: Notice of Partial Rescission of New Shipper Review, 72 FR 15104, (March 30, 2007).On October 13, 2006, the Department sent standard section A, B, C, and D questionnaires to Micro Forge. On October 28, 2006, Micro Forge filed its response to section A of our questionnaire. In its Section A response, Micro Forge indicated that it made no sales of the subject merchandise in either India (its home market) or in any third-country market. See Micro Forge October 28, 2006, Section A response at page 4. On November 15, 2006, Micro Forge filed its response to sections C and D of our questionnaire. Micro Forge indicated that it filed a response to Section D of our questionnaire because it had no sales of subject merchandise in either India or in third countries during the period of review.

In our analysis of Micro Forge's response to Sections A, C, and D of our questionnaire, the Department discovered serious deficiencies. Among other things, these deficiencies included Micro Forge's failing to 1) adequately describe how it produced flanges, 2) detail or explain the services that Micro Forge received from affiliated parties relating to the production and sale of flanges, 3) report the basis of its calculation for certain adjustments to the U.S. price, and to clarify whether these U.S. adjustments were reported in the original currency of transaction, 4) explain the basis for the calculation of direct materials (DIRMAT), labor (DIRLAB), variable overhead (VOH), fixed overhead (FOH), general and administrative expenses (GNA) and interest (INTEX) expenses that support its CV calculation. These deficiencies were such that the Department was unable to calculate a margin for Micro Forge. Therefore we sent a supplemental section A, C, and D questionnaire to Micro Forge on April 4, 2007, that requested the additional information necessary for us to complete our analysis. We established a due date of April 17, 2006, for Micro Forge to respond to our April 4, 2007, supplemental questionnaire.

On April 17, 2007, Micro Forge sent an e-mail to the Department attempting to secure a one-month extension in which to respond to our April 4, 2007, supplemental questionnaire. As required by 19 CFR 351.103, Micro Forge failed to file its April 17, 2007, request with the Department's Central Records Unit (CRU). Moreover, Micro