

Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). The FIFRA SAP is structured to provide scientific advice, information and recommendations to EPA on pesticides and pesticide-related issues as to the impact of regulatory actions on health and the environment. The FIFRA SAP is a Federal advisory committee established in 1975 under FIFRA that operates in accordance with requirements of the FACA. The FIFRA SAP is composed of a permanent panel consisting of seven members who are appointed by the EPA Administrator from nominees provided by the National Institutes of Health and the National Science Foundation. In addition, FIFRA, as amended by the FQPA of 1996, established a Science Review Board consisting of at least 60 scientists who are available to the FIFRA SAP on an ad hoc basis to assist in reviews conducted by the Panel. As a peer review mechanism, the FIFRA SAP provides comments, evaluations and recommendations to improve the effectiveness and quality of analyses made by Agency scientists. Members of the FIFRA SAP are scientists who have sufficient professional qualifications, including training and experience, to provide expert advice and recommendation to the Agency. More information about the FIFRA SAP is available at <http://www.epa.gov/scipoly/sap>.

The proposed battery along with the materials supporting its composition will be provided to a panel of approximately 15 to 20 SAP reviewers. Some of the panel members may be individuals who participated in review of one or more Tier 1 assays, and some individuals will be new to the EDSP peer review process. Use of some of the same reviewers for both the Tier 1 assays and the Tier 1 battery is intended to ensure that individuals familiar with the individual assays are represented when the battery is discussed. This should not present a conflict of interest because the context of the review and the questions being asked of the battery reviewers will differ from what is asked of the reviewers for the individual Tier 1 assays (e.g., questions posed to the SAP reviewers would pertain to whether the proposed battery adequately covers the endpoints of interest for estrogen, androgen, and thyroid while questions posed to the Tier 1 assay reviewers would focus on the strengths and weaknesses of individual assays.

C. Tier 2 Assays

The peer review strategy for the Tier 2 assays will follow a pattern similar to that used for Tier 1 battery. These assays

will be peer reviewed by the FIFRA SAP.

D. Public Comment

The formal peer review process described above is intended to ensure a systematic and unbiased review of the scientific basis for including an assay in the EDSP. Although the Agency recognizes that other qualified scientists may also wish to offer opinions to the Agency on the merits of the assays, EPA is not soliciting public comments during the period in which the individual Tier 1 assays are being peer reviewed. Instead, the Agency will accept comments on the overall Tier 1 battery from the public when the composition of the Tier 1 battery is being peer reviewed by the SAP. A separate **Federal Register** notice will announce the SAP review of the Tier 1 battery and provide information on opportunities for public comment.

V. Listserv

The EDSP has created a listserv (Listserv) or "mailing group." A Listserv is an electronic mailing list that makes it possible to reach all individuals in a mailing group with a single e-mail message sent over the Internet. By adding your name to the EDSP Listserv, you will periodically receive an e-mail announcing the availability of materials on the EDSP website and other timely information. To subscribe to the EDSP Listserv send a blank e-mail message to: join-edsp@lists.epa.gov from the location at which you normally send or receive mail.

VI. References

The following is a list of the documents that are specifically referenced in this document. These references are available in the docket as identified under **ADDRESSES**.

1. U.S. EPA. Endocrine Disruptor Screening and Testing Advisory Committee Final Report. August 1998. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/scipoly/oscpendo/edspoverview/finalrpt.htm>.
2. National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS). Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of Toxicological Test Methods, A Report of the ad hoc Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods. Research Triangle Park, NC. NIH Report 97-3981. March 1997.
3. U.S. EPA. Validation of Screening and Testing Assays Proposed for the EDSP, Draft Version 5.3. October 23, 2006. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/scipoly/sap/meetings/2007/february/edsp-validation-paper.pdf>.
4. U.S. EPA. Science Policy Council Handbook: Peer Review, 3rd Edition. Office of Science Policy, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC. EPA/100/B-06/002. Available at: http://www.nheerl.epa.gov/nheerl_science/peer/about_peer/files/3rd_Edition_PR_Handbook.pdf.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Endocrine disruptors, Pesticides.

Dated: July 2, 2007.

James B. Gulliford,

Assistant Administrator for Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc. E7-13672 Filed 7-12-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Docket Nos. EPA-R04-SFUND-2007-0542; FRL-8439-3]

Georgia-Pacific Hardwood Site, Plymouth, Washington County, NC; Notice of Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of settlement.

SUMMARY: Under Section 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), the United States Environmental Protection Agency has entered into a settlement for reimbursement of past response concerning the Georgia-Pacific Hardwood Site located in Plymouth, Washington County, North Carolina.

DATES: The Agency will consider public comments settlement until August 13, 2007. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the settlement are available from Ms. Paula V. Batchelor. Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R04-SFUND-2007-0542 or Site name Georgia-Pacific Hardwood Superfund Site by one of the following methods:

- <http://www.regulations.gov>: Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- *E-mail:* Batchelor.Paula@epa.gov.
- *Fax:* 404/562-8842/Attn Paula V. Batchelor.
- *Mail:* Ms. Paula V. Batchelor, U.S. EPA Region 4, SD-SEIMB, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303. "In

addition, please mail a copy of your comments on the information collection provisions to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Attn: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th St., NW., Washington, DC 20503."

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R04-SFUND-2007-0452. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm>.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the U.S. EPA Region 4 office located at 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303. Regional office is open from 7 a.m. until 6:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

Written comments may be submitted to Ms. Batchelor within 30 calendar days of the date of this publication.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paula V. Batchelor at 404/562-8887.

Dated: June 22, 2007.

Rosalind H. Brown,

Chief, Superfund Enforcement & Information Management Branch, Superfund Division.

[FR Doc. E7-13628 Filed 7-12-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE BOARD

[No. 2007-N-10]

Federal Home Loan Bank Members Selected for Community Support Review

AGENCY: Federal Housing Finance Board.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Housing Finance Board (Finance Board) is announcing the Federal Home Loan Bank (Bank) members it has selected for the 2006-07 sixth quarter review cycle under the Finance Board's community support requirements regulation. This notice also prescribes the deadline by which Bank members selected for review must submit Community Support Statements to the Finance Board.

DATES: Bank members selected for the review cycle under the Finance Board's community support requirements regulation must submit completed Community Support Statements to the Finance Board on or before August 31, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Bank members selected for the 2006-07 sixth quarter review cycle under the Finance Board's community support requirements regulation must submit completed Community Support Statements to the Finance Board either by regular mail at the Federal Housing Finance Board, Office of Supervision, Community Investment and Affordable Housing, 1625 Eye Street, NW., Washington, DC 20006, or by electronic mail at FITZGERALDE@FHFB.GOV.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Emma J. Fitzgerald, Program Analyst, Office of Supervision, Community Investment and Affordable Housing, by telephone at 202/408-2874, by electronic mail at FITZGERALDE@FHFB.GOV, or by regular mail at the Federal Housing Finance Board, 1625 Eye Street, NW., Washington, DC 20006.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Selection for Community Support Review

Section 10(g)(1) of the Federal Home Loan Bank Act (Bank Act) requires the Finance Board to promulgate regulations establishing standards of community investment or service Bank members must meet in order to maintain access to long-term advances. See 12 U.S.C. 1430(g)(1). The regulations promulgated by the Finance Board must take into account factors such as the Bank member's performance under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA), 12 U.S.C. 2901 *et seq.*, and record of lending to first-time homebuyers. See 12 U.S.C. 1430(g)(2). Pursuant to section 10(g) of the Bank Act, the Finance Board has promulgated a community support requirements regulation that establishes standards a Bank member must meet in order to maintain access to long-term advances, and review criteria the Finance Board must apply in evaluating a member's community support performance. See 12 CFR part 944. The regulation includes standards and criteria for the two statutory factors—CRA performance and record of lending to first-time homebuyers. 12 CFR 944.3. Only members subject to the CRA must meet the CRA standard. 12 CFR 944.3(b). All members, including those not subject to CRA, must meet the first-time homebuyer standard. 12 CFR 944.3(c).

Under the rule, the Finance Board selects approximately one-eighth of the members in each Bank district for community support review each calendar quarter. 12 CFR 944.2(a). The Finance Board will not review an institution's community support performance until it has been a Bank member for at least one year. Selection for review is not, nor should it be construed as, any indication of either the financial condition or the community support performance of the member.

Each Bank member selected for review must complete a Community Support Statement and submit it to the Finance Board by the August 31, 2007 deadline prescribed in this notice. 12 CFR 944.2(b)(1)(ii) and (c). On or before July 27, 2007, each Bank will notify the members in its district that have been selected for the 2006-07 sixth quarter community support review cycle that they must complete and submit to the Finance Board by the deadline a Community Support Statement. 12 CFR 944.2(b)(2)(i). The member's Bank will provide a blank Community Support Statement Form, which also is available on the Finance Board's Web site: <http://www.fhfb.gov>. Upon request, the