United States, the Department is publishing notice of the continuation of this antidumping duty order. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 26, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hilary E. Sadler or Juanita Chen, AD/ CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4340 and (202) 482–1904, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Background

On October 2, 2006, the Department initiated and the ITC instituted a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium in granular form from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).<sup>1</sup>

As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the order to be revoked.<sup>2</sup> On March 1, 2007, the ITC determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium in granular form from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>3</sup>

## Scope of the Order

There is an existing antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from the PRC.<sup>4</sup> The scope of this order excludes pure magnesium that is already covered by the existing order on pure magnesium in ingot form and currently classifiable under item numbers 8104.11.00 and 8104.19.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The scope of this order includes imports of pure magnesium products, regardless of

chemistry, including, without limitation, raspings, granules, turnings, chips, powder, and briquettes, except as noted above. Pure magnesium includes: (1) Products that contain at least 99.95 percent primary magnesium, by weight (generally referred to as "ultra- pure" magnesium); (2) products that contain less than 99.95 percent but not less than 99.8 percent primary magnesium, by weight (generally referred to as "pure" magnesium); (3) chemical combinations of pure magnesium and other material(s) in which the pure magnesium content is 50 percent or greater, but less than 99.8 percent, by weight that do not conform to an "ASTM Specification for Magnesium Alloy"<sup>5</sup> (generally referred to as "off-specification pure" magnesium); and (4) physical mixtures of pure magnesium and other material(s) in which the pure magnesium content is 50 percent or greater, but less than 99.8 percent, by weight. Excluded from this order are mixtures containing 90 percent or less pure magnesium by weight and one or more of certain nonmagnesium granular materials to make magnesium-based reagent mixtures. The non-magnesium granular materials of which the Department is aware used to make such excluded reagents are: lime, calcium metal, calcium silicon, calcium carbide, calcium carbonate, carbon, slag coagulants, fluorspar, nephaline syenite, feldspar, aluminum, alumina (Al2O3), calcium aluminate, soda ash, hydrocarbons, graphite, coke, silicon, rare earth metals/mischmetal, cryolite, silica/fly ash, magnesium oxide, periclase, ferroalloys, dolomitic lime, and colemanite. A party importing a magnesium-based reagent which includes one or more materials not on this list is required to seek a scope clarification from the Department before such a mixture may be imported free of antidumping duties. The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable under item 8104.30.00 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

#### Determination

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of this antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium in granular form from the PRC.

U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. The effective date of the continuation of this order is the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this Notice of Continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of this antidumping order not later than February 2012.

This five-year (sunset) review and this continuation notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 15, 2007.

#### David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration. [FR Doc. E7–5501 Filed 3–23–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

[A-583-831]

### Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Taiwan; Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 26, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jill Pollack, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4593.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On August 30, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Taiwan. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 71 FR 51573 (Aug. 30, 2006). The period of review is July 1, 2005, through June 30, 2006, and the preliminary results are currently due no later than April 2, 2007. The review covers 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset") Reviews, 71 FR 57921, and Pure Magnesium from China, 71 FR 58001 (October 2, 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Pure Magnesium in Granular Form from the People's Republic of China; Notice of Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order, 72 FR 5417 (February 6, 2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Pure Magnesium from China, 72 FR 10258 (March 7, 2007), USITC Publication 3908 (March 2007) (Investigation No. 731-TA-895 (Review)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Pure Magnesium From the People's Republic of China, the Russian Federation and Ukraine; Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Antidumping Duty Investigation of Pure Magnesium From the Russian Federation, 60 FR 25691 (May 12, 1995).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The meaning of this term is the same as that used by the American Society for Testing and Materials in its Annual Book of ASTM Standards: Volume 01.02 Aluminum and Magnesium Alloys.

producers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States.

## Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period. We determine that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act because we require additional time to analyze the respondent's cost of production response and issue supplemental questionnaires. Therefore, we have fully extended the deadline for completing the preliminary results until July 31, 2007, which is 365 days from the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The deadline for the final results of the review continues to be 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This extension notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 19, 2007.

#### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7–5502 Filed 3–23–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration

#### [A-570-601]

## Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished or Unfinished, from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Rescission in Part and Intent to Rescind in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting the nineteenth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished or unfinished ("TRBs"), from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), covering the period June 1, 2005, through May 31, 2006. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on entries of subject merchandise during the period of review ("POR") for which the importer–specific assessment rates are above *de minimis*.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days from the date of publication of this notice.

# **EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 26, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eugene Degnan or Robert Bolling, AD/ CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0414 and (202) 482–3434, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On June 2, 2006, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on TRBs from the PRC for the period June 1, 2005, through May 31, 2006. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation: **Opportunity to Request Administrative** Review, 71 FR 32032 (June 2, 2006). On June 30, 2006, The Timken Company ("Timken" or "Petitioner") requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order covering TRBs from the PRC for entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by Chin Jun Industrial Ltd. ("Chin Jun"), and Peer Bearing Company -Changshan ("CPZ"). Additionally, on June 30, 2006, Hebei Longsheng Metals & Minerals Co., Ltd. ("Hebei Longsheng") and Yantai Timken Company Limited ("Yantai") independently requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of their respective sales. Further, on June 30, 2006, Koyo Corporation of U.S.A. ("Koyo"), a U.S. producer of TRBs, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of Yantai's sales. On July 27, 2006, the Department published in the Federal **Register** a notice of the initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review of TRBs from the PRC for the period June 1, 2005, through May 31, 2006, for Chin Jun, CPZ, Hebei Longsheng, and Yantai. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative

Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 71 FR 42626 (July 27, 2006) ("Initiation Notice").

On August 9, 2006, the Department issued its antidumping duty questionnaire to all of the above respondents.

Ôn August 28, 2006, Chin Jun reported to the Department that it was a dormant company during the POR and had no sales of subject merchandise. On September 6, 2006, Yantai withdrew its request for review, stating that it did not intend to participate further in the review because of the limited value of its exports. Also on September 6, 2006, Hebei Longsheng submitted its Section A response to the Department's original questionnaire. On September 8, 2006, CPZ reported to the Department that it did not intend to submit questionnaire responses because of the limited value of its exports. On September 29, 2006, Hebei Longsheng withdrew its request for review, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

# Notice of Intent to Rescind in Part and Partial Rescission

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Department may rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, with respect to a particular exporter or producer, if the Secretary concludes that, during the period covered by the review, there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise. The Department explains this practice in the preamble to the Department's regulations. See Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties, 62 FR 27296, 27317 (May 19, 1997) ("Preamble"); see also Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Taiwan: Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 67 FR 5789, 5790 (February 7, 2002), and Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Taiwan: Final Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 66 FR 18610 (April 10, 2001). Because Chin Jun reported to the Department that it was a dormant company during the POR and it had no sales of subject merchandise, and we have received no evidence that Chin Jun had any shipments to the United States of subject merchandise during the POR, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Department preliminarily rescinds this review as to Chin Jun.

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) further provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of