DEIS at a Workshop that will precede the Public Hearing. The Workshop will be conducted from 5 p.m. to 6:45 p.m. and the formal Public Hearing will comment at 7 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Denise Sloan, Regulatory Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Galveston District, P.O. Box 1229, Galveston, TX 77553–1229, (409) 766– 3962.

# Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 07–3536 Filed 7–19–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–52–M

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

# Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

# Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report (DEIS/ EIR) for the San Jacinto River, Riverside County, CA

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The purpose of the study is to evaluate approximately a 2-mile reach of the San Jacinto River located in Riverside County in the City of San Jacinto, CA. The focus will be on watershed improvements by developing alternatives for ecosystem restoration and incorporating conjunctive uses for groundwater recharge, water quality and water conservation from a mile up from Main Street to a mile past the end of San Jacinto Street. The restoration project will focus on revitalization of the riparian vegetation community; establish environmental corridor to benefit wildlife and sensitive species; increasing recharge of the San Jacinto groundwater basins; and restoring the habitat for the endangered San Bernardino Kangaroo Rat. The San Jacinto River is located about 20 miles southeast of the City of Riverside and is entirely within Riverside County, CA. **DATES:** Provide comments by August 22, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to Mrs. Priscilla E. Perry at U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, CESPL-PD–RL, P.O. Box 532711, Los Angeles, CA 90053–2325.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. Priscilla E. Perry, Chief, Regional Planning, Environmental Engineers, at 213–452–3867, 213–713–2677; Fax 213– 452–4204 or e-mail at *Priscilla.e.Perry@usace.army.mil.* 

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. *Authorization.* The proposed study is authorized by the Flood Control Act 1936; WRDA 1986, Public Law 99–662: House Resolution dated October 9, 1998, Section 416 of WRDA 2000, which reads as follows:

"San Jacinto River, California.—The Committee has provided \$100,000 for the Corps of Engineers to initiate a reconnaissance study to examine flood control, environmental enhancement and related purposes along the San Jacinto River, California, between the City of San Jacinto and the City of Lake Elsinore". Section 416 of WRDA 2000: Section 416. San Jacinto Watershed, California.

(a) In General.—The Secretary shall conduct a watershed study for the San Jacinto watershed, California.

(b) Authorization of Appropriations.— There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$250,000''.

2. Background. The construction of the San Jacinto levee project in 1961 proved to be effective in preventing flood damages during the 1969 floods on the San Jacinto River. The February 1980 floods were not any greater than the 1969 floods, but caused the San Jacinto River levee to fail resulting in massive flooding in the City of San Jacinto. The levee was repaired by adding toe stone, groins, and extending the Bautista Creek concrete channel by another 1.3 miles to the confluence with San Jacinto Creek around the late 1984 to early 1985 timeframe. The 2-mile reach of the San Jacinto River which is located a mile up from Main Street to a mile past the end of San Jacinto Street, poses damage to aquatic ecosytems from past flooding and types of anthropogenic activities. Ecosystems processes that help maintain groundwater supplies must be protected and restored where degraded. Increasing groundwater recharge is a way to support the ecosystem and improve the habitat for the endangered San Bernardino Kangaroo Rat. Alternatives to be considered are those that will reduce adverse water quality impacts from runoff; reduce further degradation of the river and the area ecosystem and improve the quality of both ground and surface waters.

3. Scoping Process. a. A scoping meeting is scheduled for August 22, 6 p.m.–8 p.m. at Simpson Center—305 E. Devonshire Ave., Hemet, CA 92543.

For specific dates, times and locations please contact Peter Odencrans, Eastern Municipal Water District, at 951–928– 3777 or e-mail at: *odencransp@emwd.org.* Potential impacts associated with the proposed action will be evaluated. Resource categories that will be analyzed are: physical environment, geology, biological resources, air quality, water quality, recreational usage, aesthetics, cultural resources, transportation, noise, hazardous waste, socioeconomics and safety.

b. Participation of affected Federal, State and local resource agencies, Native American groups and concerned interest groups/individuals is encouraged in the scoping process. Public participation will be especially important in defining the scope of analysis in the Draft EIS/ EIR, identifying significant environmental issues and impact analysis of the Draft EIS/EIR and providing useful information such as published and unpublished data, personal knowledge of relevant issues and recommending mitigation measures associated with the proposed action.

c. Those interested in providing information or data relevant to the environmental or social impacts that should be included or considered in the environmental analysis can furnish this information by writing to the points of contact indicated above or by attending the public scoping meeting. A mailing list will also be established so pertinent data may be distributed to interested parties.

Dated: July 9, 2007.

# Alex C. Dornstauder,

Colonel, U.S. Army, District Engineer. [FR Doc. 07–3539 Filed 7–19–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–KF–M

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

Notice of Availability and Notice of Public Meeting of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Development of the Westside of Marine Corps Base Quantico, Including the 2005 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Action at Marine Corps Base Quantico, Virginia

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice of Availability (NOA) and public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)), as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), the Department of the Navy (DON), and Marine Corps Base Quantico (MCBQ) announces the availability of the DEIS, which evaluates the environmental effects of development of the Westside

of MCBQ to accommodate approximately 3,000 personnel directed to MCBQ by the 2005 BRAC law, and potential development within the Westside to accommodate up to 2,000 additional personnel, or 5,000 personnel total including BRAC, to support other Marine Corps requirements.

**DATES:** The public comment period for the DEIS will end 45 days after publication of an NOA in the **Federal Register** by the Environmental Protection Agency. All comments must be received by 4 September 2007.

ADDRESSES: Please send written comments on the DEIS to: Jeff Gardner, NREA Branch (B046), 3250 Catlin Avenue, Marine Corps Base, Quantico, VA 22134–0855. E-mail comments should be sent to *jeff.gardner@usmc.mil*. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jeff Gardner 703–432–6784 during normal business hours Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Marine Corp Base Quantico, Virginia proposes development of the Westside of MCBQ, including the 2005 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) action at MCBQ. The development would entail construction of new facilities in two undeveloped areas west of Interstate 95. These areas, the Russell Road Area and the MCB-1 Area, would co-locate Military Department Investigative Agency Headquarters with the Counterintelligence Field Activity and Defense Security Service at MCBQ as directed by the 2005 BRAC law. The areas would also provide adequate space for facilities to support Marine Corps units currently at MCBQ, as well as potentially other federal and Marine Corps initiatives that may identify MCBQ as a site for relocation.

This DEIS identifies and evaluates the potential environmental impacts of (1) Alternative A (BRAC Action), the relocation of approximately 3,000 personnel directed to MCBQ by the BRAC Law; (2) Alternative B, the relocation of an additional 2,000 personnel for a total of 5,000 personnel, including those under Alternative A; and (3) the No Action Alternative. Under both Alternatives A and B, two sites for the BRAC action, one located in the southern portion of the Russell Road Area, and the other in the northern portion of the MCB-1 Area, are analyzed as the BRAC site options (BRAC Option 1 (Russell Road) and BRAC Option 2 (MCB-1)).

The Notice of Intent (NOI) published for this EIS in the **Federal Register** on 3 August 2006 identified five alternatives, including the no action alternative, for analysis in this EIS. In addition to Alternatives A and B, the NOI indicated that a high intensity development alternative would be assessed that would add up to 10,000 personnel, including 3,000 under BRAC, and a medium intensity development alternative would be assessed that would add up to 7,000 personnel, including 3,000 under BRAC. Following a preliminary assessment of the proposed sites west of I–95 and further examination of known requirements, only Alternatives A and B were carried forward for analysis.

At this time, a preferred alternative has not been selected. The DEIS analysis indicate that the increased level of development and personnel under Alternative B compared to Alternative A would be expected to cause a commensurate increase in the level of impacts.

The DEIS finds that traffic impacts at base access points are similar for both alternatives. Major MCBQ access points currently perform unacceptably and regional growth will further degrade intersections unless improvements are made. Alternatives have unacceptable levels of service at U.S. Route 1 and I– 95 intersections, and at proposed development sites. The DEIS identifies traffic mitigation measures.

The DEIS also finds that the amount of development from the proposed alternatives is small compared to the amount of undeveloped land that would remain, largely forested, minimizing impacts to natural resources. There would be no effect to threatened and endangered species; however, alternatives could impact a small amount of wetlands. The DEIS finds that potential regional growth from either alternative is not large compared to projected growth already expected for the surrounding region and the resulting socioeconomic impacts from either alternative are less than significant. Potential impacts, such as noise, caused by proximity to military training activities that would remain in the Westside, can be acceptably minimized in the facility design process, and development is a compatible land use at the proposed sites. The sites avoid impacting cultural resources and air emissions are not expected to exceed the thresholds established for general conformity to state implementation plans or to require a new source review.

The DEIS has been distributed to various federal, state, and local agencies, elected officials, special interest groups, and interested parties. The DEIS is also available for public review at the following local libraries: Central Rappahannock Regional Library, Dumfries Neighborhood Library, and the

Prince William Public Library System. The DEIS is also available at the following Web site: http:// www.quantico.usmc.mil/activities/ display.aspx?PID=1814&Section=NREA. The Marine Corps invites the general public, local governments, other federal agencies, and state agencies to submit written comments or suggestions concerning the alternatives and analysis addressed in the DEIS. The public and government agencies are invited to participate in the public meetings where oral and written comments will be received. Two public meetings will be held. The first public meeting will be held on 6 August 2007 from 7:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. at the Stafford County Government Center Board Room, 1300 Courthouse Road, Stafford, VA. The second public meeting will be held on 13 August 2007 from 6:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. at the Doctor A. J. Ferlazzo Building, 15941 Donald Curtis Drive, Prince William, VA. All comments must be received by 4 September 2007.

Dated: July 16, 2007.

#### L.R. Almand,

Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy, Administrative Law Division, Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. E7–14126 Filed 7–19–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# **Department of Navy**

### Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice to delete a System of Records.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy is deleting a system of records in its existing inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended.

**DATES:** This proposed action will be effective without further notice on August 20, 2007 unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Department of the Navy, PA/FOIA Policy Branch, Chief of Naval Operations (DNS–36), 2000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, DC 20350–2000. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs.

Doris Lama at (202) 685–6545.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department the Navy systems of records notices subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, have been published in the **Federal Register**