"And they are", is corrected to read, "And there are".

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms.

Marya Dennis, Management and Program Analyst, U.S. Department of Education, Union Center Plaza, 830 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20202. Telephone: (202) 377–3385. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed in the preceding paragraph.

Electronic Access to This Document: You may view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: http://www.ed.gov/news/fedregister.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1–888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara/index.html.

Dated: July 17, 2007.

#### Lawrence A. Warder,

Acting Chief Operating Officer, Federal Student Aid.

[FR Doc. E7-14108 Filed 7-19-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

### Office of Science, Department of Energy; Notice of Renewal of the High Energy Physics Advisory Panel

Pursuant to section 14(a)(2)(A) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, App. 2, and § 102–3.65, title 41, Code of Federal Regulations and following consultation with the Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration, notice is hereby given that the High Energy Physics Advisory Panel has been renewed for a two year period, beginning July 14, 2007.

The Panel will provide advice to the Associate Director, for High Energy Physics, Office of Science (DOE), and the Assistant Director, Mathematical &

Physical Sciences Directorate (NSF), on long-range planning and priorities in the national high-energy physics program. The Secretary of Energy had determined that renewal of the Panel is essential to conduct business of the Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation and is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed by law upon the Department of Energy. The Panel will continue to operate in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), the General Services Administration Final Rule on Federal Advisory Committee Management, and other directives and instructions issued in implementation of those acts.

For Further Information Contact: Ms. Rachel Samuel at (202) 586–3279.

Issued in Washington, DC on July 14, 2007. **James N. Solit,** 

Advisory Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. E7–14056 Filed 7–19–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OA-2007-0607; FRL-8441-4]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Performance Measurement Reporting for Training and Education/Outreach; EPA ICR No. 2255.01

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit a request for a new Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before September 18, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2007-0607, by one of the following methods:

- http://www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
  - E-mail: oei.docket@epa.gov.
  - Fax: 202-566-0224.
- *Mail:* Office of Environmental Information (OEI) Docket,

Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code: 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

• Hand Delivery: Office of
Environmental Information (OEI) Docket
in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC),
EPA West, Room B102, 1301
Constitution Ave., NW., Washington,
DC. Such deliveries are only accepted
during the Docket's normal hours of
operation, and special arrangements
should be made for deliveries of boxed
information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2007-0607. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The http:// www.regulations.gov website is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM vou submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http:// www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Peggy Anthony, National Policy, Training and Compliance Division, Office of Grants and Debarment, Mail Code: 3903R, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 202–564–5364; fax number: 202–565–2468; e-mail address: anthony.peggy@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### How Can I Access the Docket and/or Submit Comments?

EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2007-0607, which is available for online viewing at http:// www.regulations.gov, or in person viewing at the Office of Environmental Information Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA/DC Public Reading Room is open from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is 202-566-1744, and the telephone number for the Office of Environmental Information Docket is 202-566-1752.

Use http://www.regulations.gov to obtain a copy of the draft collection of information, submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the docket ID number identified in this document

### What Information Is EPA Particularly Interested in?

Pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, EPA specifically solicits comments and information to enable it to:

- (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. In particular, EPA is requesting comments from very small businesses (those that employ less than 25) on examples of specific additional efforts that EPA could make to reduce the paperwork burden for very small businesses affected by this collection.

### What Should I Consider When I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

- 1. Explain your views as clearly as possible and provide specific examples.
- 2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
- 3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
- 4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
- 5. Offer alternative ways to improve the collection activity.
- 6. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline identified under **DATES**.
- 7. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

## What Information Collection Activity or ICR Does This Apply to?

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action include recipients of EPA discretionary/project assistance agreements (i.e., grants and cooperative agreements) that perform training and/or education and outreach.

Title: Performance Measurement Reporting for Training and Education/ Outreach.

EPA ICR No.: 2255.01.

ICR status: This ICR is for a new information collection activity. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the Federal Register when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, are displayed either by publication in the Federal Register or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

Abstract: EPA is developing some generic reporting tools that will supplement the existing performance reports submitted by grant recipients under 40 CFR Parts 30 and 31. The new reporting tools will be designed to collect performance measurement information on two types of activities performed by grantees: Training and education/outreach. Specifically, EPA is creating a "master list" of generic

questions and reporting templates, and will make them available to the EPA programs and grant recipients (e.g., on the EPA web site). The questions will be designed to measure the extent to which the grantees are achieving the short-term and intermediate outcomes stated in their work plans for training and/or education/outreach (e.g., the questions will measure changes in trainees' awareness, understanding, and potential or actual changes in behavior). The questions and templates will be flexible enough for use by any of the EPA programs. A grant recipient could review the master list, select the questions appropriate for its needs, and assemble them onto a reporting template. After receiving approval to use the collection instrument, the grant recipient could provide the instrument to its training participants or other audience members to complete. The grantee could then collect, summarize, and report the data to EPA. These reporting tools will be intended specifically for use under the Agency's discretionary/project grants. Use of the forms will not be required, but used at the discretion of the responsible program.

EPA intends to create a generic ICR that would address its reporting tools. Upon OMB approval of the generic ICR, EPA program offices and their grant recipients would be able to modify the forms narrowly for their specific needs and re-submit the revised instruments and supporting material for expedited OMB approval encompassing a 20-day turn-around timeframe.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to range from five minutes to 1.5 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of the Agency's estimate, which is only briefly summarized here:

Estimated average annual number of grants affected: between 240 and 480.

Estimated annual frequency of response: Quarterly or less often.

Estimated annual average number of responses for each grant: 4.

Estimated total annual respondent burden hours: 12,820 hours.

Estimated total annual respondent costs: \$359,149. This includes an estimated labor cost of \$359,149 and \$0 for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs.

### What Is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Dated: July 13, 2007.

### Kathleen Herrin,

Acting Director of the Office of Grants and Debarment.

[FR Doc. E7–14064 Filed 7–19–07; 8:45 am]

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6689-2]

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17156).

#### Draft EISs

EIS No. 20070042, ERP No. D–BLM– K09809–CA, Truckhaven Geothermal Leasing Area, Addresses Leasing of Geothermal Resources, El Centro Field Office, Imperial County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts on air quality, water resources, habitat, and recreational use in the OWSVRA. Additionally, EPA is concerned that the geothermal resources within the Truckhaven GLA may be underestimated, and that cumulative impacts associated with multiple geothermal projects on private and public land have not been analyzed. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070061, ERP No. D-BLM-K09810-CA, Mountain View IV Wind Energy Project, Construction and Operation, Wind Turbine Generators on Public Lands in Section 22 and 28 and Private Land Section 27, Right-of-Way Grant and Conditional Use Permit in the City of Palm Springs, CA.

Summary: EPA does not object to the project as proposed, but suggests incorporating additional mitigation measures to minimize air quality impacts during construction. Rating LO. EIS No. 20070065, ERP No. D-BLM-K65326-CA. Eastern San Diego

County Resource Management Plan, Implementation, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA is supportive of an alternative that balances ecological and economic needs; however, the final EIS should include additional information on air and water quality impacts, existing ecological conditions, and future monitoring plans. Rating EC2. EIS No. 20070068, ERP No. D-BLM-

K65327–AZ, Ironwood Forest National Monument, Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Tucson Field Office, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about environmental impacts from off-highway vehicles (OHV), livestock grazing, and mining, and recommends restricting OHV use and implementing a biological monitoring and adaptive management plan. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070083, ERP No. D-SFW-

K39015–CA, PROGRAMMATIC— South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project, Restored Tidal Marsh, Managed Ponds, Flood Control Measures and Public Access Features, Don Edward San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge, Alameda, Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the programmatic approach taken by USFWS for the related shoreline study which exceeds the geographic scope of the salt ponds restoration project. EPA also expressed concerns about construction and operational emissions. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070096, ERP No. D-USA-D15000-MD, Garrison Aberdeen Proving Ground, Base Realignment and Closure Actions, Realignment of Assets and Staff, Implementation, Harford and Baltimore Counties, MD.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about potential impacts to natural resources. EPA stated that this project also presents an excellent opportunity to implement the President's Executive Order 13423, Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy and transportation management by incorporating energy efficiency into the retrofit or construction efforts for this project. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070049, ERP No. DA-FTA-K40208-CA, South Sacramento Corridor Phase 2, Improve Transit Service and Enhance Regional Connectivity, Funding, in the City and County Sacramento, CA.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20070075, ERP No. DS-APH-A99222-00, Importation of Solid Wood Packing Material, To Reevaluate and Refine Estimates of Methyl Bromide Usage in the Treatment, Implementation, United States.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20070112, ERP No. DS-SFW-K99034-CA, Coachella Valley, Revision to the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP), Natural Community Conservation Plan, Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains Trails Plan, Issuance of Incidental Take Permit, Riverside County, CA.

Summary: No formal letter was sent to the preparing agency. Rating NC.

### Final EISs

EIS No. 20070059, ERP No. F–NPS– K39094–NV, Clean Water Coalition Systems Conveyance and Operations Program, (SCOP) Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Boulder Islands North is the Selected Alternative, City of Las Vegas, NV.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20070125, ERP No. F–NPS– K65082–AZ, Walnut Canyon National Monument, General Management Plan, Implementation, Flagstaff Area, Coconina County, AZ.