## Teacher Quality Enhancement Program: Grantee Level Analysis (2005-06 Data) for Partnership and State Grants

						iip and State	<u> </u>					
	Start Date of Grant	Grantee	FY 05-06 Award	Total Highly Qualified Teachers	Total Program Completers	Percentage of Completers who are Highly Qualified	Efficiency: Cost per Highly Qualified Teacher	Region	Urbanicity	Enrollment (Fall 2006)	Enrollment Size	% Students Receiving Federal Grant Aid (04-05)
							Qualifica Toublici					
	10/1/2004	American University Arizona State University West Colleges	\$1,410,899 \$2,167,165	98	98		\$22,114	MidEast Southwest	Large City  Large City	9,797 8,211		15 31
		Associated Colleges of Illinois	\$1,470,000	522	522	100%	\$2,816	GreatLakes				
	10/1/2004	Cal Poly Pomona	\$1,425,730	0	0			FarWest	MidsizeCity	20,708	VL	30
	10/1/2004	California State University- Dominguez Hills	\$1,094,956	0	0			FarWest	Small City	13,494		62
	10/1/2004	Columbus Public Schools	\$1,994,000	0	0			GreatLakes				
	10/1/2004	CUNY/College of Staten Island	\$1,000,000	0	0			MidEast	Large City	12,198	L	43
		George Washington University	\$999,867	12	12			MidEast	Large City	24,423		10
		Georgia State University	\$1,304,854	811	811	100%	\$1,609	SouthEast	Large City	26,134		35
	10/1/2004	Governor's State University	\$115,056	25	25	100%	\$4,602	GreatLakes	Rural:Fringe	5,902	M	NA
	10/1/2003	Indiana State University	\$768,712	0	0			GreatLakes	Small City	10,568		29
S		Kansas State University	\$1,879,194	331	331	100%	\$5,677		Town:Remote	23,141		26
<b>+</b>			\$1,204,137	695	695					13,050		32
ਕ		Kean University	\$1,204,137	090	090	100%	\$1,733	MidEast	Small City	13,050	L	32
Grants	10/1/2004	Metropolitan State College of Denver	\$1,974,200	18	18	100%	\$109,678	RockyMts	Large City	21,151	VL	29
hip	10/1/2004	Niagara Falls City School District	\$652,616	25	26			MidEast				
Partnership	10/1/2003	Northern Illinois University	\$1,000,000	97	97	100%	\$10,309	GreatLakes	SmallSuburb	25,313	VL	26
	10/1/2003	St. Cloud State University	\$998,516	493	539	91%	\$2,025	Plains	Small City	15,327	L	23
	10/1/2004	University of Alabama at Birmingham	\$755,654	0	0			SouthEast	MidsizeCity	16,561	L	32
4		University of Alaska - Anchorage	\$1,952,691	54	54	100%	\$36,161	FarWest	Large City	16,163	L	18
		University of California - Riverside	\$2,295,297	135	135			FarWest	Large City	17,075		37
	10/1/2004	University of Delaware	\$437,954	8	10	80%	\$54,744	MidEast	LargeSuburb	20,380	VL	11
		University of Illinois at Chicago	\$1,223,986	46	46			GreatLakes	Large City	24,594	VL	32
	10/1/2003	University of Maryland	\$1,202,887	675	675	100%		MidEast	LargeSuburb	NA		NA
	10/1/2004	University of Missouri	\$1,052,594	26	49	53%	\$40,484	SouthEast	LargeSuburb	15,528	L	22
		University of Portland	\$396,458	1,011	1,011	100%		FarWest	Large City	3,478		16 30
		University of Rhode Island	\$1,494,886	777	850	91%	*	NewEngland	LargeSuburb	14,596		30
		University of South Carolina	\$1,079,180	264	307	86%		SouthEast	MidsizeCity	24,940		19
				204	307	100%						28
	10/1/2004	University of Toledo	\$1,282,713	/	/	100%	\$183,245	GreatLakes	Large City	19,374	L	28
	10/1/2004	Virginia Commonwealth University	\$1,257,395	215	215	100%	\$5,848	SouthEast	MidsizeCity	30,438	VL	27
	10/1/2004	Western State College of Colorado	\$914,631	61	61	100%	\$14,994	RockyMts	Town:Remote	2,185	S	21

				Total program completers passing all tests	Total program completers tested	Passing rate (%)
	10/1/2003	State of Arizona's Governor Office	\$3,000,000.00	0	0	NA
40	10/1/2005	Guam Public School System	\$ 3,057,063.00	22	23	96%
Grants	10/1/2005	Iowa Department of Education	\$ 2,088,270.00	NA	NA	NA
_	10/1/2003	Republic of the Marshall Islands	\$1,605,730	42	42	100%
State		Minnesota Department of Children, Families and Learning	\$2,841,591	3396	3714	91%
	10/1/2003	New Hampshire Department of Education	\$1,773,881	1171	1171	100%
	10/1/2003	Republic of Palau	\$154,000	NA	NA	NA

## Teacher Quality Enhancement Program (TQE) Academic Year 2005-06 (FY 2006)

Summary of Grantee-Level Analysis

Summary of Grantee-Level Analysis									
	Number of Grants	Number of Program Completers	Number of Highly Qualified Teachers	Percentage of Program Completers who are Highly Qualified Teachers	Average Federal Cost per Highly Qualified Teacher	Average Federal Cost per Program Completer	Average Funding		
nstitutions Reporting Highly Qualified Teachers									
	23	6,594	6,406	97%	\$4,427	\$4,300	\$1,232,882		
Range:		7 to 1011	7 to 1011	53% to 100%	\$392 to \$183,245	\$392 to \$183,245	\$115,056 to \$2,295,097		
E) ( 0000 E			0 110 1 =						
FY 2006 Funding (Only Ins		<u> </u>		,	<b>***</b>	<b></b>	<b>*</b> + • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
High (\$1,494,886 or more)	6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1,413		\$8,325	\$7,916	\$1,960,572		
Medium	11		3,370		\$3,881	\$3,806	\$1,188,874		
Low (\$998,516 or less)	6	1,672	1,623	97%	\$2,166	\$2,102	\$585,872		
	Number of Highly Qualified Teachers								
High (522 or more)	6	,	4,491	98%	\$1,575	\$1,550	\$1,178,870		
Medium	11		1,820		\$8,693	\$8,189	\$1,438,241		
Low (25 or fewer)	6	98	95	97%	\$57,499	\$55,739	\$910,401		
Award Year (Only Institutions Reporting Highly Qualified Teachers):									
2003	4	,	1,311	97%	\$3,376	\$3,261	\$1,106,347		
2004	19	5,237	5,095	97%	\$4,697	\$4,570	\$1,259,520		
Region:									
Northeast	1		777	91%	\$1,923.22	\$1,758.69	\$1,494,886		
Mid-Atlantic	5		1415		\$3,178.43	\$3,171.69	\$899,422		
Southeast	4		1316		\$3,566.89	\$3,396.54	\$1,173,506		
Great Lakes	5		697	100%	\$7,305.24	\$7,305.24	\$1,018,351		
Plains	2		824		\$3,492.37	\$3,307.71	\$1,438,855		
Rocky Mountains	2		79		\$36,567.48	\$36,567.48	\$1,444,416		
Southwest	1		98		\$22,113.93	\$22,113.93	\$2,167,165		
Far West	3	1200	1200	100%	\$3,870.37	\$3,870.37	\$1,548,149		

	Number of	3	Number of Highly Qualified	Percentage of Program Completers who are Highly Qualified Teachers		Average Federal Cost per Program	Average		
	Grants	Completers	Teachers	reachers	Qualified Teacher	Completer	Funding		
Urbanicity:									
Large City:	9	2192	2192	100%	\$7,302.98	\$7,302.98	\$1,778,681		
Large Suburb:	4	1584	1486	94%	·	\$2,644.14	\$1,047,080		
Midsize City:	2	522	479	92%	\$4,878.03	\$4,476.20	\$1,168,288		
Small City:	2	1234	1188	96%	\$1,854.09	\$1,784.97	\$1,101,326		
Small Suburb:	1	97	97	100%	\$10,309.28	\$10,309.28	\$1,000,000		
Remote Town:	2	392	392	100%	\$7,127.10	\$7,127.10	\$1,396,912		
Rural Fringe:	1	25	25	100%	\$4,602.24	\$4,602.24	\$115,056		
Enrollment Size:									
Very Large (>20,001)	9	1847	1802	98%		\$6,040.41	\$1,239,626		
Large (10,001-20,000)	7	2329	2187	94%	\$4,700.88	\$4,414.27	\$1,468,691		
Medium (5,001-10,000)	2	123	123	100%	\$18,554.64	\$18,554.64	\$1,141,110		
Small (1,001 - 5,000)	2	1072	1072	100%	\$1,223.03	\$1,223.03	\$655,544		
Percent Receiving Federal Aid:									
Lowest 25% (10-19%)	5	1394	1349	97%		\$3,490.78	\$973,230		
Middle 50% (21-31%)	10		2123	94%		\$6,190.42	\$1,402,129		
Highest 25% (32-62%)	4	1687	1687	100%	\$3,573.37	\$3,573.37	\$1,507,068		