

will advise the Commissioners in the matters discussed will also be present.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-20951 Filed 10-24-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R09-OAR-2007-0887; FRL-8487-4

### Adequacy Status of Motor Vehicle Budgets in Submitted Eight-Hour Ozone Attainment Plan for the Phoenix-Mesa Nonattainment Area for Transportation Conformity Purposes; Arizona

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that the Agency has found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted *Eight-Hour Ozone Plan for the Maricopa Nonattainment Area (June 2007)* ("2007 MAG Eight-Hour Ozone Plan") are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. The 2007 MAG Eight-Hour Ozone Plan was submitted to EPA on June 15, 2007 by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality as a revision to the Arizona state implementation plan. As a result of our finding, the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) and the Federal Highway Administration must use the motor vehicle emissions budgets from the submitted eight-hour ozone attainment plan for future conformity determinations.

**DATES:** This finding is effective November 9, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wienke Tax, U.S. EPA, Region IX, Air Division AIR-2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901; (520) 622-1622 or [tax.wienke@epa.gov](mailto:tax.wienke@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region IX sent a letter, dated October 4, 2007, to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality on October 10, 2007 stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets for volatile organic compounds (VOC) and oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) in the submitted 2007 MAG Eight-Hour Ozone Plan for 2008 are adequate. The budgets correspond to the Phoenix-Mesa 8-hour

ozone nonattainment area, which encompasses roughly half of Maricopa County, including the cities of Phoenix and Mesa, and also the Apache Junction area of Pinal County, in central Arizona. The finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>. The adequate motor vehicle emissions budgets (calculated for a Thursday in 2008) are provided in the following table:

#### ADEQUATE MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS [In metric tons per day]

Budget year	VOC motor vehicle emissions budget	NO <sub>x</sub> motor vehicle emissions budget
2008 .....	67.9	138.2

Transportation conformity is required by Clean Air Act section 176(c). EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). The process for determining the adequacy of such budgets is set forth at 40 CFR 93.118(f). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: October 16, 2007.

**Nancy Lindsay,**

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. E7-21073 Filed 10-24-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8487-3]

### Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Availability of 34 Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL) in Louisiana

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the availability for comment of the administrative record files for 34 TMDLs and the calculations for these TMDLs prepared by EPA Region 6 for waters listed in the Red, Sabine, and the Terrebonne Basins of Louisiana, under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA). These TMDLs were completed in response to a court order in the lawsuit styled *Sierra Club, et al. v. Clifford, et al.*, No. 96-0527, (E.D. La.).

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted in writing to EPA on or before November 26, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on the 34 TMDLs should be sent to Diane Smith, Environmental Protection Specialist, Water Quality Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 6, 1445 Ross Ave., Dallas, TX 75202-2733 or e-mail: [smith.diane@epa.gov](mailto:smith.diane@epa.gov). For further information, contact Diane Smith at (214) 665-2145 or fax 214.665.7373. The administrative record files for the 34 TMDLs are available for public inspection at this address as well. Documents from the administrative record files may be viewed at <http://www.epa.gov/earth1r6/6wg/npdes/tmdl/index.htm>, or obtained by calling or writing Ms. Smith at the above address. Please contact Ms. Smith to schedule an inspection.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Diane Smith at (214) 665-2145.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In 1996, two Louisiana environmental groups, the Sierra Club and Louisiana Environmental Action Network (plaintiffs), filed a lawsuit in Federal Court against the EPA, styled *Sierra Club, et al. v. Clifford, et al.*, No. 96-0527, (E.D. La.). Among other claims, plaintiffs alleged that EPA failed to establish Louisiana TMDLs in a timely manner. EPA proposes 26 of these TMDLs pursuant to a consent decree entered in this lawsuit.

### EPA Seeks Comment on 34 TMDLs

By this notice EPA is seeking comment on the following 34 TMDLs for waters located within Louisiana basins:

Subsegment	Waterbody name	Pollutant
100406 .....	Flat River—Headwaters to Loggy Bayou .....	Nutrients and Dissolved Oxygen.
100501 .....	Bayou Dorcheat—Arkansas State Line to Lake Bistineau (scenic).	Dissolved Oxygen and Mercury.
100601 .....	Bayou Pierre—Headwaters to Sawing Lake .....	Nutrients and Dissolved Oxygen.
100602 .....	Boggy Bayou—Headwaters to Wallace Lake .....	Nutrients and Dissolved Oxygen.
100702 .....	Black Lake Bayou—Webster-Bienville Parish Line .....	Dissolved Oxygen.
100703 .....	Black Lake and Clear Lake .....	Dissolved Oxygen.
100803 .....	Saline Bayou—From Saline Lake to Red River .....	Dissolved Oxygen.
101301 .....	Rigolette Bayou—Headwaters to Red River .....	Dissolved Oxygen.
101302 .....	Iatt Lake .....	Dissolved Oxygen.
101604 .....	Lake Concordia .....	Dissolved Oxygen.
110401 .....	Bayou Toro—Headwaters to LA Hwy. 473 .....	Dissolved Oxygen.
120102 .....	Bayou Poydras .....	Nutrients and Dissolved Oxygen.
120103 .....	Bayou Choctaw .....	Nutrients, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Dissolved Oxygen.
120105 .....	Chamberlin Canal .....	Nutrients, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Dissolved Oxygen.
120106 .....	Bayou Plaquemine—Plaquemine Lock to Intracoastal Waterway.	Nutrients and Dissolved Oxygen.
120107 .....	Upper Grand River and Lower Flat River—Headwaters to Intracoastal Waterway.	Dissolved Oxygen.
120109 .....	Intracoastal Waterway—Morgan City to Port Allen Route—Port Allen Locks to Bayou Sorrel Locks.	Nutrients and Dissolved Oxygen.
120110 .....	Bayou Cholpe—Headwaters to Bayou Choctaw .....	Dissolved Oxygen.
120206 .....	Grand Bayou and Little Grand Bayou—Headwaters to Lake Varret.	TSS.
120205 .....	Lake Palourde .....	pH.
120402 .....	Bayou Chene—From Intracoastal Waterway to Bayou Penchant Navigation Canal to Terrebonne Bay.	pH.

EPA requests that the public provide to EPA any water quality related data and information that may be relevant to the calculations for the 34 TMDLs. EPA will review all data and information submitted during the public comment period and revise the TMDLs where appropriate. EPA will then forward the TMDLs to the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ). The LDEQ will incorporate the TMDLs into its current water quality management plan.

Dated: October 17, 2007.

**William K. Honker,**

*Deputy Director, Water Quality Protection Division, EPA Region 6.*

[FR Doc. E7-21063 Filed 10-24-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority, Comment Requested

October 16, 2007.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on a proposed collection of information, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of

1995 (PRA), Public Law No. 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. Subject to the PRA, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written PRA comments should be submitted on or before December 24, 2007. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit all PRA comments by e-mail or U.S. post mail. To submit your comments by e-mail, send them to [PRA@fcc.gov](mailto:PRA@fcc.gov). To submit your comments by U.S. mail, mark them to the attention of Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission,

Room 1-C823, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the information collection, contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418-2918 or send an e-mail to [PRA@fcc.gov](mailto:PRA@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*OMB Control Number:* 3060-0095.

*Title:* Multi-Channel Video Programming Distributors Annual Employment Report.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Form Number:* FCC Form 395-A.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit entities.

*Number of Respondents:* 2,500.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 53 minutes.

*Frequency of Response:*

Recordkeeping requirement; Annual reporting requirement.

*Total Annual Burden:* 2,200 hours.

*Total Annual Cost:* None.

*Nature of Response:* Required to obtain or retain benefits.

*Confidentiality:* No need for confidentiality required.

*Privacy Impact Assessment:* No impact.

*Needs and Uses:* FCC Form 395-A,

“The Multi-Channel Video Programming Distributor Annual Employment Report,” is a data collection device used to assess industry employment trends and provide reports to Congress. The report identifies employees by gender and race/ethnicity in fifteen job categories. FCC Form 395-