

external auditor generally disclaims an opinion when significant limitations prevent him from performing all the tests necessary to complete the audit and render an opinion. Auditing Standard No. 5 also requires the external auditor to modify his or her opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting if the auditor determines that management's assessment is not fairly stated.

## II. Funding Corporation External Auditor's Attestation of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The FCA is amending § 630.5(d)(2) to conform with applicable industry standards for auditor attestations on internal control over financial reporting. The requirement in § 630.5(d)(2) for the external auditor of the Funding Corporation to review, attest, and report on management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting is no longer consistent with industry standards. Industry standards now require attestation reports to opine on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. The amendment in this rulemaking will conform with these new standards.

The provision of § 630.5(d)(2), as amended by this direct final rulemaking, requires the external auditor of the Funding Corporation to include in the attestation report, an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. The revised requirement reduces confusion, clarifies reporting, and more effectively communicates the external auditor's responsibility in relation to management's process and necessarily conveys whether management's assessment is fairly stated.

## III. Direct Final Rule

We are amending § 630.5(d)(2) by a direct final rulemaking. The Administrative Conference of the United States recommends direct final rulemaking for Federal agencies to enact noncontroversial regulations on an expedited basis, without the usual notice and comment period.<sup>5</sup> This process enables us to reduce the time and resources we need to develop, review, and publish a final rule while still affording the public an adequate opportunity to comment or object to the rule.

In a direct final rulemaking, we notify the public that the rule will become final on a specified future date unless we receive significant adverse comment

during the comment period. A significant adverse comment is one where the commenter explains why the rule would be inappropriate (including challenges to its underlying premise or approach), ineffective, or unacceptable without a change. In general, a significant adverse comment would raise an issue serious enough to warrant a substantive response from the agency in a notice-and-comment proceeding.

We believe that a direct final rulemaking is the appropriate method for amending § 630.5(d)(2) to conform to new industry standards. We do not anticipate there will be significant adverse comments. We received no objectionable comments to the December 2006 rulemaking that added the requirement for an external auditor attestation, which conformed with SEC requirements at the time. Further, we stated at that time our intent to remain consistent with industry standards in this area, and commenters agreed. If, however, we receive a significant adverse comment during the comment period, we will publish a notice of withdrawal of the relevant provisions of this rule that will also indicate how further rulemaking will proceed. If we receive no significant adverse comment, we will publish notice of the effective date of the rule following the required Congressional waiting period under section 5.17(c)(1) of the Farm Credit Act of 1971, as amended.

## IV. Regulatory Flexibility Act

Pursuant to section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), FCA hereby certifies that the direct final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Each of the banks in the Farm Credit System, considered together with its affiliated associations, has assets and annual income in excess of the amounts that would qualify them as small entities. Therefore, Farm Credit System institutions are not "small entities" as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 630

Accounting, Agriculture, Banks, banking, Organization and functions (Government agencies), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rural areas.

■ For the reasons stated in the preamble, part 630 of chapter VI, title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

## PART 630—DISCLOSURE TO INVESTORS IN SYSTEM-WIDE AND CONSOLIDATED BANK DEBT OBLIGATIONS OF THE FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

■ 1. The authority citation for part 630 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 5.17, 5.19 of the Farm Credit Act (12 U.S.C. 2252, 2254).

### Subpart A—General

■ 2. Revise § 630.5(d)(2) to read as follows:

#### § 630.5 Accuracy of reports and assessment of internal control over financial reporting.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) *Management assessment of internal control over financial reporting.*

\* \* \* \* \*

(2) The Funding Corporation must require its external auditor to issue an attestation report, which must express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. The resulting attestation report must accompany management's assessment and be included in the annual report.

Dated: November, 8, 2007.

**Roland E. Smith,**

*Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board.*

[FR Doc. E7-22312 Filed 11-14-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6705-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2007-28380; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-088-AD; Amendment 39-15254; AD 2007-23-08]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747-400, 747-400D, and 747-400F Series Airplanes; Model 757-200 Series Airplanes; and Model 767-200, 767-300, and 767-300F Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Boeing Model 747-400, 747-400D, 747-400F, 757-200, 767-200, 767-300, and 767-300F series airplanes. This AD requires inspecting to determine the date code of the time delay relay for the cargo fire suppression system, and

<sup>5</sup> Recommendation 95-4, referencing the Administrative Procedure Act "good cause" exemption at 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), (adopted June 15, 1995).

replacing the relay if necessary. This AD results from a report indicating that failure of a time delay relay on an ELMS (electrical load management system) panel led to testing of other time delay relays at Boeing and at the supplier. Similar relays are used in the cargo fire suppression system. The time delay relay controls when the secondary fire bottles discharge. We are issuing this AD to ensure there is sufficient fire suppressant to control a cargo fire if the airplane is more than the relay delay time from a suitable airport, which could result in an uncontrollable fire in the cargo compartment.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective December 20, 2007.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the AD as of December 20, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207.

#### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (telephone 800-647-5527) is the Document Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Binh V. Tran, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM-130S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 917-6485; fax (425) 917-6590.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Discussion

The FAA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that would apply to certain Boeing Model 747-400, 747-400D, 747-400F, 757-200, 767-200, 767-300, and 767-300F series airplanes. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on July 3, 2007 (72 FR 36378). That NPRM proposed to require inspecting to determine the date code of the time delay relay for the cargo fire suppression system, and replacing the relay if necessary.

##### Comments

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in the development of this AD. We have considered the comments received.

##### Request To Revise Applicability

ABX Air states that it removed the affected relay from its Boeing Model 767-200 airplanes in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767-26-0016, and therefore cannot perform the proposed requirements. ABX requests that we state in the AD that the proposed requirements apply only to airplanes with the time delay relay installed.

We agree that the AD does not apply to airplanes that do not have the time delay relay installed. However, we disagree with the request to change the AD to specify that it does not apply to airplanes with the relay removed. Paragraph (g) of the AD requires an inspection of specific relays and notes the part numbers. If that part number is not installed on the airplane, the airplane is not subject to the replacement requirements of paragraph (h) of the AD. We have not changed the AD in this regard.

##### Request To Revise Summary

Boeing requests that we revise the Summary section of the NPRM to clarify which bottles are discharged by the time delay relay. Specifically Boeing requests that we add the word "secondary" as shown in the following sentence: "The time delay relay controls when the secondary fire bottles discharge."

We agree that the addition of the word "secondary" clarifies the sentence. We have changed the Summary section of the AD as requested. We have also added the sentence to paragraph (d) of the AD for clarity.

##### Request To Clarify Discussion Section

Boeing requests that we clarify the second paragraph of the Discussion section of the NPRM because that paragraph states that the listed airplanes have two halon bottles. Boeing states that some of the systems have more than two halon bottles.

We agree that Boeing's clarification improves the paragraph. However, since that section of the preamble does not reappear in the final rule, no change to the final rule is necessary.

##### Explanation of Editorial Changes

As requested by Boeing, we have added an "in" before the words "Table 1" in paragraph (c) of this AD, and we have added the word "an" before the words "uncontrollable fire" in paragraph (d) of this AD.

##### Conclusion

We have carefully reviewed the available data, including the comments received, and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD with the changes described previously. We have determined that these changes will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

##### Costs of Compliance

There are about 1,871 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. This AD affects about 702 airplanes of U.S. registry. The inspection takes about 1 work hour per airplane, at an average labor rate of \$80 per work hour. Based on these figures, the estimated cost of the AD for U.S. operators is \$56,160, or \$80 per airplane.

##### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

##### Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

- (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
- (2) Is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
- (3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD docket. See the ADDRESSES section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

**Adoption of the Amendment**

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator,

the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

■ 2. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

TABLE 1.—APPLICABILITY OF THIS AD

Boeing model—	As identified in Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin—
747-400, 747-400D, and 747-400F series airplanes .....	747-26-2281, dated July 24, 2006.
757-200 series airplanes .....	757-26-0051, dated July 28, 2006.
767-200, -300, and -300F series airplanes .....	767-26-0131, dated July 24, 2006.

**Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD results from a report indicating that failure of a time delay relay on a Boeing Model 777 ELMS (electrical load management system) panel led to testing of other time delay relays at Boeing and at the supplier. Similar relays are used in the cargo fire suppression system. The time delay relay controls when the secondary fire bottles discharge. We are issuing this AD to ensure there is sufficient fire suppressant to control a cargo fire if the airplane is more than the relay delay time from a suitable airport, which could result in an uncontrollable fire in the cargo compartment.

**Compliance**

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

**Service Bulletin Reference**

(f) The term “service bulletin,” as used in this AD, means the Accomplishment Instructions of the following service bulletins, as applicable:

- (1) For Model 747-400, 747-400D, and 747-400F series airplanes: Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 747-26-2281, dated July 24, 2006;
- (2) For Model 757-200 series airplanes: Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 757-26-0051, dated July 28, 2006; and
- (3) For Model 767-200, -300, and -300F series airplanes: Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 767-26-0131, dated July 24, 2006.

**Inspection**

(g) Within 24 months after the effective date of this AD: Do a general visual inspection of the part number (P/N) TDH6103-1204, -1804, and -6003 time delay relay, as applicable, in the main equipment center to determine if the relay was manufactured during a certain date range, in accordance with the applicable service bulletin.

**Replacement**

(h) Within 30 days after finding a relay manufactured during the date range specified in the service bulletin, as required by paragraph (g) of this AD: Replace the relay with a relay that was not manufactured during the specified date range, or with a relay that has been tested by the supplier and found to be unaffected by thermal expansion, in accordance with the applicable service bulletin.

**Parts Installation**

(i) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install a time delay relay, P/N TDH6103-1204, -1804, or -6003, on any airplane if the relay has a date code between 0000 and 0343 and does not have an additional date code with the letter “T.”

**Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)**

(j)(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

**Material Incorporated by Reference**

(k) You must use the service bulletins listed in Table 2 of this AD, as applicable, to perform the actions that are required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise. The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of these documents in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207, for a copy of this service information. You may review copies at the FAA, Transport Airplane

2007-23-08 Boeing; Amendment 39-15254. Docket No. FAA-2007-28380; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-088-AD.

**Effective Date**

(a) This AD becomes effective December 20, 2007.

**Affected ADs**

(b) None.

**Applicability**

(c) This AD applies to the Boeing airplane models, certificated in any category, identified in the service bulletins specified in Table 1 of this AD.

Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: <http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html>.

TABLE 2.—MATERIAL INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin	Date
747-26-2281 .....	July 24, 2006.
757-26-0051 .....	July 28, 2006.
767-26-0131 .....	July 24, 2006.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on November 2, 2007.

**Ali Bahrami,**

*Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. E7-21991 Filed 11-14-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration**

**14 CFR Part 39**

**[Docket No. FAA-2007-28376; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-108-AD; Amendment 39-15255; AD 2007-23-09]**

**RIN 2120-AA64**

**Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 767-200, -300, and -300F Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.