

VERMONT

Caledonia County

Fairbanks Museum, 1302 Main St., St. Johnsbury, 07001344.

Union Meeting House, (Religious Buildings, Sites and Structures in Vermont MPS), 2614 Burke Hollow Rd., Burke, 07001345.

Windham County

Rockingham Village Historic District, Meeting House Rd., Rockingham Hill Rd., Rockingham, 07001346.

WISCONSIN

Milwaukee County

Spencerian Business College, 2800 W Wright St., Milwaukee, 07001347.

A request for removal has been made for the following resources:

OREGON

Multnomah County

Ladd Carriage House, 1331 SW Broadway, Portland, 8003369.

Parker, C.W., Four-Row Park Carousel, 1492 Jantzen Beach Center, Portland, 87001381.
Trinity Lutheran Church and School, 108 NE Ivy, Portland, 80003377.

[FR Doc. 07-5995 Filed 12-7-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-51-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division**Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—American Society of Mechanical Engineers**

Notice is hereby given that, on November 2, 2007, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301, *et seq.* (“the Act”), American Society of Mechanical Engineers (“ASME”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, since July 10, 2007, ASME has published one new standard and initiated one new standards activity within the general nature and scope of ASME’s standards development activities, as specified in its original notification. More details regarding these changes can be found at <http://www.asme.org>.

On September 15, 2004, ASME filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the

Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on October 13, 2004 (69 FR 60895).

The last notification was filed with the Department on July 11, 2007. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 11, 2007 (72 FR 51839).

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 07-5996 Filed 12-7-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division**Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Joint Development Under ATP Award No. 70NANB7H7007**

Notice is hereby given that, on October 17, 2007, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301, *et seq.* (“the Act”), Joint Development under ATP Award No. 70NANB7H7007 has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: Caterpillar Inc., Mossville, IL and The Lubrizol Corporation, Wickliffe, OH. The general area of Joint Development under ATP Award No. 70NANB7H7007’s planned activity is to perform research in the field of integrated surface technologies for 21st century drive trains. The activity of this joint development project will be partially funded by an award from the Advanced Technology Program, National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 07-5997 Filed 12-7-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration**Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA-W) number issued during the period of November 13 through November 23, 2007.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers’ firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers’ separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers’ firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers’ firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers’ firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. The country to which the workers’ firm has shifted production of the

articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. There has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made for secondarily affected workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) Either—

(A) The workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) A loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

1. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

2. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.

3. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (i.e., conditions within the industry are adverse).

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact

date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) of the Trade Act have been met.

None.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-62,364; Cellular Express, Inc., d/b/a/ Boston Communications Group, Inc., Bedford, MA: October 25, 2006.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) of the Trade Act have been met.

None.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(b) (downstream producer for a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA based on increased imports from or a shift in production to Mexico or Canada) of the Trade Act have been met.

None.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) and section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-62,279; Titan Tool, Inc., Including On-Site Leased Workers from Assurance, Oakland, NJ: October 9, 2006.

TA-W-62,280; UCO Spinning, L.P., A Subsidiary of UCO Fabrics, Inc., Snyder, TX: October 9, 2006.

TA-W-62,435; Huffman Finishing Company, Inc., Granite Falls, NC: November 5, 2006.

TA-W-62,082; LexaMar Corporation, Decoma International Division, On-Site Leased Workers from Northern Staffing; Boyne City, MI: August 29, 2006.

TA-W-62,200; Plum Creek, Ksanka Sawmill, Fortine, MT: September 21, 2006.

TA-W-62,223; Bodine Corporation; Bridgeport, CT: September 28, 2006.

TA-W-62,246; Aristech Chemical Corporation; Neville Island Plant, Neville Island, PA: October 1, 2006.

TA-W-62,269; Norwalk Furniture Corporation of Tennessee, Cookeville, TN: October 5, 2006.

TA-W-62,029; Foxcroft Sportswear, Fall River, MA: August 21, 2006.

TA-W-62,109; FabCare International, LLC, Celina, TN: September 4, 2006.

TA-W-62,237; Linzhi Fashion, Inc., New York, NY: September 30, 2006.

TA-W-62,383; Jasper C. Fashion, Inc., New York, NY: October 15, 2006.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production) and section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-62,324; Flynn Enterprises Inc., LLC; Distribution Warehouse; Hopkinsville, KY: October 17, 2006.

TA-W-62,352; Weyerhaeuser Company, Ilevel Division; Ironton, MN: October 23, 2006.

TA-W-62,360; GE Aviation; Formerly Smiths Aerospace LLC, Motor Winding Group; Corona, CA: October 24, 2006.

TA-W-62,379; Federal Mogul Ignition Company, Burlington, IA: October 15, 2006.

TA-W-62,387; SAF Holland USA, Inc., Warrenton Division; Warrenton, MO: October 22, 2006.

TA-W-62,393; American Axle and Manufacturing, Inc., Buffalo Gear and Axle Facility, Buffalo, NY: October 18, 2006.

TA-W-62,396; Atreum-Brighton; Decoma Int'l Div., Qualified Staffing, Aerotek, Brighton, MI: October 30, 2006.

TA-W-62,398; Federal Mogul Corporation; Wiper Product Division; Michigan City, IN: November 1, 2006.

TA-W-61,989; Yellow Book USA; Formerly MacGregor Publishing Co., Mount Vernon, WA: August 10, 2006.

TA-W-62,428; Home Products International, Mooresville, NC: November 6, 2006.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) and section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-62,186; TRW Automotive U.S. LLC; Commercial Steering Division; Lebanon, TN: September 20, 2006.

TA-W-62,227; Plastech Engineered Products, Inc., Fowlerville Division, Fowlerville, MI: September 17, 2006.

TA-W-62,295; Temple Inland—Hope Forest Products Division; Hope, AR: October 11, 2006.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section

222(b) (downstream producer for a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA based on increased imports from or a shift in production to Mexico or Canada) and section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.
None.

Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

The Department has determined that criterion (1) of section 246 has not been met. The firm does not have a significant number of workers 50 years of age or older.
None.

The Department has determined that criterion (2) of section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm possess skills that are easily transferable.
None.

The Department has determined that criterion (3) of section 246 has not been met. Competition conditions within the workers' industry are not adverse.

TA-W-62,364; Cellular Express, Inc., d/b/a/ Boston Communications Group, Inc., Bedford, MA.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

Because the workers of the firm are not eligible to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.A.) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A.) (employment decline) have not been met.

TA-W-62,272; Flint Group, Inc., Plymouth, MI.

TA-W-62,293; Truck Specialty Center (TSC), International Truck and Engine Corporation; Springfield, OH.

TA-W-62,414; Consistent Textile Industries, Inc., Dallas, NC.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.
None.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in

production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-62,247; Soller, LLC, Bennettsville, SC.

TA-W-62,255; Liqui-Box Corporation; Upper Sandusky, OH.

TA-W-62,358; Pelican and Company Manufacturing Group, LLC; Winnsboro, LA.

TA-W-62,052; Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., New Product Introduction (NPI), CS1 Factory, Tempe, AZ.

TA-W-62,325; Triton Operations, d/b/a Webster Hardwoods LLC; Bangor, WI.

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-62,290; Wachovia Corp., Disbursement Dept., Retirement Service Div., Charlotte, NC.

TA-W-62,326; Kasper LTD., Secaucus, NJ.

TA-W-62,333; Liberty Fibers Corporation; Lowland, TN.

TA-W-62,347; Alliance Title Company; Campbell, CA.

TA-W-62,361; Kantar Operations, Rock Island, IL.

TA-W-62,366; Curves; Berlin, NH.

TA-W-62,378; Concentra Health Solutions, Workers Employed at Continental Tire North America; Charlotte, NC.

TA-W-62,421; RCN Telecom Services, Wilkes-Barre, PA.

TA-W-62,449; Newburgh Hardwood Co., Inc., Newburgh, IN.

The investigation revealed that criteria of Section 222(b)(2) has not been met. The workers' firm (or subdivision) is not a supplier to or a downstream producer for a firm whose workers were certified eligible to apply for TAA.

None.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of November 13 through November 23, 2007. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: November 28, 2007.

Ralph DiBattista,

Director of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-23795 Filed 12-7-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,340]

Commonwealth Land Title Co., Northern CA Production Center, a Division of LandAmerica Financial Group, Inc., Martinez, California; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated November 16, 2007, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on October 26, 2007 and published in the **Federal Register** on November 6, 2007 (72 FR 62682).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The negative TAA determination issued by the Department for workers of Commonwealth Land Title Co., Northern CA Production Center, a division of LandAmerica Financial Group, Inc., Martinez, California was based on the finding that the worker group does not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. The investigation revealed that workers of the subject firm are engaged in research, data entry, analysis, and writing services. The investigation further revealed that no production of article(s) occurred within the firm or appropriate subdivision within the Commonwealth Land Title Co. and LandAmerica Financial Group, Inc. during the relevant time period.

The petitioner contends that the Department erred in its interpretation of the work performed by the workers of the subject firm. The petitioner states that the Preliminary Title Report cited in the initial determination document is "only a by-product of the process" and that the "real Article is the Title Insurance Policy". The petitioner further clarifies that the process of