

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Railroad Administration**

[Docket No. FRA-2005-23281, Notice No. 5]

**Safety of Private Highway-Rail Grade Crossings; Notice of Safety Inquiry**

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting date change.

**SUMMARY:** On July 27, 2006, FRA published a notice announcing its intent to conduct a series of open meetings throughout the United States, in cooperation with appropriate State agencies, to consider issues related to the safety of private highway-rail grade crossings. FRA has conducted four meetings to date and on March 17, 2007, FRA published a notice announcing the scheduling of an additional meeting to be held April 26, 2007, in Syracuse, New York. Due to recently developed scheduling conflicts, however, it is necessary to postpone this April 26 meeting. This Notice No. 5 is an announcement that the Syracuse, New York, meeting has been rescheduled for July 26, 2007. FRA regrets any inconvenience this date change may have caused.

At the meeting, FRA intends to solicit oral statements from private crossing owners, railroads, and other interested parties on issues related to the safety of private highway-rail grade crossings, which will include, but not be limited to, current practices concerning the responsibility for safety at private grade crossings, the adequacy of warning devices at private crossings, and the relative merits of a more uniform approach to improving safety at private crossings. FRA has also opened a public docket on these issues so that interested parties may submit written comments for public review and consideration.

**DATES:** The fifth public meeting will be held in Syracuse, New York on July 26, 2007, at the Renaissance Syracuse Hotel, 701 East Genesee Street, Syracuse, New York 13210, beginning at 9:30 a.m.

Persons wishing to participate are requested to provide their names, organizational affiliation, and contact information to Michelle Silva, FRA Docket Clerk, 1120 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: 202-493-6030). Persons needing sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodation for disability are also encouraged to contact Ms. Silva using the aforementioned information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ron Ries, FRA Office of Safety, 1120 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: 202-493-6299); Miriam Kloepfel, FRA Office of Safety, 1120 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: 202-493-6299); or Kathryn Shelton, FRA Office of Chief Counsel, 1120 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: 202-493-6038).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information, please see the initial notice published July 27, 2006, in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 42713) and available at <http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/01jan20061800/edocket.access.gpo.gov/2006/pdf/06-6501.pdf>

**Request for Comments**

While FRA solicits discussion and comments on all areas of safety at private highway-rail grade crossings, we particularly encourage comments on the following topics:

- At-grade highway-rail crossings present inherent risks to users, including the railroad and its employees, and to other persons in the vicinity should a train derail into an occupied area or release hazardous materials. When passenger trains are involved, the risks are heightened. From the standpoint of public policy, how do we determine whether the creation or continuation of a private crossing is justified?
  - Is the current assignment of responsibility for safety at private crossings effective? To what extent do risk management practices associated with insurance arrangements result in the "regulation" of safety at private crossings?
    - How should improvement and/or maintenance costs associated with private crossings be allocated?
    - Is there a need for alternative dispute resolution mechanisms to handle disputes that may arise between private crossing owners and the railroads?
      - Should the State or Federal Government assume greater responsibility for safety at private crossings?
      - Should there be nationwide standards for warning devices at private crossings, or for intersection design of new private grade crossings?
      - How do we determine when a private crossing has a "public purpose" and is subject to public use?
        - Should some crossings be categorized as "commercial crossings," rather than as "private crossings?"
        - Are there innovative traffic control treatments that could improve safety at

private crossings on major rail corridors, including those on which passenger service is provided?

- Should DOT request enactment of legislation to address private crossings? If so, what should it include?

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 9, 2007.

**Jo Strang,**

*Associate Administrator for Safety.*

[FR Doc. E7-7064 Filed 4-12-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-06-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Railroad Administration****Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From the Requirements of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 236**

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroad has petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

[Docket Number FRA-2007-27287]

*Applicant:* BNSF Railway Company, Mr. Gregory C. Fox, Vice President Engineering, P.O. Box 961034, Fort Worth, Texas 76161-0034.

BNSF Railway Company (BNSF) seeks relief from the requirements of the Rules, Standards and Instructions, Title 49 CFR part 236, Section 236.377 Approach Locking, 236.378 Time locking, 236.379 Route Locking, 236.380 Indication Locking, and 236.381 Traffic Locking, on processor-based systems to the extent that only the following be required every four years after initial testing or program change:

- Verification of the CRC/Check Sum/UCN of the existing location specific application logic to the previously tested version.
- Tests on equipment outside the processor (switch indication, track indication, searchlight signal indication, approach locking (if external)) are verified to the processor's inputs and switch locking is tested from the processor's output to the switch machine.
- Testing of the duration of any timers with variable settings.

*Applicant's justification for relief:* Many of BNSF's interlockings and control points are controlled by solid-state processor-based systems. The 2-year signal locking tests for solid-state

interlockings required by the FRA place an undue burden on the railroad. Due to train traffic, some large control points can take a month or more to complete the 2-year locking tests. Once a processor-based system has been tested and locking tests documented on installation, re-testing should not be required since the logic continues to operate in the same manner as it did when installed and the operation does not change.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and include a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by docket number FRA-2007-27287 and may be submitted by one of the following methods:

- *Web site:* <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the DOT electronic site;

- *Fax:* 202-493-2251;

• *Mail:* Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590-0001; or

• *Hand Delivery:* Room PL-401 on the Plaza Level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.-5 p.m.) at the above facility. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the Internet at the docket facility's Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

FRA wishes to inform all potential commenters that anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78) or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing.

However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC on April 9, 2007.

**Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,**

*Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.*

[FR Doc. E7-7070 Filed 4-12-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket Number FRA-2006-23687]

#### Notice of Informational Filing

For informational purposes only, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) is providing notice that it has received an informational filing from BNSF Railway Company (BNSF) to test the next version of the railroad's Electronic Train Management System (ETMS) submitted pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 236.913. The informational filing is described below, including the submitting party and the requisite docket number where the informational filing and any related information may be found. The document is also available for public inspection; however, FRA is not accepting public comment on the document.

#### BNSF Railway Company (BNSF)

[Docket Number FRA-2006-23687]

BNSF has submitted an informational filing to FRA to continue operational testing of the next version of the railroad's ETMS. This continued testing will allow BNSF to obtain the necessary information required to amend its current Product Safety Plan (PSP) for a future submittal to the FRA. The informational filing has been placed in the Docket Number FRA-2006-23687, and is available for public inspection.

All documents in the public docket are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.-5 p.m.) at the DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room Pl-401 (Plaza Level), 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the Internet at the docket facility's Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78). The Statement may also be found at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 9, 2007.

**Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,**

*Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.*

[FR Doc. E7-7077 Filed 4-12-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket Number FRA-2007-27599]

#### Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From the Requirements of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 236

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroad has petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR Part 236 as detailed below.

*Applicant:* CSX Transportation, Incorporated, Mr. C. M. King, Chief Engineer, Communications and Signals, 500 Water Street, SC J-350, Jacksonville, Florida 32202.

CSX Transportation, Incorporated (CSXT) seeks approval of the proposed modification of the traffic control system, on the main track and yard tracks, at CP-Bowl, milepost 000-384.3, on the Atlanta Division, Boyles Terminal Subdivision, near Birmingham, Alabama. The proposed changes consist of a reduction to the limits of the traffic control system, including the following: discontinuance of all controlled signals at CP-Bowl, conversion of all remaining power-operated switches to hydraulic type switches under the jurisdiction and control of the local yardmaster, and designation of the trackage to Yard Limits, CSXT Operating Rule 193, for train movement authority.

The reason given for the proposed changes is that present day operation, along with the proposal to modify the track configuration, including installation of an additional main track between Black Creek and Mary Lee, does not warrant retention of the power-operated switches and signals.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and include a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest