

and defendant Western International Hotel Corporation (“Westin”), have filed a motion to terminate the Partial Final Judgment entered in *United States v. Greater Portland Convention Association, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 70–310, 1971 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶73,731 (D.Or. 1971) on November 29, 1971 (“Partial Final Judgment”) and the Final Judgment entered in *United States v. Greater Portland Convention Association, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 70–310, 1973 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶74,614 (D.Or. 1973) on September 14, 1973 (“Final Judgment”). Notice is also hereby given that the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice (“the Department”), in a stipulation also filed with the Court, has tentatively consented to termination of the Partial Final Judgment and the Final Judgment, but has reserved the right to withdraw its consent pending receipt of public comments.

On May 12, 1970, the United States filed a complaint alleging the defendants had conspired to restrain trade and commerce in the distribution and sale of hotel supplies. Essentially, the complaint charged the defendants with agreeing (i) to assess an amount of money fixed by the hotels to be paid to GPCA as contributions by hotel suppliers, (ii) to give preference in the purchase of hotel supplies to any hotel supplier who did so contribute, and (iii) to curtail purchases from any hotel supplier who failed or refused to contribute money to the GPCA.

Prior to trial, four of the five defendants; Hilton, GPCA, ITT Sheraton, and Cosmopolitan Investment Inc., settled the charges by accepting entry of the Partial Final Judgment on November 29, 1971. The fifth hotel defendant, Westin, was tried by jury from November 30–December 4, 1970. The jury found that Westin had violated § 1 of the Sherman Act, and Westin appealed this decision to the Ninth Circuit. *United States v. Hilton Hotels Corporation, et al.* 467 F.2d 1000 (9th Cir. 1972) *cert. denied*, 93 S.Ct. 938 (1973). On September 26, 1972, the Ninth Circuit affirmed. Westin entered into the Final Judgment on September 14, 1973.

The Department has filed with the Court a memorandum setting forth the reasons why the United States believes that termination of the Partial Final Judgment would serve the public interest. Copies of the motion to terminate, the stipulation containing the United States’ tentative consent, the United States’ memorandum, and all further papers filed with the Court in connection with the motion to terminate will be available for inspection at the

Antitrust Documents Group, Antitrust Division, Room 215, 325 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20004, on the Web site [www.usdoj.gov/atr](http://www.usdoj.gov/atr), and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Oregon. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by the Department of Justice regulations.

Interested persons may submit comments regarding the proposed termination of the Partial Final Judgment and the Final Judgment to the United States. Such comments must be received by the Antitrust Division within sixty (60) days and will be filed with the Court by the United States. Comments should be addressed to John R. Read, Chief, Litigation III Section, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 325 7th Street, NW., Suite 300, Washington, DC 20530, (202) 307–0468.

**J. Robert Kramer II,**

*Director of Operations.*

[FR Doc. 07–5390 Filed 10–30–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

[OMB Number 1121–0223]

#### **National Institute of Justice; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested**

**ACTION:** 60-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Reinstatement—Crime Mapping Survey.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), National Institute of Justice (NIJ) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for “sixty days” until December 31, 2007. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Ronald E. Wilson, National Institute of Justice, 810 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 25301.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### *Overview of This Information*

##### *Collection:*

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Reinstatement with Change.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Crime Mapping Survey.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* None. Office of Research and Evaluation, National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* *Primary:* Law Enforcement Agencies. *Other:* None. This national survey is designed to do three things. One is to determine the extent to which police departments, specifically crime analysts, are utilizing computerized crime mapping since the first survey. Two is to understand to what extent crime mapping has been adopted since the first survey. Three is to expand the survey to understand the new ways that computerized crime mapping is being utilized, including the technologies adopted. Surveys will be mailed to a randomly select sample of police departments. The questionnaire will determine the level of crime mapping within those departments, both in terms of hardware and software resources as well as the data used and types of maps that are produced and how they are used. The information collected from this survey will be used to advise the Mapping and Analysis for Public Safety (formerly the Crime Mapping Research

Center) on what resources we need to provide to law enforcement who use, and want to use, crime mapping.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: It is estimated that 112,123 respondents will complete each form within approximately 6 minutes.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: We estimate this survey will take 45 minutes per respondent, with the demographic section taking 10 minutes and the questions regarding crime mapping taking 35 minutes. Based on the expected sample of 2,630 respondents, the total estimated burden is 1,972 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: October 25, 2007.

**Lynn Bryant,**

*Department Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. E7-21427 Filed 10-30-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

October 26, 2007.

The Department of Labor (DOL) hereby announces the submission of the following public information collection requests (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of each ICR, with applicable supporting documentation; including among other things a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden may be obtained from the RegInfo.gov Web site at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting Darrin King on 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number)/e-mail: [king.darrin@dol.gov](mailto:king.darrin@dol.gov).

Interested parties are encouraged to send comment to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: John Kraemer, OMB Desk Officer for the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th

Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202-395-4816/ Fax: 202-395-6974 (these are not toll-free numbers), e-mail:

[John\\_Kraemer@omb.eop.gov](mailto:John_Kraemer@omb.eop.gov) within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**. In order to ensure the appropriate consideration, comments should reference the applicable OMB Control Number (see below).

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Mine Safety and Health Administration.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of currently approved collection.

*Title:* Radiation Sampling and Exposure Records.

*OMB Control Number:* 1219-0003.

*Form Number:* MSHA Form 4000-9.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 2.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden*

*Hours:* 800.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost Burden:* \$0.

*Affected Public:* Private Sector: Business or other for-profit (Mines).

*Description:* Title 30 CFR 57.5040 requires mine operators to calculate, record and report individual exposures to concentrations of radon daughters. The calculations are based on the results of the weekly sampling required by 30 CFR 57.5037. Records are maintained by the operator and are submitted to MSHA annually. The sampling and recordkeeping requirement alerts the mine operator and MSHA to possible failure in the radon daughter control system, and permits appropriate corrective action to be taken in a timely manner. Data submitted to MSHA (on MSHA Form 4000-9, Record of Individual Exposure to Radon Daughters) is intended to: (a) Establish

a means by which MSHA can assure compliance with underground radiation standards; (b) form a data base of miner exposure for future epidemiological studies; and (c) assure that miners can, upon written request, have records of cumulative exposures made available to them or their estate, and to medical and legal representatives who have obtained written authorization.

*Agency:* Mine Safety and Health Administration.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of currently approved collection.

*Title:* Training Plan Regulations and Certificate of Training.

*OMB Number:* 1219-0009.

*Form Number:* MSHA Form 5000-23.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 3,216.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 19,186.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost Burden:* \$245,144.

*Affected Public:* Private Sector: Business or other for-profit (Mines).

*Description:* Title 30, CFR 48.9 and 48.29 require records of training for underground and surface mines, respectively. Upon completion of each training program, the mine operator certifies on a form approved by the Secretary (MSHA Form 5000-23) that the miner has received the specified training in each subject area of the approved health and safety training plan. Upon approval by the MSHA District Manager, training plans are returned to the mine operator. The approved plans are used to implement training programs for training new miners, training experienced miners, training miners for new tasks, annual refresher training, and hazard training. The plans are also used by MSHA to ensure that all miners are receiving the training necessary to perform their jobs in a safe manner.

In summary, the Form 5000-23 provides the mine operator with a recordkeeping form, the miner with a certificate of training, and MSHA with a monitoring tool for determining compliance requirements. The form in its present format provides the industry with one form that complies with all the requirements of the training regulations.

**Darrin A. King,**

*Acting Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. E7-21419 Filed 10-30-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-43-P**