

period, the decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone information number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please refer to *United States of America and the State of Tennessee v. Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County*, (proposed Consent Decree, DOJ Ref. No. 90-5-1-1-09000), and enclose a check in the amount of \$68.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

**Henry S. Friedman,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 07-5416 Filed 10-30-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Park System Resource Protection Act

Notice is hereby given that on September 17, 2007, a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Nuthen's Purfect, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 06-cv-22249, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida.

In this action, filed pursuant to the Park System Resource Protection Act ("PSRPA"), 16 U.S.C. 19jj *et seq.*, the United States sought response costs and damages against Nuthen's Purfect, Inc. ("Nuthen's Purfect") due to a vessel grounding that occurred in the Everglades National Park on April 18, 2002. The United States Department of Justice, on behalf of the U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service ("DOI"), has reached a settlement with Nuthen's Purfect regarding these claims. Pursuant to the Consent Decree, the United States will recover \$50,000.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to

[pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov) or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to the Consent Decree between the United States and Nuthen's Purfect, DOJ Ref. No. 90-5-1-1-08521.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Everglades National Park, 40001 State Road 9336, Homestead, FL 33034, and at the Department of the Interior, Office of the Solicitor, Southeast Regional Office, Richard B. Russell Federal Building, 75 Spring Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$3.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

**Henry S. Friedman,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 07-5417 Filed 10-30-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Proposed Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on September 18, 2007, a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Theochem Laboratories, Inc. et al.*, Civil Action No. 4:06-cv-00214, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida.

In this action, filed pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, the United States sought reimbursement of its remaining outstanding incurred response costs relating to the Davis Refining Superfund Site, which is located in Tallahassee, Florida. The United States Department of Justice, on behalf of the United States

Environmental Protection Agency, has reached a settlement with Theochem Laboratories, Inc. ("Theochem") regarding the filed claim. Pursuant to the Consent Decree, the United States will recover \$175,000 from Theochem.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to [pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov) or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to the Consent Decree between the United States and Theochem Laboratories, Inc., DOJ Ref. No. 90-11-3-08056/2.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the United States Attorney's Office, Northern District of Florida, 111 N. Adams Street, 4th Floor, Tallahassee, FL 32301, and at the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, GA 30303. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library please enclose a check in the amount of \$5.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

**Henry S. Friedman,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 07-5415 Filed 10-30-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Proposed Termination of Judgment

Notice is hereby given that defendant Hilton Hotels Corp. ("Hilton") and Starwood Hotels and Resorts Worldwide Inc. ("Starwood"), a successor in interest to both defendant ITT Sheraton Corporation of America ("Sheraton")

and defendant Western International Hotel Corporation (“Westin”), have filed a motion to terminate the Partial Final Judgment entered in *United States v. Greater Portland Convention Association, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 70–310, 1971 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶73,731 (D.Or. 1971) on November 29, 1971 (“Partial Final Judgment”) and the Final Judgment entered in *United States v. Greater Portland Convention Association, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 70–310, 1973 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶74,614 (D.Or. 1973) on September 14, 1973 (“Final Judgment”). Notice is also hereby given that the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice (“the Department”), in a stipulation also filed with the Court, has tentatively consented to termination of the Partial Final Judgment and the Final Judgment, but has reserved the right to withdraw its consent pending receipt of public comments.

On May 12, 1970, the United States filed a complaint alleging the defendants had conspired to restrain trade and commerce in the distribution and sale of hotel supplies. Essentially, the complaint charged the defendants with agreeing (i) to assess an amount of money fixed by the hotels to be paid to GPCA as contributions by hotel suppliers, (ii) to give preference in the purchase of hotel supplies to any hotel supplier who did so contribute, and (iii) to curtail purchases from any hotel supplier who failed or refused to contribute money to the GPCA.

Prior to trial, four of the five defendants; Hilton, GPCA, ITT Sheraton, and Cosmopolitan Investment Inc., settled the charges by accepting entry of the Partial Final Judgment on November 29, 1971. The fifth hotel defendant, Westin, was tried by jury from November 30–December 4, 1970. The jury found that Westin had violated § 1 of the Sherman Act, and Westin appealed this decision to the Ninth Circuit. *United States v. Hilton Hotels Corporation, et al.* 467 F.2d 1000 (9th Cir. 1972) *cert. denied*, 93 S.Ct. 938 (1973). On September 26, 1972, the Ninth Circuit affirmed. Westin entered into the Final Judgment on September 14, 1973.

The Department has filed with the Court a memorandum setting forth the reasons why the United States believes that termination of the Partial Final Judgment would serve the public interest. Copies of the motion to terminate, the stipulation containing the United States’ tentative consent, the United States’ memorandum, and all further papers filed with the Court in connection with the motion to terminate will be available for inspection at the

Antitrust Documents Group, Antitrust Division, Room 215, 325 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20004, on the Web site [www.usdoj.gov/atr](http://www.usdoj.gov/atr), and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Oregon. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by the Department of Justice regulations.

Interested persons may submit comments regarding the proposed termination of the Partial Final Judgment and the Final Judgment to the United States. Such comments must be received by the Antitrust Division within sixty (60) days and will be filed with the Court by the United States. Comments should be addressed to John R. Read, Chief, Litigation III Section, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 325 7th Street, NW., Suite 300, Washington, DC 20530, (202) 307–0468.

**J. Robert Kramer II,**

*Director of Operations.*

[FR Doc. 07–5390 Filed 10–30–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

[OMB Number 1121–0223]

#### **National Institute of Justice; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested**

**ACTION:** 60-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Reinstatement—Crime Mapping Survey.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), National Institute of Justice (NIJ) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for “sixty days” until December 31, 2007. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Ronald E. Wilson, National Institute of Justice, 810 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 25301.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### *Overview of This Information*

##### *Collection:*

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Reinstatement with Change.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Crime Mapping Survey.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* None. Office of Research and Evaluation, National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* *Primary:* Law Enforcement Agencies. *Other:* None. This national survey is designed to do three things.

One is to determine the extent to which police departments, specifically crime analysts, are utilizing computerized crime mapping since the first survey.

Two is to understand to what extent crime mapping has been adopted since the first survey. Three is to expand the survey to understand the new ways that computerized crime mapping is being utilized, including the technologies adopted. Surveys will be mailed to a randomly select sample of police departments. The questionnaire will determine the level of crime mapping within those departments, both in terms of hardware and software resources as well as the data used and types of maps that are produced and how they are used. The information collected from this survey will be used to advise the Mapping and Analysis for Public Safety (formerly the Crime Mapping Research