line. The TAA/ATAA petition was filed on behalf of workers engaged in the production of seat adjusters.

New information shows that leased workers of Manpower, Inc. were employed on-site at the Spring Lake, Michigan location of Track Corporation. The Department has determined that these workers were sufficiently under the control of Track Corporation to be considered leased workers.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include leased workers of Manpower, Inc. working on-site at the Spring Lake, Michigan location of the subject firm.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers employed at Track Corporation, Spring Lake, Michigan who were adversely-impacted by increased imports of seat adjusters.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-61,530 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Track Corporation, including on-site leased workers of Forge Industrial and Manpower, Inc., Spring Lake, Michigan, engaged in the production of seat adjusters, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 16, 2006, through August 23, 2009, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 16th day of October 2007.

### Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–21744 Filed 11–5–07; 8:45 am]

# NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR THE ARTS AND HUMANITIES

Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Assessing Trends and Results of the Laura Bush 21st-Century Librarians Grant Program 2003–2008

**AGENCY:** Institute of Museum and Library Services, National Foundation for the Arts and Humanities.

**SUMMARY:** The Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS) as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork

Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44.U.S.C. 3508(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. The Institute of Museum and Library Services is soliciting comments on a proposed study to assess the effectiveness of various methods used to distribute funds to the nation's museums.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section below on or before January 7, 2008.IMLS is particularly interested in comments that help the agency to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Mamie Bittner, Deputy Director, Office of Policy, Planning, Research, and Communications, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1800 M Street, NW., 9th Floor, Washington, DC. Ms. Bittner can be reached by telephone: 202–653–4630; fax: 202–653–4600; or e-mail: mbittner@imls.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

The Institute of Museum and Library Services is authorized by the Museum and Library Services Act, Public Law 108–81, and is the primary source of federal support for the nation's 122,000 libraries and 17,500 museums. The Institute's mission is to create strong libraries and museums that connect people to information and ideas. The Institute works at the national level and in coordination with state and local organizations to sustain heritage,

culture, and knowledge; enhance learning and innovation; and support professional development.

#### II. Current Actions

The IMLS Laura Bush 21st-Century Librarian grant program was initiated at the request of the President in 2002 to help address the anticipated retirement of a very large cohort of library professionals before the year 2010, and to help assure that libraries are well staffed and well prepared to meet the needs of a population that is steadily increasing in cultural and language diversity throughout the United States. This program has made 172 grants between 2003 and 2007. IMLS wishes to understand trends and results of grants and activities in the Laura Bush 21st-Century Librarian program to date. The analysis will be framed by five key questions:

(1) What impact has this program made on numbers and demography of individuals seeking professional degrees and employment in the fields of library and information sciences?

(2) What impact has this program made on the numbers and demography of individuals employed in library and information sciences, in library service, and in the education and training of library and information science personnel?

(3) What impact has this program had on the career development of individuals employed in the delivery of library and information services, and in the education and training of such individuals?

(4) What impact has this program made on the understanding of key issues and phenomena related to the delivery and effectiveness of library and information service?

(5) Is there a continuing need for this program, and if so, what are the key needs that should be met in the next five years?

Once completed, the results of the study will be incorporated into a report which will be made widely available to inform and benefit the museum community and the public at large.

*Agency:* Institute of Museum and Library Services.

Title: Assessing Trends an Results of the Laura Bush 21st-Century Librarians Grant Program 2003–2008.

OMB Number: N/A. Agency Number: 3137. Frequency: One time.

Affected Public: Libraries, State Library Administrative Agencies, institutions of higher education, library professional associations, Native American tribal governments, school officials and educators, and individuals.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mamie Bittner, Deputy Director, Office of Policy, Planning, Research, and Communications, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1800 M Street, NW., 9th Floor, Washington, DC. Ms. Bittner can be reached by telephone: 202–653–4630; fax: 202–653–4600; or e-mail: mbittner@imls.gov.

Dated: October 31, 2007.

#### Barbara Smith,

E-Projects Officer.

[FR Doc. E7–21706 Filed 11–5–07; 8:45 am]

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287]

## Duke Power Company, LLC; Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission (NRC) is considering
issuance of amendments to Renewed
Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR—
38, DPR—47, and DPR—55, issued to
Duke Power Company, LLC (the
licensee), for operation of the Oconee
Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3,
located in Seneca, South Carolina.
Therefore, as required by Title 10 of the
Code of Federal Regulations, Part 51,
Section 51.21, the NRC is issuing this
environmental assessment and finding
of no significant impact.

#### **Environmental Assessment**

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action is administrative in nature and would revise the Technical Specifications (TSs) to remove requirements that are no longer applicable due to the completion of the control room intake/booster fan modifications.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated January 31, 2007.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action removes requirements from the TSs that are no longer applicable.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its safety evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there are no environmental impacts.

The details of the staff's safety evaluation will be provided in the license amendments that will be issued as part of the letter to the licensee approving the license amendments.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents. No changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off site. There is no significant increase in the amount of any effluent released off site. There is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3, dated March 1972 and Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (NUREG–1437, Supplement 2) dated December 9, 1999.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on October 18, 2007, the staff consulted with the South Carolina State official, Mr. R. Mike Gandy of the Department of Health and Environmental Control, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an

environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated January 31, 2007. Documents may be examined and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North. 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams.html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or send an e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of October 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Leonard N. Olshan**,

Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch II– 1, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing,

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. E7–21777 Filed 11–5–07; 8:45 am]

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## **Sunshine Federal Register Notice**

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETINGS:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**DATES:** Weeks of November 5, 12, 19, 26; December 3, 10, 2007.

**PLACE:** Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

## Week of November 5, 2007

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of November 5, 2007.

## Week of November 12, 2007—Tentative

Wednesday, November 14, 2007

9:30 a.m

Meeting with Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste and Materials (ACNW&M) (Public Meeting) (Contact: Antonio Dias, 301–415–6805).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov.

#### Week of November 19, 2007—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of November 19, 2007.