

company that will become the parent company for NRG Energy and its subsidiaries, the current licensee will continue to operate the facility and hold the licenses.

No physical changes to STP, Units 1 and 2, facilities or operational changes are being proposed in the application.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80, no license, or any right thereunder, shall be transferred, directly or indirectly, through transfer of control of the license, unless the Commission shall give its consent in writing. The Commission will approve an application for the indirect transfer of a license, if the Commission determines that the proposed restructuring will not affect the qualifications of the licensee to hold the license, and that the transfer is otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of law, regulations, and orders issued by the Commission pursuant thereto.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene, and written comments with regard to the license transfer application, are discussed below.

Within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice, any person whose interest may be affected by the Commission's action on the application may request a hearing and, if not the applicant, may petition for leave to intervene in a hearing proceeding on the Commission's action. Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene should be filed in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice set forth in Subpart C "Rules of General Applicability: Hearing Requests, Petitions to Intervene, Availability of Documents, Selection of Specific Hearing Procedures, Presiding Officer Powers, and General Hearing Management for NRC Adjudicatory Hearings," of 10 CFR part 2. In particular, such requests and petitions must comply with the requirements set forth in 10 CFR 2.309. Untimely requests and petitions may be denied, as provided in 10 CFR 2.309(c)(1), unless good cause for failure to file on time is established. In addition, an untimely request or petition should address the factors that the Commission will also consider, in reviewing untimely requests or petitions, set forth in 10 CFR 2.309(c)(1)(i)-(viii).

Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene should be served upon counsel for counsel for STPNOC and NRG Energy, Mr. John E. Matthews at Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP, 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20004 (tel: 202-739-5524, fax: 202-793-3001; e-mail: jmatthews@morganlewis.com; the

General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001 (e-mail address for filings regarding license transfer cases only: OGCLT@NRC.gov); and the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, in accordance with 10 CFR 2.302 and 2.305.

The Commission will issue a notice or order granting or denying a hearing request or intervention petition, designating the issues for any hearing that will be held and designating the Presiding Officer. A notice granting a hearing will be published in the **Federal Register** and served on the parties to the hearing.

As an alternative to requests for hearing and petitions to intervene, within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, persons may submit written comments regarding the license transfer application, as provided for in 10 CFR 2.1305. The Commission will consider and, if appropriate, respond to these comments, but such comments will not otherwise constitute part of the decisional record. Comments should be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application dated May 3, 2007, available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System's (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 3rd day of July 2007.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Mohan C. Thadani,

Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch IV, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E7-13358 Filed 7-9-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCIES: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and U.S. Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting.

SUMMARY: The NRC and DOE announce their intent to conduct a public meeting to: (1) Discuss progress they have made since November 2006 concerning Section 3116 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005; (2) provide information regarding the content and outcome of interactions held to date; (3) address progress in exchanging information on generic technical issues concerning DOE's performance assessments; and (4) provide information on monitoring plans and related activities. The meeting date, time, and location are listed below:

Date: Friday, July 20, 2007.

Time: 10 a.m. to 12 p.m.

Address: Meeting Room—Renoir Room, L'Enfant Plaza Hotel, 480 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20024, Phone: 202-484-1000.

Agenda:

10-10:15 Introductions.

10:15-10:30 Opening Remarks.

10:30-11:15 Discussion of current status of NDAA Implementation and other issues.

11:15-12 Public Comment.

Background

On October 28, 2004, the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (NDAA) was signed by the President. Section 3116 of the NDAA allows the DOE to determine that the term "high-level radioactive waste" (HLW) does not include certain waste stemming from reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel. The NDAA is applicable only in the states of South Carolina and Idaho and does not apply to waste transported out of these states. The NDAA requires that: (1) DOE consult with NRC concerning DOE's waste determinations in South Carolina and Idaho, and (2) NRC, in coordination with the State, monitor such disposal

actions taken by DOE for the purpose of assessing compliance with performance objectives in 10 CFR Part 61, Subpart C. If the NRC determines that any such disposal actions taken by DOE are not in compliance with those performance objectives, the NDAA requires NRC to inform DOE, the affected State, and congressional committees.

On November 16, 2006, NRC and DOE conducted a public meeting to discuss interactions during the review of non-HLW determinations prepared under the NDAA. During that meeting, NRC and DOE committed to conduct a future meeting to discuss the progress that has been made since the November 2006 public meeting. During the upcoming public meeting cited in this Notice, NRC and DOE will: (1) Discuss the progress they have made since November 2006; (2) provide information regarding the content and outcome of interactions held to date; (3) address progress in exchanging information concerning generic technical issues related to DOE's performance assessments; and (4) provide information on monitoring plans and related activities.

As noted on the agenda, time will be set aside during this meeting for observers who wish to make comments. After the meeting, a publicly available summary of this meeting will be made available on the NRC's Agency-wide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) at www.nrc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions related to this meeting, please contact Jennifer Davis of the NRC at (301) 415-7264 or bjd1@nrc.gov, or Martin Letourneau of DOE's Office of Compliance at (301) 903-3532 or martin.letourneau@em.doe.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of July 2007.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Larry W. Camper,

Director, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.

Dated at Washington, DC, this 3rd day of July 2007.

For the U.S. Department of Energy.

Karen Guevara,

Director, Office of Environmental Management, Office of Compliance.

[FR Doc. 07-3358 Filed 7-9-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-56002; File No. SR-Amex-2007-55]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1 Thereto, Relating to the Options SROT Fee Rebate Program

July 2, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 1, 2007, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the Exchange. On June 7, 2007, the Amex submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ Amex has designated this proposal as one establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by Amex under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act⁴ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,⁵ which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit

comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to establish fee rebates applicable to supplemental registered options traders ("SROT's") that receive directed orders.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange, the Commission's Public Reference Room, and www.amex.com.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change, and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. Amex has substantially prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to provide options transaction fee rebates to SROT's that provide liquidity to the Exchange and receive electronic directed customer orders (the "SROT Fee Rebate Program"). This SROT Fee Rebate Program will provide fee rebates to SROT's that provide order flow to the Exchange from their own firm's orders.⁶

This proposal would allow the Exchange to provide SROT's with options transaction fee rebates for the number of options contracts that are electronically directed to them and executed on the Exchange. The following rebate schedule is proposed:

Monthly directed order volume (in contracts)	Rebate per contract	Total rebate per volume tier
0-1,000,000	\$.05	\$50,000
1,000,001-2,000,000	.10	100,000
2,000,001-3,000,000	.125	125,000

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Amendment No. 1 clarifies that the SROT fee rebate program is a separate program from the options marketing fee program.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

⁶ The Exchange anticipates shortly filing a proposed rule change with the Commission to implement a Directed Order Flow Program. Generally, for purposes of the Directed Order Flow Program, a directed order is deemed to be an electronic customer order from an order flow provider that is directed to a specific specialist,

registered options trader ("ROT"), SROT or remote registered options trader ("RROT"). Once the Directed Order Flow Program is implemented, in addition to SROT's, the Exchange intends to expand this proposed SROT Fee Rebate Program to any specialist, ROT, and/or RROT that participates in the Exchange's Directed Order Program.