

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Michael T. Lesar,**

*Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-12847 Filed 5-21-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

#### 21 CFR Part 573

[Docket No. 02F-0327]

#### Food Additive Permitted in Feed and Drinking Water of Animals; Feed-Grade Biuret

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the regulations for food additives to provide for the safe use of feed-grade biuret in lactating dairy cattle feed. This action is in response to a food additive petition filed by ADM Alliance Nutrition, Inc.

**DATES:** This rule is effective May 22, 2003; written objections and request for hearing should be submitted by July 23, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written objections to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sharon Benz, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV-228), Food and Drug Administration, 7500 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 301-827-6656.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

In a notice published in the **Federal Register** of August 28, 2002 (67 FR 55269), FDA announced that a food additive petition (FAP 2248) had been filed by ADM Alliance Nutrition, Inc., 1000 North 30th St., P.O. Box C1., Quincy, IL 62305-7100. The petition proposed to amend the food additive regulations in Part 573 *Food Additives Permitted in Feed and Drinking Water of Animals* (21 CFR part 573) to provide for the use of feed grade biuret in the diets of lactating dairy cows. The notice of filing provided for a 75-day comment period on the petitioner's environmental information. No substantive comments have been received.

##### II. Conclusion

FDA has evaluated data submitted by the sponsor of the petition and concludes that the data establish the safety and functionality of feed-grade biuret for use as proposed.

##### III. Public Disclosure

In accordance with § 571.1(h) (21 CFR 571.1(h)), the petition and the documents that FDA considered and relied upon in reaching its decision to approve the petition are available for inspection at the Center for Veterinary Medicine by appointment with the information contact person listed above. As provided in § 571.1(h), the agency will delete from the documents any materials that are not available for public disclosure before making the documents available for inspection.

##### IV. Environmental Impact

The agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.32(r) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

##### V. Objections and Hearing Requests

Any person who will be adversely affected by this regulation may at any time on or before July 23, 2003, file with the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written objections thereto. Each objection shall be separately numbered, and each numbered objection shall specify with particularity the provisions of the regulation to which objection is made and the grounds for the objection. Each numbered objection on which a hearing is requested shall specifically so state. Failure to request a hearing for any particular objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on that objection. Each numbered objection for which a hearing is requested shall include a detailed description and analysis of the specific factual information intended to be presented in support of the objection in the event that a hearing is held. Failure to include such a description and analysis for any particular objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on the objection. Three copies of all documents shall be submitted and shall be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Any objections received in response to the regulation may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

##### List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 573

Animal feeds, Food additives.

■ Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, 21 CFR part 573 is amended as follows:

#### PART 573—FOOD ADDITIVES PERMITTED IN FEED AND DRINKING WATER OF ANIMALS

■ 1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 573 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 321, 342, 348.

#### § 573.220 Feed-grade biuret.

■ 2. Section 573.220 *Feed-grade biuret* is amended by removing paragraph (c)(1)(iii).

Dated: May 14, 2003.

**Stephen F. Sundlof,**

*Director, Center for Veterinary Medicine.*

[FR Doc. 03-12785 Filed 5-21-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-S

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### 32 CFR Part 207

RIN 0790-AH02

#### Implementation of Section 740 of the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century as Amended by Section 1051 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Interim final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule prescribes regulations to implement Section 740 of the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century as amended by Section 1051 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003. The regulations will establish procedures for the sale of excess Department of Defense aircraft to persons or entities that provide oil spill response services (including the application of oil dispersants by air) pursuant to an oil spill response plan that has been approved by the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating.

**DATES:** Effective May 22, 2003 until September 30, 2006. Comments are requested by July 21, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Forward comments to the Assistant Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Supply Chain Integration),

3500 Defense Pentagon, Room 3B730, Washington, DC 20301-3500.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Debra Bennett (703) 692-6031.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### I. Background

Section 740 of the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century (Public Law 106-181, 114 Stat. 173) states that, notwithstanding section 202 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 483) and subject to subsections (b) and (c), the Secretary of Defense may sell, during the period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act and ending September 30, 2002, certain aircraft and aircraft parts to a person or entity that provides oil spill response services (including the application of oil dispersants by air) pursuant to an oil spill response plan that has been approved by the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating. Section 740 states that, as soon as practicable after the date of enactment of the Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Transportation and the Administrator of General Services, shall prescribe regulations relating to the sale of aircraft and aircraft parts under this section. Section 1051 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Pub. L. 107-314, 116 Stat. 2648) provides for a four-year extension to this authority. This interim final rule prescribes such regulations.

### II. Administrative Requirements

#### A. Executive Order 12866

It has been determined that 32 CFR 207 is not a significant regulatory action. The rule does not (1) have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or state, local, or tribal governments or communities; (2) create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs, or the rights and obligations of the recipients thereof; or (4) raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order.

#### B. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

It has been certified that 32 CFR part 207 does not contain a Federal Mandate

that my result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one year.

#### C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

It has been determined that this rule is not subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601) because it would not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule applies only to the sale of certain aircraft and aircraft parts to those entities that provide oil spill response services. The U.S. Department of Transportation provides the list of eligible entities that may bid on aircraft and aircraft parts.

#### D. Paperwork Reduction Act

It has been certified that 32 CFR part 207 does not impose any reporting or record-keeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 44).

#### E. Executive Order 13132

It has been certified that 32 CFR part 207 does not have federalism implications, as set forth in Executive Order 13132.

#### List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 207

Aircraft, Oil spill, Oil dispersant.

■ Accordingly, 32 CFR Part 207 is added to read as follows:

### **PART 207—IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 740 OF THE WENDELL H. FORD AVIATION INVESTMENT AND REFORM ACT FOR THE 21ST CENTURY AS AMENDED BY SECTION 1051 OF THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003**

Sec.

- 207.1 Background and purpose.
- 207.2 Applicability.
- 207.3 Restrictions.
- 207.4 Qualifications.
- 207.5 Sale procedures.
- 207.6 Reutilization and transfer procedures.
- 207.7 Reporting requirements.
- 207.8 Expiration.

**Authority:** Section 740 of Public Law 106-181, 114 STAT. 173 as amended by Section 1051 of Public Law 107-314, 116 STAT. 2648.

#### **§ 207.1 Background and purpose.**

Section 740 of the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century, as amended, allows the Department of Defense (DoD), during the period 4 April 2000 through 30 September 2006, to sell aircraft and aircraft parts to a person or entity that provides oil spill response services

(including the application of oil dispersants by air). This part implements that section.

#### **§ 207.2 Applicability.**

The sections in this part apply to the sale of aircraft and aircraft parts determined to be DoD excess under the definition of the Federal Property Management Regulations (FPMR) or the Federal Management Regulation (FMR), and listed in Attachment 1 of Chapter 4 of DoD 4160.21-M (August 1997)<sup>1</sup> as Category A aircraft authorized for commercial use, to contractors providing oil spill response services.

#### **§ 207.3 Restrictions.**

(a) Aircraft and aircraft parts sold under the Act shall be used primarily for oil spill spotting, observation, and dispersant delivery, and may not have a secondary purpose that interferes with oil spill response efforts under an oil spill response plan. Use for a secondary purpose requires the prior written approval of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Transportation, and a certificate from the Federal Aviation Administration, to be obtained in advance, for the proposed secondary use.

(b) Aircraft may not be flown outside of or removed from the U.S. except for the purpose of fulfilling an international agreement to assist in oil spill dispersing efforts, for immediate response efforts for an oil spill outside United States waters that has the potential to threaten United States waters, or for purposes that are jointly approved by the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Transportation.

(c) The DoD sale of aircraft and aircraft parts sold under the Act shall not extend past the time limits of the Act.

#### **§ 207.4 Qualifications.**

The Secretary of Transportation must certify in writing to the Secretary of Defense prior to sale that the person or entity is capable of meeting the terms and conditions of a contract to perform oil spill response services by air, and that the overall system to be employed by the person or entity for the delivery and application of oil spill dispersants has been sufficiently tested to ensure that the person or entity is capable of participating in an oil spill response plan that has been approved by the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating.

(a) Prior to sales offerings of aircraft or aircraft parts, the U.S. Department of Transportation (DoT) must provide to

<sup>1</sup> Copies may be obtained via Internet at <http://www.dla.mil/dlaps/dod/41602lm/guide.asp>.

the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service (DRMS), in writing, a list or persons or entities eligible to bid under this Act, including expiration date of each DOT contract, and locations covered by the DOT contract.

(b) This requirement may not be delegated to the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG).

#### § 207.5 Sale procedures.

Sale of aircraft and aircraft parts must be in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 4 of DoD 4160.21-M (August 1997), paragraph B 2, and with other pertinent parts of this manual, with the following changes and additions:

(a) Sales shall be limited to the aircraft types listed in Attachment 1 of Chapter 4 of DoD 4160.21-M (August 1997), and parts thereto.

(b) Sales shall be made at fair market value (FMV), as determined by the Secretary of Defense and, to the extent practicable, on a competitive basis.

(1) DRMS must conduct sales utilizing FMVs that are either provided by the Military Services on the Disposal Turn-In Documents (DTIDs) or based on DRMS's professional expertise and knowledge of the market. Advice regarding FMV shall be provided to DRMS by DOT, as appropriate.

(2) If the high bid for a sale item does not equal or exceed the FMV, DRMS is vested with the discretion to reject all bids and reoffer the item:

(i) As excess property on another oil spill sale, if there is indication that reoffer may be successful; or,

(ii) As surplus property if, after reporting the aircraft to the General Services Administration (GSA) for utilization and donation screening, there are no Federal or State Agency requirements as determined by GSA.

(3) Disposition of proceeds from sale of aircraft under the Act, net of DRMS's expenses, will be to the general fund of the United States Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.

(c) Purchasers shall certify that aircraft and aircraft parts will be used only in accordance with conditions stated in § 207.3.

(1) Sales solicitations will require bidders to submit end-use certificates with their bids, stating the intended use and proposed areas of operation.

(2) The completed end-use certificates shall be used in the bid evaluation process.

(d) Sales contracts shall include terms and conditions for verifying and enforcing the use of the aircraft and aircraft parts in accordance with provisions of the guidance.

(1) The DRMS Sales Contracting Officer (SCO) is responsible for

verifying and enforcing the use of aircraft and aircraft parts in accordance with the terms and conditions of the sales contract.

(i) Sales contracts include provisions for on-site visits to the purchaser's place(s) of business and/or worksite(s).

(ii) Sales contracts require the purchaser to make available to the SCO, upon his or her request, all records concerning the use of aircraft and aircraft parts.

(2) DOT shall nominate in writing, and the SCO shall appoint, qualified Government employees (not contract employees) to serve as Contracting Officer's Representatives (CORs) for the purpose of conducting on-site verification and enforcement of the use of aircraft and aircraft parts for those purposes permitted by the sales contract.

(i) COR appointments must be in writing and must state the COR's duties, the limitations of the appointment, and the reporting requirements.

(ii) DOT bears all COR costs.

(iii) The SCO may reject any COR nominee for cause, or terminate any COR appointment for cause.

(3) Sales contracts require purchasers to comply with the Federal Aviation Agency (FAA) requirements in Chapter 4 of DoD 4160.21-M (August 1997), paragraphs B 2 b (4) (d) 2 through B 2 b (4) (d) 5.

(4) Sales contracts require purchasers to comply with the Flight Safety Critical Aircraft Parts regime in Chapter 4 of DoD 4160.21-M (August 1997), paragraph B 26 c and d, and in Attachment 3 to Chapter 4 of DoD 4160.21-M (August 1997).

(5) Sales contracts require purchasers to obtain the prior written consent of the SCO for resale of aircraft or aircraft parts purchased from DRMS under this Act. Resales are only permitted to other entities that, at time of resale, meet the qualifications required of initial purchasers. The SCO must seek, and DOT must provide, written assurance as to the acceptability of a prospective repurchaser before approving resale. Resales will normally be approved for oil spill response contractors that have completed their contracts, or that have had their contracts terminated, or that can provide other valid reasons for seeking resale that are acceptable to the SCO.

(i) If it is determined by the SCO that there is no interest in the aircraft or aircraft parts being offered for resale among entities deemed qualified repurchasers by DOT, the SCO may permit resale to entities outside the oil spill response industry.

(ii) When an aircraft or aircraft parts are determined to be uneconomically repairable and suitable only for cannibalization and/or scrapping, the purchaser shall advise the SCO in writing and provide evidence in the form of a technical inspection document from a qualified FAA airframe and powerplant mechanic, or equivalent.

(iii) The policy outlined in paragraph (d)(5) of this section also applies to resales by repurchasers, and to all other manner of proposed title transfer (including, but not limited to, exchanges and barbers).

(iv) Sales of aircraft and aircraft parts under the Act are intended for principals only. Sales offerings will caution prospective purchasers not to buy with the expectation of acting as brokers, dealers, agents, or middlemen for other interested parties.

(6) The failure of a purchaser to comply with the sales contract terms and conditions may be cause for suspension and/or debarment, in addition to other administrative, contractual, civil, and criminal (including, but not limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001) remedies which may be available to the Department of Defense.

(7) Aircraft parts will be made available as follows:

(i) DRMS may, based on availability and demand, offer for sale under the Act whole unflyable aircraft, aircraft carcasses for cannibalization, or aircraft parts, utilizing substantially the same provisions outlined in paragraphs (a) through (d)(6) of this section for flyable aircraft.

(ii) Sales contracts for unflyable aircraft shall contain a restriction in perpetuity against use for flight. DRMS will not issue a bill of sale for these aircraft. When unflyable aircraft or aircraft residue is to be sold for parts use, the data plates must be removed and destroyed by the owning military service prior to releasing the aircraft to the contractor.

(iii) If DOT requests that DRMS set aside parts for sale under Act, DOT must provide listings of parts required, by National Stock Number and Condition Code.

(iv) Only qualified oil spill response operators who fly the end-item aircraft will be allowed to purchase unflyable aircraft, aircraft carcasses, or aircraft parts applicable to that end-item.

(v) FMVs are not required for aircraft parts. DRMS will utilize historic prices received for similar parts in making sale determinations.

**§ 207.6 Reutilization and transfer procedures.**

Prior to any sales effort, the Secretary of Defense shall, to the maximum extent practicable, consult with the Administrator of GSA, and with the heads of other Federal departments and agencies as appropriate, regarding reutilization and transfer requirements for aircraft and aircraft parts under this Act (see Chapter 4 of DoD 4160.21–M (August 1997), paragraphs B 2 b (1) through B 2 b (3)).

(a) DOT shall notify Army, Navy, and/or Air Force, in writing, of their aircraft requirements as they arise, by aircraft type listed in Attachment 1 of Chapter 4 of DoD 4160.21–M (August 1997).

(b) When aircraft become excess, the owning Military Service will screen for reutilization requirements within the Department of Defense, and those requirements shall take precedence over DOT requirements under this Act.

(c) *Federal agency transfer:* (1) The Military Service shall report aircraft that survive reutilization screening to GSA Region 9 on a Standard Form 120. The Military Service must advise GSA Region 9 if DOT has lodged a written requirement for the aircraft for use in oil spill response. GSA will screen for Federal agency transfer requirements in accordance with the FMR.

(2) If a Federal agency requirement exists, GSA shall advise the owning Military Service, in writing, of its intent to issue the aircraft to satisfy the Federal agency requirement. The Military Service will notify DOT of the competing Federal requirement for the aircraft. If DOT disputes the priority given to the Federal requirement, it shall send a written notice of dispute to the owning Military Service and to the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Logistics and Materiel Readiness (DUSD (L&MR))) within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice from the Military Service. DUSD (L&MR) shall then resolve the dispute, in writing. The aircraft cannot be issued until notification is given and any dispute is resolved.

(d) *The Military Services shall:* (1) Respond to the DOT, in writing, when excess aircraft that can meet DOT's stated requirements have survived reutilization and transfer screening.

(2) Report excess aircraft that survive reutilization and transfer screening and are available for sale to Headquarters, Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service, ATTN: DRMS–LMI, Federal Center, 74 Washington Avenue North, Battle Creek, Michigan 49017–3092. The Military Services must use a DD Form 1348–1A, DTID, for this purpose.

(3) Transfer excess aircraft that survive reutilization and transfer screening to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC), Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, and place the aircraft in an “excess” storage category while aircraft are undergoing oil spill response sale. Aircraft shall not be made available or offered to oil spill response operators from the Military Service's airfield. The Military Service shall be responsible for the AMARC aircraft induction charges. The aircraft purchaser will be liable for all AMARC withdrawal charges, to include any aircraft preparation required from AMARC. Sale of parts required for aircraft preparation is limited to those not required for the operational mission forces, and only if authorized by specific authority of the respective Military Service's weapon system program manager.

**§ 207.7 Reporting requirements.**

Not later than 31 March 2003, the Secretary of Defense must submit to the Committees on Armed Services and Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committees on National Security and Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report setting forth the following:

(a) The number and type of aircraft sold under this authority, and the terms and conditions under which the aircraft were sold.

(b) The persons or entities to which the aircraft were sold.

(c) An accounting of the current use of the aircraft sold.

(d) DOT must submit to Headquarters, Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service, ATTN: DRMS–LMI, Federal Center, 74 Washington Avenue North, Battle Creek, Michigan, 49017–3092, not later than 1 February 2006, a report setting forth an accounting of the current disposition of all aircraft sold under the authority of the Act.

(e) DRMS must compile the report, based on sales contract files and (for the third report element) input from the DOT. The report must be provided to Headquarters Defense Logistics Agency not later than 1 March 2006. Headquarters Defense Logistics Agency shall forward the report to Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Logistics & Materiel Readiness) not later than 15 March 2006.

**§ 207.8 Expiration.**

This part expires on 30 September 2006.

Dated: May 12, 2003.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 03–12552 Filed 5–21–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–08–M

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY****Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 160**

[USCG–2002–11865]

RIN 1625–AA41

**Notification of Arrival in U.S. Ports**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule; partial suspension of regulation.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is suspending the Notification of Arrival requirement to electronically submit cargo manifest information, (Customs Form 1302) to Customs and Border Protection. This requirement was published on Feb 28, 2003 and was to be implemented by July 1, 2003. The Coast Guard is suspending this submission requirement pending new Customs and Border Protection regulations.

**DATES:** This suspension is effective May 22, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Material received from the public, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket USCG–2002–11865 and are available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, room PL–401, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also find this docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this rule, call LTJG Kimberly B Andersen, U.S. Coast Guard (G–MPP), at 202–267–2562. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Dorothy Beard, Chief, Dockets, Department of Transportation, at 202–366–5149.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background and Purpose**

On February 28, 2003, the Coast Guard published its “Notification of Arrival in U.S. Ports” in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 9537). This final rule, which became effective on April 1,