

accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Intertape Polymer Group, Menasha Division, Menasha, Wisconsin, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 9, 2001 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 14th day of April 2003.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-10136 Filed 4-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,888 and TA-W-41,888A]

#### **Jasper Cabinet Company, Jasper, IN, Jasper Cabinet Company, Ferdinand, IN; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application of January 31, 2003, the United Steelworkers of America, Sub District #3, Local Union No. 331-U, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice was signed on December 23, 2002 and published in the **Federal Register** on January 15, 2003 (68 FR 2074).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Jasper Cabinet Company, Jasper, Indiana (TA-W-41,888) and Jasper Cabinet Company, Ferdinand, Indiana (TA-W-41,888A) engaged in the production of furniture and wood furniture parts, was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended,

was not met. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's customers. The Department conducted a survey of the subject firm's major customers regarding their purchases of competitive products in 2000 through October 2002. The respondents reported no increased imports. The subject firm did not increase its reliance on imports of furniture and wood furniture parts during the relevant period.

The union alleges that a major customer imported competitive products.

Two officials from this customer were contacted in regard to this allegation. Results from ensuing conversations with these contacts revealed that the items previously purchased from the subject firm were predominately curio cabinets; as the customer ceased selling curio cabinets directly following their cessation of business with the subject firm, there are no like or directly competitive imports at issue in regard to this customer.

The petitioner also alleges that the Department did not make mention of known company imports in its initial investigation.

In fact, the initial investigation did include an examination of company imports. However, these imports did not represent a significant portion of the plants' sales or production declines in the relevant period, and therefore do not provide the necessary evidence for import impact.

#### **Conclusion**

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of April, 2003.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-10133 Filed 4-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,182]

#### **The JPM Company Now Known as Sanmina-SCI, Lewisburg, PA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on June 27, 2001, applicable to workers of The JPM Company, Lewisburg, Pennsylvania. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on July 11, 2001 (66 FR 36329).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of cable and wire harnesses assemblies. New information shows that Sanmina-SCI purchased The JPM Company in June, 2002 and is now known as Sanmina-SCI.

The Department is amending the certification determination to correctly identify the new title name to read Sanmina-SCI (formerly known as The JPM Company), Lewisburg, Pennsylvania.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-39,182 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Sanmina-SCI (formerly known as The JPM Company), Lewisburg, Pennsylvania, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 12, 2000, through June 27, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 15th day of April, 2003.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-10130 Filed 4-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-U