

disagrees with the commenter's statement that Rio Grande Valley growers and shippers would gain more from the increased assessment than those from the Laredo-Winter Garden area.

The second commenter opposing the increase expressed concern regarding a possible conflict of interest with some producers and handlers on the Committee who also produce and handle onions not assessed under the South Texas marketing order. The commenter stated that increasing the assessment rate should be determined by those who are directly affected, not handlers that either attain most of their onion business outside the jurisdiction of the order, or pass on the assessment to growers under the jurisdiction of the order. The commenter was concerned that such Committee members could unduly shape the decision-making of the Committee, that their decisions could be biased against their South Texas competitors, and that being on the Committee could enable them to raise the production costs (*i.e.* assessments) of their South Texas competition.

The Committee, which is composed of six producer and four handler members from District 1 (Coastal Bend—Lower Valley) and four producer and three handler members from District 2, is representative of the entire production area. The Committee is established and selected in accordance with the provisions of the order. The producer and handler members and alternates on the Committee are nominated by their peers and are eligible to serve based on their qualifications. The fact that some of the Committee members also grow and handle onions outside the South Texas onion production area does not disqualify them from serving on the Committee. Further, only South Texas onions grown in the 35-county production area may be assessed for marketing order purposes.

Based on the foregoing, no changes are being made to the rule as it was proposed.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab.html>. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

After consideration of all relevant material presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the Committee, the comments received, and other available information, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend

to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it also found and determined that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** because the 2002–03 fiscal period began August 1, 2002, and the marketing order requires that the rate of assessment for each fiscal period apply to all assessable onions handled during such fiscal period. In addition, the Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses, which are incurred on a continuous basis. Further, handlers are aware of this action which was recommended by the Committee at a public meeting. Also, a 30-day comment period was provided for in the proposed rule and all of the comments received have been considered.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 959

Marketing agreements, Onions, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 959 is amended as follows:

PART 959—ONIONS GROWN IN SOUTH TEXAS

■ 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 959 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

■ 2. Section 959.237 is revised to read as follows:

§ 959.237 Assessment rate.

On and after August 1, 2002, an assessment rate of \$0.085 per 50-pound equivalent is established for South Texas onions.

Dated: March 24, 2003.

A.J. Yates,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 03–7633 Filed 3–26–03; 1:47 pm]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 966

[Docket No. FV03–966–03 C]

Tomatoes Grown in Florida; Decreased Assessment Rate; Correction

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: The Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) published an interim

final rule in the **Federal Register** on November 9, 2001 (66 FR 56599), which decreased the assessment rate for tomatoes grown in Florida. The interim final rule fixed the assessment rate at \$0.20 per 25-pound container or equivalent of assessable tomatoes for the 2001–02 and subsequent fiscal periods. The rate should have been fixed at \$0.02 per 25-pound container or equivalent. This document corrects the assessment rate.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 31, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Doris Jamieson, Marketing Specialist, Southeast Marketing Field Office, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 799 Overlook Drive, Suite A, Winter Haven, Florida 33884–1671; telephone: (863) 324–3375; Fax: (863) 325–8793; E-Mail: Doris.Jamieson@usda.gov; or George

Kelhart, Technical Advisor, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Ave, SW., STOP 0237, Washington, DC 20250–0237, telephone: (202) 720–2491, Fax: (202) 720–8938; E-Mail: George.Kelhart@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

AMS published an interim final rule in the **Federal Register** on November 9, 2001 (66 FR 56599), decreasing the assessment rate for tomatoes grown in Florida [7 CFR part 966]. The interim final rule was subsequently finalized without change in a document published on March 13, 2002 (67 FR 11213).

Need for Correction

As published, the assessment rate was incorrectly identified as \$0.20 per 25-pound container or equivalent. This correction document replaces the incorrect assessment rate with the correct assessment rate of \$0.02 per 25-pound container or equivalent for Florida tomatoes.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 966

Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Tomatoes.

■ Accordingly, 7 CFR part 966 is corrected by making the following amendment:

PART 966—TOMATOES GROWN IN FLORIDA

■ 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 966 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

§ 966.234 [Corrected]

■ 2. In § 966.234, the figure "\$0.20" is revised to "\$0.02".

Dated: March 24, 2003.

A.J. Yates,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 03-7634 Filed 3-28-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 25**

[Docket No. NM231; Special Conditions No. 25-216-SC-A]

Special Conditions: Boeing Model 777-200 Series Airplanes; Overhead Crew Rest Compartments

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Amended final special conditions.

SUMMARY: These amended special conditions are issued for Boeing Model 777-200 series airplanes. Final special conditions; request for comments, No. 25-216-SC were issued on October 3, 2002, addressing this installation. Comments were received and these amended special conditions address those comments. These airplanes, modified by Flight Structures Inc., will have a novel or unusual design feature associated with the installation of an overhead flight crew rest compartment. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These amended special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

DATES: The effective date of these amended special conditions is March 20, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alan Sinclair, FAA, Airframe/Cabin Safety Branch, ANM-115, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington, 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2195; facsimile (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On September 17, 2001, Flight Structures Inc., 4407 172 Street NE, Arlington, Washington, 98223, applied

for a supplemental type certificate (STC) for installation of a Door 1 overhead flightcrew rest (OFCR) compartment in Boeing Model 777-200 series airplanes. The certification of the Alitalia Model 777-200 overhead crew rest was scheduled for October 9, 2002. The Boeing Model 777-200 series airplanes are large twin engine airplanes with various passenger capacities and ranges depending upon airplane configuration.

The OFCR compartment, adjacent to Door 1, is located in the overhead above the main passenger cabin and will include a maximum of two private berths, two seats, and a lavatory. Occupancy of the OFCR compartment will be limited to a maximum of four occupants.

The OFCR will be accessed from the main deck by stairs. In addition, an emergency hatch that opens directly into the main passenger cabin area will be provided for the compartment. A smoke detection system, an oxygen system, and occupant amenities will also be provided. This compartment will only be occupied in flight; occupancy is prohibited during taxi, takeoff, or landing.

Compliance with these special conditions does not relieve the applicant from the existing airplane certification basis requirements. One particular area of concern is that the OFCR installation creates a smaller compartment volume within the overhead area of the airplane. The applicant must comply with the requirements of §§ 25.365(e), (f), and (g), for the overhead area compartment, as well as any other airplane compartments whose decompression characteristics are affected by the installation of a crew rest compartment. Compliance with § 25.831 must be demonstrated for all phases of flight where occupants will be present.

The FAA considers OFCR compartment smoke or fire detection and fire suppression systems (including airflow management features that prevent hazardous quantities of smoke or fire extinguishing agent from entering any other compartment occupied by crewmembers or passengers) complex with respect to paragraph 6d of Advisory Circular (AC) 25.1309-1A, "System Design and Analysis." In addition, the FAA considers failure of the crew rest compartment fire protection system (*i.e.*, smoke or fire detection and fire suppression systems) in conjunction with a crew rest fire to be a catastrophic event. Based on the "Depth of Analysis Flowchart" shown in Figure 2 of AC 25.1309-1A, the depth of analysis should include both qualitative and quantitative assessments

(reference paragraphs 8d, 9, and 10 of AC 25.1309-1A). In addition, it should be noted that flammable fluids, explosives, or other dangerous cargo are prohibited from being carried in the crew rest area.

The requirements to enable crewmember(s) quick entry to the crew rest compartment and to locate a fire source inherently places limits on the amount of baggage that may be carried and the size of the crew rest area. The FAA notes that the crew rest area is limited to stowage of crew personal luggage and it is not intended to be used for the stowage of cargo or passenger baggage. The design of such a system to include cargo or passenger baggage would require additional requirements to ensure safe operation.

The addition of galley equipment or a kitchenette incorporating a cook top or other heat source, or a stowage compartment greater than or equal to 25 ft³, into the crew rest compartment may require further special conditions to be considered.

Amendment 25-38 modified the requirements of § 25.1439(a) by adding, "In addition, protective breathing equipment must be installed in each isolated separate compartment in the airplane, including upper and lower lobe galleys, in which crewmember occupancy is permitted during flight for the maximum number of crewmembers expected to be in the area during any operation." The requirements of § 25.1439(a) apply to the OFCR compartment, which is an isolated separate compartment. However, the PBE requirements for isolated separate compartments of § 25.1439(a) are not appropriate because the OFCR compartment is novel and unusual in terms of the number of occupants. In 1976 when amendment 25-38 was adopted, small galleys were the only isolated compartments that had been certificated. A maximum of two crewmembers were expected to occupy those galleys. Special Condition No. 9 addresses crew rest compartments that can accommodate up to four crewmembers. This large number of occupants in an isolated compartment was not envisioned at the time amendment 25-38 was adopted. It is not appropriate for all occupants to don PBE in the event of a fire because the first action should be to leave the confined space unless the occupant is fighting the fire. Taking the time to don the PBE would prolong the time for the emergency evacuation of the occupants and possibly interfere with efforts to extinguish the fire.