

**ACTION:** Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request.

**SUMMARY:** The EIA has submitted the energy information collection listed at the end of this notice to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and a three-year extension under section 3507(h)(1) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

**DATES:** Comments must be filed by August 6, 2003. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments but find it difficult to do so within that period, you should contact the OMB Desk Officer for DOE listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Bryon Allen, OMB Desk Officer for DOE, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. To ensure receipt of the comments by the due date, submission by FAX (202-395-7285) or e-mail ([BAllen@omb.eop.gov](mailto:BAllen@omb.eop.gov)) is recommended. The mailing address is 726 Jackson Place NW., Washington, DC 20503. The OMB DOE Desk Officer may be telephoned at (202) 395-3087. (A copy of your comments should also be provided to EIA's Statistics and Methods Group at the address below.)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information should be directed to Herbert Miller. To ensure receipt of the comments by the due date, submission by FAX (202-287-1705) or e-mail ([herbert.miller@eia.doe.gov](mailto:herbert.miller@eia.doe.gov)) is recommended. The mailing address is Statistics and Methods Group (EI-70), Forrestal Building, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585-0670. Mr. Miller may be contacted by telephone at (202) 287-1711.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This section contains the following information about the energy information collection submitted to OMB for review: (1) The collection numbers and title; (2) the sponsor (*i.e.*, the Department of Energy component); (3) the current OMB docket number (if applicable); (4) the type of request (*i.e.*, new, revision, extension, or reinstatement); (5) response obligation (*i.e.*, mandatory, voluntary, or required to obtain or retain benefits); (6) a description of the need for and proposed use of the information; (7) a categorical description of the likely respondents; and (8) an estimate of the total annual reporting burden (*i.e.*, the estimated number of likely respondents times the proposed frequency of

response per year times the average hours per response).

1. EIA-902, "Annual Geothermal Heat Pump Manufacturers Survey".
2. Energy Information Administration.
3. OMB Number 1901-0303.
4. Three-year approval requested.
5. Mandatory.
6. The EIA-902 is used to collect data about the manufacture and distribution of geothermal heat pumps and the status of the industry. The information collected will be used by public and private analysts interested in geothermal heat pumps and related energy issues.
7. Business or other for-profit.
8. 160 hours (40 respondents × 1 response per year × 4 hours per response).

**Statutory Authority:** Section 3507(h)(1) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13)(44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*)

Issued in Washington, DC, June 30, 2003.

**Jay H. Casselberry,**

*Agency Clearance Officer, Statistics and Methods Group, Energy Information Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-17060 Filed 7-3-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EF03-5032-000, et al.]

#### United States Department of Energy, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

June 27, 2003.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

#### 1. United States Department of Energy—Western Area Power Administration

[Docket No. EF03-5032-000]

Take notice that on June 5, 2003, the Deputy Secretary of the Department of Energy, by Rate Order No. WAPA-100, did confirm and approve on an interim basis, to be effective on August 1, 2003, the Western Area Power Administration's (Western) extension of existing Rate Schedules UGP-AS1, UGP-AS2, UGP-AS3, UGP-AS4, UGP-AS5, UGP-AS6, UGP-FTP1, UGP-NFTP1, and UGP-NT1 for transmission service on the Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program-Eastern Division effective August 1, 2003, and ending September 30, 2005.

The rates in Rate Schedules UGP-AS1, UGP-AS2, UGP-AS3, UGP-AS4, UGP-AS5, UGP-AS6, UGP-FTP1, UGP-NFTP1, and UGP-NT1 will be in effect

pending the Commission's approval of the extension of these or of substitute rates on a final basis.

*Comment Date:* July 18, 2003.

#### 2. Dhofar Generating Company SAOC

[Docket No. EG03-79-000]

Take notice that on June 25, 2003, Dhofar Generating Company SAOC (DGC) with its principal office at P.O. Box 2609, PC 211, Sultanate of Oman, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

DGC is a company organized under the laws of Sultanate of Oman. DGC states that it will be engaged, directly or indirectly through an affiliate as defined in Section 2(a)(11)(B) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, exclusively in owning, or both owning and operating an electric generating facility consisting of an approximately 240 MW gross gas-fired electrical plant, located in the Governate of Dhofar, Sultanate of Oman, selling electric energy at wholesale and engaging in project development activities with respect thereto.

*Comment Date:* July 18, 2003.

#### 3. Power Resource Group, Inc.

[Docket No. EL03-136-000]

Take notice that on June 19, 2003, Power Resource Group, Inc. (PRG) filed a Petition for Enforcement Action and Declaratory Order. PRG petitioned the Commission to undertake an enforcement action against the Public Utility Commission of the State of Texas for its failure to implement the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA) in accordance with the requirements of PURPA and the Commission's regulations. PRG requests the Commission to apply Section 210(h)(2)(A) of PURPA to enforce the requirements of Section 210(f) of PURPA in Texas.

*Comment Date:* July 21, 2003.

#### 4. Ameren Energy Marketing Company

[Docket No. ER01-1945-002]

Take notice that on June 23, 2003, Ameren Energy Marketing Company (AEM) submitted for filing revisions to section 3(a) of its market-based rate schedule, in compliance with the Commission's May 9, 2003 Order in Docket No. ER01-1945-000. AEM asserts that Section 3(a) now states that the Commission must approve AEM's sales of energy, capacity and ancillary services to affiliated entities before such sales are made.

AME states that a copy of this filing was served on all of the parties on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this docket.

*Comment Date:* July 14, 2003.

### 5. Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER02-111-011 and ER02-652-006]

Take notice that on June 24, 2003, the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (Midwest ISO) submitted an errata to its June 19, 2003 filing in these proceedings concerning Schedule 10 (ISO Cost Recovery Adder) of the Midwest ISO Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), FERC Electric Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, pursuant to Order of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator Inc., 103 FERC ¶ 61,205 (2003).

Midwest ISO states that pursuant to the Settlement reached in these proceedings, the Midwest ISO requests an effective date of March 1, 2003.

Midwest ISO has requested waiver of the service requirements set forth in 18 CFR 385.2010. The Midwest ISO states that it has electronically served a copy of this filing, with attachments, upon all Midwest ISO Members, Member representatives of Transmission Owners and Non-Transmission Owners, the Midwest ISO Advisory Committee participants, Policy Subcommittee participants, as well as all state commissions within the region. In addition, the filing has been electronically posted on the Midwest ISO's Web site at [www.midwestiso.org](http://www.midwestiso.org) under the heading "Filings to FERC" for other interested parties in this matter.

*Comment Date:* July 15, 2003.

### 6. New England Power Pool and ISO New England

[Docket No. ER02-2330-013]

Take notice that on June 18, 2003, ISO New England Inc., submitted a compliance report on the development of new performance-based standards for determining energy usage as required by the Commission's December 20, 2002 Order in Docket No. ER02-2330-001, *et al.*

*Comment Date:* July 18, 2003.

### 7. California Independent System Operator Corporation

[Docket Nos. ER03-608-001, ER00-2019-008, and ER01-819-003]

Take notice that on June 23, 2003, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO) tendered an information filing in accordance with the Commission's Order of May 30,

2003, 103 FERC ¶ 61,260, in which the Commission acted on an amendment (Amendment No. 49) to the ISO Tariff.

The ISO states that this filing has been served on the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, the California Energy Commission, the California Electricity Oversight Board, Participating Transmission Owners under the ISO Tariff, all parties with effective Scheduling Coordinator Service Agreements and the ISO Tariff, and to all parties not among the foregoing on the restricted service list in Docket Nos. ER03-608-000, ER00-2019-006, and ER01-819-002. The ISO also states that this filing will be posted on the ISO's Web site at <http://www.aiso.com>.

*Comment Date:* July 14, 2003.

### 8. Power Contract Financing, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER03-838-001]

Take notice that on June 24, 2003, Power Contract Financing, L.L.C. tendered for filing all currently effective tariff sheets to replace the company's former name CES Marketing, LLC, with the company's current name, to Power Contract Financing, L.L.C.

*Comment Date:* July 15, 2003.

### 9. American Electric Power Service Corporation

[Docket No. ER03-979-000]

Take notice that on June 23, 2003, American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC) submitted for filing an amendment to the Interconnection Agreement, dated September 2, 1998 between Central Power and Light Company and South Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc. (STEC) that provides for additional agreements on the parties' construction of transmission facilities related to their existing point of interconnection at the City of Robstown Substation. AEPSC states that other changes have been made to the Interconnection Agreement. AEPSC seeks an effective date of May 1, 2003 for this amendment to the agreement and waiver of the Commission's notice of filing requirement because there are no related rates or charges.

AEPSC states that it has served copies of the filing on STEC and the Public Utility Commission of Texas.

*Comment Date:* July 14, 2003

### 10. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER03-980-000]

Take notice that on June 23, 2003, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM), submitted for filing three interim interconnection service agreements between PJM and PSEG Fossil, L.L.C.

PJM requests a waiver of the Commission's 60-day notice requirement to permit the effective date of June 4, 2003 for the agreements.

PJM states that copies of this filing were served upon PSEG Fossil, L.L.C. and the state regulatory commissions within the PJM region.

*Comment Date:* July 14, 2003.

### 11. Public Service Company of New Mexico

[Docket No. ER03-981-000]

Take notice that on June 24, 2003, Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM) submitted for filing an Interim Invoicing Agreement with respect to invoicing for coal deliveries from San Juan Coal Company among PNM, Tucson Electric Power Company (TEP), and the other owners of interests in the San Juan Generating Station covering the period from January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2003. PNM states that the Interim Invoicing Agreement is an appendix to the San Juan Project Participation Agreement (PPA), and effectively modifies the PPA for that same period.

PNM requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements in order to allow the Interim Invoicing Agreement to be effective as of January 1, 2003. PNM states that copies of the filing have been sent to the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission, TEP, and each of the owners of an interest in the San Juan Generating Station. PNM's also state that the filing is available for public inspection at its offices in Albuquerque, New Mexico.

*Comment Date:* July 15, 2003.

### 12. Ameren Services Company

[Docket No. ER03-982-000]

Take notice that on June 25, 2003, Ameren Services Company (ASC) tendered for filing three executed Service Agreements for Firm Point-to-Point Services between ASC and Ameren Energy Marketing Company. ASC asserts that the purpose of the Agreements is to permit ASC to provide transmission services to Ameren Energy Marketing Company pursuant to Ameren's Open Access Transmission Tariff.

*Comment Date:* July 16, 2003.

### Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in

determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll-free at (866)208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202)502-8659. Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

**Magalie R. Salas,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-17021 Filed 7-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7522-8]

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, Subpart J

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit the following continuing Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): Nation Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan—Subpart J, EPA ICR 1664.05, OMB Control Number RIN 2050-AE87, expiration date 01/31/2004. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before September 5, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons may obtain a copy of the ICR without charge by contacting the EPA Docket Center at 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., EPA West, Suite B-102, Washington, DC 20460. The docket number for this ICR is OPA 2003-0001. The docket is contained in the EPA Docket Center and is available for inspection by appointment only, between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. You may make an appointment to view the docket by calling 202-566-0276. You may copy a maximum of 100 pages from a regulatory docket at no cost. If the number of pages exceeds 100, however, we will charge you \$0.15 for each page after 100. The docket will mail copies to you if you are outside the Washington, DC metropolitan area.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William "Nick" Nichols, (703) 603-9918, Facsimile Number (703) 603-9116, e-mail: [nichols.nick@epa.gov](mailto:nichols.nick@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Affected entities:** Entities potentially affected by this action are those which manufacture, sell, distribute and/or use oil spill dispersants, other chemicals, and other spill mitigating devices and substances that may be used in carrying out the NCP, as listed in 40 CFR 300.900 on land or waters of the United States.

**Title:** National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, Subpart J (NCP) (OMB Control No. RIN 2050-AE87; EPA ICR No.1664.05), expiring 01/31/2004.

**Abstract:** Subpart J of the NCP allows and regulates the use of chemical and biological oil spill cleanup and control agents. The information collected is supplied by the manufacturer of such products. This information and data are then analyzed by EPA to determine the appropriateness, and under which category, the product may be listed on the NCP Product Schedule. This product data is critical for EPA to obtain in order to assure that effectiveness and toxicity data for these products is available to the oil spill community in order to use them legally and effectively. Responses to the collection of information are mandatory if EPA determines that the products specifications require its listing under subpart J (40 CFR 300.5 Definitions). However, manufacturers volunteer to have their product analyzed. The authority to review and use a product is 40 CFR 300.910. Confidentiality of data, ingredients, and other proprietary information for the products is maintained by EPA. Manufacturers may use any certified lab in the U.S. to test their product's effectiveness and

toxicity. The cost of such tests range from \$1,200 to \$15,000 per test. The process to have a product listed takes at least 30 days, but no longer than 60 days, depending on the accuracy and completeness of the product information package provided to EPA by the manufacturer. Due to the technical and graphical data required to be listed, electronic submissions are not accepted.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Burden Statement:** Estimated projected cost and hour burden for listing a product are between 13.4 and 40 hours at a cost ranging from under \$3,500 to \$16,021 depending on the product and what the lab charges the manufacturer to test the product. EPA estimates that an average of 14 product applications are submitted each year at a cost of \$95,558 (\$6,825 average) to manufacturers and \$17,708 to EPA. Additional data requirements include changes to manufacturer's address, name of product, distributors, product specifications, and any other changes to the product. Changes to the product's composition may require further testing and data submission to EPA. Otherwise the cost to supply this information to EPA is a one-time cost. EPA does not charge any fees to maintain records for a product nor are there any costs to update the product's file other than those mentioned above. There are no required capital, start up cost or fees required by EPA to have a product listed.