

List of Subjects in 34 CFR Part 75

Administrative practice and procedure, Education Department, Grant programs—education, Grant administration, Performance reports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Unobligated funds.

Dated: October 24, 2003.

Rod Paige, Secretary of Education.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Secretary proposes to amend part 75 of title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 75—DIRECT GRANT PROGRAMS

1. The authority citation for Part 75 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 20 U.S.C 1221e-3 and 3474, unless otherwise noted.

2. Section 75.102 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) and (b), and the introductory text in paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 75.102 Deadline date for applications.

(a) The application notice for a program sets a deadline date for the transmittal of applications to the Department.

(b) If an applicant wants a new grant, the applicant must submit an application in accordance with the requirements in the application notice.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) If the Secretary provides that a paper application must be sent by the deadline date, an applicant must show one of the following as proof of mailing:

\* \* \* \* \*

3. Section 75.109 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 75.109 Changes to application; number of copies.

(a) Each applicant that submits a paper application shall submit an original and two copies to the Department, including any information that the applicant supplies voluntarily.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 03-27376 Filed 10-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA284-0407b; FRL-7577-2]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Bay Area Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Bay Area Air Quality Management District portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from solvent and surface cleaning operations during large appliance and metal furniture coating, miscellaneous metal parts coating, plastic parts and products coating, and marine vessel coating. We are proposing to approve local rules to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by December 1, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901 or e-mail to steckel.andrew@epa.gov.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814; and, Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 939 Ellis Street, San Francisco, CA 94109.

A copy of the rule may also be available via the Internet at http://www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdbtxt.htm. Please be advised that this is not an EPA Web site and may not contain the same version of the rule that was submitted to EPA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerald S. Wamsley, EPA Region IX, (415) 947-4111, or e-mail at wamsley.jerry@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the following BAAQMD rules: Rule 8-14, Surface Preparation and Coating of Large Appliances and Metal Furniture; Rule

8-19, Surface Preparation and Coating of Miscellaneous Metal Parts and Products; Rule 8-31, Surface Preparation and Coating of Plastic Parts and Products; and Rule 8-43, Surface Preparation and Coating of Marine Vessels. In the Rules and Regulations section of this Federal Register, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: October 6, 2003.

Laura Yoshii, Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 03-27268 Filed 10-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MO 196-1196; FRL-7580-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve a revision to the Missouri State Implementation Plan (SIP) which limits emissions of volatile organic compounds from lithographic printing facilities in the Kansas City, Missouri, area. This revision provides a correction and clarification to the applicability portion of the rule, and also reorganizes the rule into the state's standardized rule format.

Approval of this revision will ensure consistency between the state and Federally-approved rules, and ensure Federal enforceability of the revised state rule.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by December 1, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted either by mail or electronically. Written comments should be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. Electronic comments should be sent either to [kaiser.wayne@epa.gov](mailto:kaiser.wayne@epa.gov), or to <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is an alternative method for submitting electronic comments to EPA. To submit comments, please follow the detailed instructions described in "What action is EPA taking" in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551-7603, or by e-mail at [kaiser.wayne@epa.gov](mailto:kaiser.wayne@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 17, 2003.

**William W. Rice,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.*

[FR Doc. 03-27262 Filed 10-29-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 300

[FRL-7579-2]

#### National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan; National Priorities List

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent for partial deletion of the Del Monte Corporation (Oahu Plantation) Superfund Site from the National Priorities List.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") Region IX announces its intent to delete the Poamoho Section of the Del Monte Corporation Superfund Site ("the site"), located in Oahu, Hawaii, from the National Priorities List ("NPL") and requests public comment on this proposed action. The NPL constitutes appendix B to the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan ("NCP"), 40 CFR part 300, which EPA promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA") of 1980, as amended. The remaining portion of the site will remain on the NPL. EPA and the State of Hawaii Department of Health have determined that, based on the Remedial Investigation, taking remedial measures on the Poamoho Section of the site would not be appropriate. The Remedial Investigation results indicate no response action is necessary to protect human health, welfare or the environment related to hazardous substances released on the Poamoho Section.

**DATES:** Comments concerning the proposed partial deletion of the Site from the NPL may be submitted on or before December 1, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Janet Rosati, USEPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Mail Code SFD-8-2, (415) 972-3165 or (800) 231-3075.

Information Repositories: Comprehensive information on the Poamoho Section as well as information specific to this proposed partial deletion is available through the Region IX public docket which is available for viewing by appointment only. Appointments for copies of the background information from the Regional public docket should be directed to the EPA Region 9 docket office at the following address:

Superfund Records Center, USEPA Region 9, 95 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA. The Record Center's hours of operation are 8 a.m.-5 p.m., Monday-Friday, and the Records Center staff can be reached at (415) 536-2000. Another information repository where the public docket is available for public review is the Wahiawa Public Library, 820 California Avenue, Wahiawa, HI 96786.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Janet Rosati, (415) 972-3165.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. NPL Deletion Criteria
- III. Deletion Procedures
- IV. Basis for Intended Partial Site Deletion

#### I. Introduction

The U.S. EPA Region IX announces its intent to delete the Poamoho Section of the Del Monte Corporation Superfund Site, located in Oahu, Hawaii, from the National Priorities List ("NPL"), which constitutes appendix B of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan ("NCP"), 40 CFR part 300, and requests public comment on this proposed action. EPA identifies sites that appear to present a significant risk to public health, welfare or the environment, and maintains the NPL as the list of these sites. EPA and the State of Hawaii Department of Health have determined, based on the Remedial Investigation, taking remedial measures on the Poamoho Section would not be appropriate. The Remedial Investigation results indicate no response action is necessary to protect human health, welfare or the environment related to hazardous substances released on the Poamoho Section.

EPA will accept comments on the proposal to partially delete this site for thirty (30) days after publication of this document in the **Federal Register**.

Section II of this document explains the criteria for deleting sites from the NPL. Section III discusses the procedures EPA is using for this action. Section IV discusses the Poamoho Section of the site and explains how this section meets the partial deletion criteria.

#### II. NPL Deletion Criteria

The NCP establishes the criteria that EPA uses to delete sites from the NPL. In accordance with 40 CFR 300.425(e)(1), sites may be deleted from the NPL where no further response is appropriate to protect human health or the environment. In making such a determination pursuant to