

ATTACHMENT 1—PROPOSED REVISION TO FY 2004 ANNUAL MATERIAL PLAN (AMP) AND PROPOSED FY 2005 AMP—  
Continued

Material	Units	Current FY 2004 quantity	Revised FY 2004 quantity	Proposed FY 2005 quantity
Tungsten, Metal Powder .....	LB W .....	300,000		300,000
Tungsten Ores & Concentrates .....	LB W .....	4,000,000		4,000,000
Vegetable Tannin Extract, Chestnut .....	LT .....	0	250	<sup>1</sup> 250
Vegetable Tannin Extract, Quebracho .....	LT .....	50,000		<sup>1</sup> 50,000
Vegetable Tannin Extract, Wattle .....	LT .....	0	6,500	<sup>1</sup> 6,500
Zinc .....	ST .....	50,000		50,000

**Notes:**<sup>1</sup> Actual quantity will be limited to remaining sales authority or inventory.<sup>2</sup> The radioactive nature of this material may restrict sales or disposal options. Efforts are underway to determine the environmentally and economically feasible disposition of the material.<sup>3</sup> Pending legislative authority.

[FR Doc. 03–26106 Filed 10–15–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DR–P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

[A-570–846]

**Brake Rotors from The People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results in Antidumping Duty Administrative and New Shipper Reviews****AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 16, 2003.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Smith at (202) 482–1766, Sophie Castro at (202) 482–0588, or Margarita Panayi at (202) 482–0049, Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the sixth administrative and ninth new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the People's Republic of China (PRC), which cover the period April 1, 2002, through March 31, 2003.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), as amended, the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to

complete the review within the foregoing time period.

Pursuant to 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, the Department shall make a preliminary determination in a new shipper review within 180 days after the date on which the review is initiated. However, if the case is extraordinarily complicated, it may extend the 180 day period for the preliminary results to 300 days.

The Department initiated the sixth administrative review<sup>1</sup> of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the PRC (68 FR 27781) on May 21, 2003 and the ninth new shipper review<sup>2</sup> of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the PRC (68 FR 33675) on June 5, 2003. Pursuant to section 351.214(j)(3) of its regulations, and with the agreement of Laizhou City Luqi Machinery Co., Ltd. (Luqi) and Qingdao Rotec Autoparts Co., Ltd (Rotec), the Department is conducting these reviews concurrently. The current deadline for the preliminary results in these reviews is December 31, 2003.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results in the administrative review within the above specified time limit because we must request additional information and clarifications of submitted data from multiple respondents as well as conduct verifications prior to issuing our preliminary results. In addition, we determine that it would be extraordinarily complicated to complete

<sup>1</sup> The administrative review respondents are China National Machinery Import & Export Company; Laizhou Hongda Auto Replacement Parts, Co. Ltd.; Qingdao Gren Co.; Yantai Winhere Auto Part Manufacturing Co., Ltd.; Longkou Haimeng Machinery Co., Ltd.; Zibo Luzhou Automobile Parts Co., Ltd.; Hongfa Machinery (Dalian) Co., Ltd.; Qingdao Meita Automotive Industry Co., Ltd.; Shandong Laizhou Huanri Group General; Laizhou Auto Brake Equipment Company, Ltd.; and Longkou TLC Machinery Co., Ltd.<sup>2</sup> The new shipper respondents are Qingdao Rotec Autoparts Co., Ltd. and Laizhou City Luqi Machinery Co., Ltd.

the preliminary results in the new shipper review under the current schedule as we need additional time to conduct verifications and to analyze issues raised in that review.

Therefore, in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, the Department is extending the time for completion of the preliminary results of these reviews until February 2, 2004.

Dated: October 8, 2003.

**Jeffrey May,***Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03–26210 Filed 10–15–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

[A-570–803]

**Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review****AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.**ACTION:** Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 16, 2003.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Manning or Jeff Pedersen, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–5253 or (202) 482–2769, respectively.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245-day time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and the time limit for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

### Background

On March 25, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on heavy forged hand tools, finished or unfinished, with or without handles from the People's Republic of China, covering the period February 1, 2002 through January 31, 2003. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 68 FR 14394. The preliminary results are currently due no later than October 31, 2003.

### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit because of the time needed to consider certain factual issues in the case. This extension results in the due date for the preliminary results falling on February 28, 2004, which is a Saturday. Therefore, the preliminary results will be due on the next business day, which is March 1, 2004. See Decision Memorandum from Thomas F. Futtner, Acting Office Director, to Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department's main building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: October 9, 2003.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II.*

[FR Doc. 03-26212 Filed 10-15-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-412-803]

#### Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Industrial Nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review.

**SUMMARY:** On May 8, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose (INC) from the United Kingdom in order to determine whether Troon Investments Limited (TIL) is the successor-in-interest to Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC (ICI). See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Industrial Nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom*, 68 FR 27015 (May 19, 2003). TIL purchased Nobel's Explosives Company, Ltd.'s (NEC) INC business. NEC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of ICI. We preliminarily determine that TIL is the successor-in-interest to ICI for purposes of determining antidumping duty liability. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 16, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michele Mire or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4711 and (202) 482-5193, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On July 10, 1990, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (55 FR 28270) the antidumping duty order on INC from the United Kingdom. On March 28, 2003, TIL requested that the Department conduct a changed

circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on INC from the United Kingdom claiming that it is the successor-in-interest to ICI, and, as such, it is entitled to receive the same antidumping treatment accorded to ICI. On April 11, 2003, Green Tree Chemical Technologies, Inc., the sole U.S. producer of INC and the petitioner in this proceeding, notified the Department that it opposes TIL's request to be considered the successor-in-interest to ICI. On July 18, 2003, and August 14, 2003, at the request of the Department, TIL submitted additional information and documentation pertaining to its changed circumstances request.

#### Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of INC from the United Kingdom. INC is a dry, white amorphous synthetic chemical with a nitrogen content between 10.8 and 12.2 percent, and is produced from the reaction of cellulose with nitric acid. INC is used as a film-former in coatings, lacquers, furniture finishes, and printing inks. The scope of this order does not include explosive grade nitrocellulose, which has a nitrogen content of greater than 12.2 percent.

INC is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item number 3912.20.0000. While the HTSUS classification is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

#### Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review

In making a successor-in-interest determination, the Department examines several factors including, but not limited to, changes in: (1) management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. See *Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 57 FR 20460, 20462 (May 13, 1992) (*Canadian Brass*). While no one single factor, or combination of factors, will necessarily prove to be dispositive, the Department will generally consider a new company to be the successor-in-interest to its predecessor company if its resulting operations are essentially the same as those of its predecessor. See, e.g., *Canadian Brass* at 20460, and *Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Industrial Nitrocellulose From Korea*, 65 FR 2115, 2116 (January 13, 2000). Therefore, if there is evidence demonstrating that, with respect to the production and sale of subject