

April 26, 2003 and from 5:30 a.m. to 7:45 a.m. on May 25, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Houck, Marine Information Specialist, Commander, Coast Guard Activities Baltimore, 2401 Hawkins Point Road, Baltimore, MD 21226-1971, at (410) 576-2674.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The U.S. Naval Academy will sponsor crew races on the waters of the Severn River at Annapolis, Maryland. The events will consist of intercollegiate crew rowing teams racing along a 2000-meter course on the waters of the Severn River. A fleet of spectator vessels is expected to gather near the event site to view the competition. In order to ensure the safety of participants, spectators and transiting vessels, 33 CFR 100.518 will be in effect for the duration of the event. Under provisions of 33 CFR 100.518, vessels may not enter the regulated area without permission from the Coast Guard Patrol Commander. Spectator vessels may anchor outside the regulated area but may not block a navigable channel. Because these restrictions will only be in effect for a limited period, they should not result in a significant disruption of maritime traffic.

Dated: March 10, 2003.

James D. Hull,

Vice Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 03-7384 Filed 3-27-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-U

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD07-03-046]

Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Sanibel Causeway Bridge, Okeechobee Waterway, Punta Rassa, FL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of temporary deviation from regulations.

SUMMARY: The Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District, has approved a temporary deviation from the regulations governing the operation of the Sanibel Causeway bridge across the Okeechobee Waterway, Punta Rassa, Florida. This temporary deviation allows the owner to facilitate the evacuation of vehicular traffic during the afternoon rush hour, while emergency repairs to the two low level fixed bridges that span a portion of the

causeway are underway, by allowing the bascule bridge to open only on the hour, from 2 p.m. until 6 p.m., Monday through Friday, from March 17, 2003 until April 25, 2003.

DATES: This temporary deviation is effective from 2 p.m., March 17, 2003, until 6 p.m., April 25, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket [CGD07-03-046] and are available for inspection or copying at Commander (obr), Seventh Coast Guard District, 909 S.E. 1st Avenue, Room 432, Miami, FL 33131 between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Barry Dragon, Project Manager, Seventh Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch at (305) 415-6743.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Sanibel Causeway drawbridge is part of a two-lane narrow, undivided arterial roadway, which is the only roadway on and off Sanibel Island. This roadway also has two low level fixed bridges, which are in need of emergency repairs and has necessitated the owner to provide only one lane of arterial service to vehicular traffic for safety reasons. In order to complete emergency repairs in a safe and timely manner, the owner of all three bridges has requested that the bascule bridge only open on the hour, from 2 p.m. until 6 p.m., Monday through Friday, March 17, 2003 to April 25, 2003, in order to safely allow the rush hour traffic to exit the island via the causeway.

The Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District has granted a temporary deviation from the operating requirements listed in 33 CFR 117.317(j) to allow the Sanibel Causeway drawbridge to only open on the hour from 2 p.m. until 6 p.m., Monday through Friday, March 17, 2003 to April 25, 2003.

Dated: March 13, 2003.

Greg Shapley,

Chief, Bridge Administration, Seventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 03-7383 Filed 3-27-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-U

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD05-02-080]

RIN 1625-AA00 (Formerly 2115-AA97)

Security Zone; Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Chesapeake Bay, Calvert County, MD

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a security zone in the waters of the Chesapeake Bay near the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Chesapeake Bay, Calvert County, Maryland. This security zone is necessary to help ensure public safety and security. The security zone will prohibit vessels and persons from entering a well-defined area around Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant.

DATES: This rule is effective at 5 p.m. on March 31, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket CGD05-02-080 and are available for inspection or copying at Commander, U.S. Coast Guard Activities, 2401 Hawkins Point Road, Building 70, Port Safety, Security and Waterways Management Branch, Baltimore, Maryland, 21226-1791 between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant Dulani Woods at Coast Guard Activities Baltimore, Port Safety, Security and Waterways Management Branch, at telephone number (410) 576-2513.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

On February 28, 2002, we published a temporary final rule (TFR) entitled "Security Zone; Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Chesapeake Bay, Calvert County, MD" in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 9203) that expired on June 15, 2002. On June 17, 2002, we published a change of effective date to a temporary final rule (TFR) entitled "Security Zone; Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Chesapeake Bay, Calvert County, MD" in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 41177) that expired on September 30, 2002, and on October 1, 2002, we published another change of effective date to a temporary final rule (TFR) entitled "Security Zone; Calvert Cliffs Nuclear

Power Plant, Chesapeake Bay, Calvert County, MD” in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 61494) that expires on March 31, 2003.

On October 18, 2002, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled “Security Zone; Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Chesapeake Bay, Calvert County, MD” in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 64345). We received three letters commenting on the proposed rule.

The Captain of the Port has determined that the need for this security zone continues to exist. Accordingly, this rule makes final the proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** on October 18, 2002. The zone is approximately 300 by 500 yards and is marked by white cylindrical buoys in the Chesapeake Bay near the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, in Calvert County, Maryland.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. It is urgently necessary, for public safety and Power Plant security, that a continuous security zone be established at the Calvert Cliffs facility effective at 5 p.m. on March 31, 2003. This rule will become effective upon expiration of the current temporary rule (67 FR 61494, October 1, 2002).

Background and Purpose

Based on the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center buildings in New York and the Pentagon building in Virginia, there is an increased risk that subversive activity could be launched by vessels or persons in close proximity to the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant. On February 28, 2002, the Coast Guard published a temporary rule entitled “Security zone; Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Chesapeake Bay, Calvert County, MD,” in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 9203). The temporary rule established a security zone around the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant. Based on a continuing need for the protection of the plant, the effective date of the rule establishing a temporary security zone surrounding the plant was extended until 5 p.m., March 31, 2003. There is no indication that the present rule has been burdensome on the maritime public; users of the areas surrounding the plant are able to pass safely outside the zone. Three letters commenting on the present rule have been received by the public.

Discussion of Comments and Changes

The Coast Guard received three written comments on the proposed rule.

Two letters included comments that strongly supported the proposed security zone, one of which requested that Coast Guard increase the size of the zone to provide for additional security. The size and location of the zone was based on a request from the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant. The power plant is aware of the issues raised by this comment and feels that the size and location of the security zone is sufficient to provide the desired increase in security.

The third letter included comments from the Canoe Cruisers Association of Greater Washington, DC, Inc. stating that the size of the proposed security zone is reasonable; however, future proposals to expand its dimensions might compromise waterway user safety far from shore and interrupt waterway user access to the shore. The Coast Guard has considered these issues and has determined that no changes to the proposed rule are required. One commenter requested a public meeting. The Coast Guard has considered this request and determined that there is not sufficient public interest to conduct a public meeting.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not “significant” under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

We received three letters commenting on the interim rule. Comments from the Canoe Cruisers Association of Greater Washington, DC, Inc. state that the security zone proposal is reasonable; however, future proposals to expand its dimensions might compromise waterway user safety far from shore and

interrupt waterway user access to the shore.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2-1, paragraph (34) (g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation because we are establishing a security zone. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.

■ 2. Add § 165.505 to read as follows:

§ 165.505 Security Zone; Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Chesapeake Bay, Calvert County, Maryland.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a security zone: All waters of the Chesapeake Bay, from surface to bottom, encompassed by lines connecting the following points, beginning at 38°26'06" N, 076°26'18" W, thence to 38°26'10" N, 076°26'12" W, thence to 38°26'21" N, 076°26'28" W, thence to 38°26'14" N, 076°26'33" W, thence to beginning at 38°26'06" N, 076°26'18" W. These coordinates are based upon North American Datum (NAD) 1983.

(b) *Regulations.* (1) Entry into or remaining in this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port, Baltimore, Maryland.

(2) Persons desiring to transit the area of the security zone may contact the Captain of the Port at telephone number 410-576-2693 or on VHF channel 16 (156.8 MHz) to seek permission to transit the area. If permission is granted, all persons and vessels must comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port or his or her designated representative.

(c) *Authority:* In addition to 33 U.S.C. 1231 and 50 U.S.C. 191, the authority for this section includes 33 U.S.C. 1226.

Dated: March 4, 2003.

Evan Q. Kahler,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port, Baltimore, Maryland.

[FR Doc. 03-7385 Filed 3-27-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-U

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD13-03-004]

RIN 1625 AA00

Safety Zones; Fireworks Displays in the Captain of the Port Portland Zone

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing safety zones on the Willamette River during fireworks displays. The Captain of the Port, Portland, Oregon, is taking this action to safeguard watercraft and their occupants from safety hazards associated with these displays. Entry into these safety zones is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port.

DATES: This rule is effective from 9:30 p.m. (PDT) on May 2, 2003 to 10:20 p.m. (PDT) on May 30, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket are part of docket [CGD13-03-004] and are available for inspection or copying at the U.S. Coast Guard MSO/Group Portland, 6767 N. Basin Ave, Portland, Oregon 97217 between 7 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant Junior Grade Tad Drozdowski, c/o Captain of the Port, Portland 6767 N. Basin Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97217, at (503) 240-2584.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B) and 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM and for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Publishing a NPRM would be contrary to public interest since immediate action is necessary to ensure the safety of vessels and spectators gathering in the vicinity of the various fireworks launching barges and displays. If normal notice and comment procedures were followed, this rule would not become effective until after the dates of the events. For this reason, following normal rulemaking procedures in this case would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest. Permanent safety zones for these events are being submitted through the normal rulemaking process for 2004.

Background and Purpose

The Coast Guard is adopting temporary safety zone regulations for safe fireworks displays. One display is scheduled to start at 9:30 p.m. on May 2 and last for thirty minutes. The other event is scheduled to start at 9:50 p.m. on May 30 and also last for thirty minutes. Both events occur on the Willamette River in Portland, Oregon.